331

Before the

UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT ROYALTIES BOARD

Library of Congress

Washington, D.C.

In Re: : Docket No.

: 15-CRB-0001-WR

: 15-CRB-0001-WR

Determination of Royalty : (2016-2020)

Rates and Terms for : Volume 2-PUBLIC

Ephemeral Recording and : Pages 331-388

Digital Performance of : Pages 408-436 Sound Recordings (Web IV) : Pages 574-664

PUBLIC SESSION

Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, April 28, 2015

The hearing in the above-entitled matter was convened at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES:

SUZANNE M. BARNETT, CHIEF JUDGE

DAVID R. STRICKLER, JUDGE

JESSE FEDER, JUDGE

In Re. Determination of Roy	arry Kates (Fublic) 04-26-2015
3332	33
APPFARANCES   2	1 ALSO PRESENT: For SoundExchange: 2 Kelly Klaus, Melinda LeMoine, Martha Larraondo-Klipper, Rose Ehler, Anjan Choudhury, 3 Colin Rushing, Jonathan Blavin, Jennifer Bryant, Kuruvilla Olasa, Rachel June Draper 4 For SiriusXM: 5 Jackson Toof, Martin Cunniff, Patrick Donnelly, Cynthia Greer 6 For NPR: 7 Joseph Wetzel, Ethan Davis, Antonio Lewis, Gregory Lewis 8 For Pandora: 9 Todd Larson, Christopher Harrison, Benjamin Marks, David Yolkut, Elisabeth Sperle 10 For iHeartMedia: 11 John Thome, Tres Williams, Rob Wells, Donna Schneider, Evan Leo, Kevin Miller, Scott 12 Angstreich, Caitlin Hall, Leslie Pope 13 For NAB: Michael Sturn, Jennifer Elgin, Suzanne Head, 14 Jillian Volkmar 15 Bonnie L. Russo, Capital Reporting Company 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
21	19 20 21 22 23 24 25  1
10 On behalf of National Religious	11   12   EXHIBITS ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE   13   NAB   14   Exhibit 4176   Article   424   15   Pandora   Exhibit 5027   Strategy Document   437   16   SoundExchange   17   Exhibit 180(52554) Beats Agreement   447   447   447   447   447   448   Exhibit 80(55409) Spotify Agreement   455   Exhibit 80(55409) Spotify Agreement   455   Exhibit 30(55409) Spotify Agreement   455   Exhibit 0020   Written Testimony   592   Exhibit 0020   Written Testimony   592   Exhibit 319   Proposal   624   19   Exhibit 3186   Financial Document   463   Exhibit 3186   Financial Document   466   Exhibit 3197   Sony Document   469   23   Exhibit 3198   Sony Music Nashville   470   Exhibit 3201   Sony Epic   473   Exhibit 3201   Marketing Plan   479   Exhibit 3207   Exhibit 3577   Endal   487   Exhibit 3577   Sony Document   488   488   488

		$\overline{}$		
	336			33
1	Exhibit 3576 Sony Document 489	1	some interest in it. Register Pallante is good	
	Exhibit 3573 Sony Document 490	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2	Exhibit 3082 Public Statement 491	3		
_	Exhibit 3569 E-Mail 652	4	I believe, Mr. Pomerantz, we are	
3	Exhibit 3596 Sony Press Release 512	5		
4	Exhibit 3187 Midrange Plan 515	6		
5		7		
6		8	Mr. Kooker from Sony Music.	
7		9	Before I do, Your Honor, I wanted to	
8		10	raise an issue about permissible scope of the	
9		11	direct testimony with Mr. Kooker; and, in	
10		12	particular, whether we may proceed to question	
11 12		13	him about the Apple agreement with Sony. And,	
13		14	in particular, the economic modeling that is a	
14		15	cornerstone of Professor Shapiro's supplemental	
15		16	rebuttal testimony, which was submitted last	
16		17	Monday. And it's not in his written direct or	
17		18	written rebuttal because of the restrictions	
18		19	that were placed on the use of that agreement.	
19		20	But we would ask and the services have	
20 21		21	opposed the request that we be able to cover	
21 22		22	this with Mr. Kooker on his direct.	
23		23	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Let me I	
24		1	think I know the answer.	
25		25	Mr. Hansen, did you want to respond?	
		+		
	337			33
1		1	MR. HANSEN: Very briefly, Your	33
1 2	337 (THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS	Į.	MR. HANSEN: Very briefly, Your Honor.	33
	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	Į.	Honor.	33
2	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	2	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright	33
2	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3	Honor.	33
2 3 4	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance	33
2 3 4 5	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS	2 3 4 5	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as	33
2 3 4 5 6	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce  Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce  Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION) PROCEEDINGS  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce  Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And thank you for telling me how to pronounce Ms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed Professor Rubinfeld after the closing of the	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And thank you for telling me how to pronounce Ms. Ehler's name. Welcome on this World Intellectual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Honor.  I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed Professor Rubinfeld after the closing of the written testimony to supplement and the panel made resolutions about that matter to deal with that, but the panel, at the same time, said no	33
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And thank you for telling me how to pronounce Ms. Ehler's name. Welcome on this World Intellectual Property Day. For those of you who aren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed Professor Rubinfeld after the closing of the written testimony to supplement and the panel made resolutions about that matter to deal with that, but the panel, at the same time, said no one gets to file additional materials without	33
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And thank you for telling me how to pronounce Ms. Ehler's name. Welcome on this World Intellectual Property Day. For those of you who aren't critically involved in the hearing, from 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed Professor Rubinfeld after the closing of the written testimony to supplement and the panel made resolutions about that matter to deal with that, but the panel, at the same time, said no one gets to file additional materials without permission of the panel.	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And thank you for telling me how to pronounce Ms. Ehler's name. Welcome on this World Intellectual Property Day. For those of you who aren't critically involved in the hearing, from 10:00 to noon in the Montpellier Room on the 6th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed Professor Rubinfeld after the closing of the written testimony to supplement and the panel made resolutions about that matter to deal with that, but the panel, at the same time, said no one gets to file additional materials without permission of the panel.  So they could have, I suppose, sought	33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning. Please be seated. MR. HANSEN: I want to introduce Leslie Pope and Evan Leo who are on our team. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: And, Your Honor, if I may do the same. Ms. Rose Ehler from our firm is joining us here today. You will get to know her during the course of the proceeding, and also Mr. Dave Jacoby, in-house counsel for Sony Music and Mr. Jacoby is joining us here today. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. And thank you for telling me how to pronounce Ms. Ehler's name. Welcome on this World Intellectual Property Day. For those of you who aren't critically involved in the hearing, from 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think Mr. Klaus is forthright saying there isn't written direct testimony, not even a written rebuttal under the governance proceeding or reaffirmed by the panel as recently as of last week pursuant to Section 351.10(b). The only oral testimony allowed to be presented here is an oral summary of the written direct testimony. New matter is not permitted as part of this proceeding, for very good reasons. We're entitled to know before the hearing what the testimony is going to be. So the rules prohibit it. There's no basis for departing from the rules, and it didn't belong back and forth about how the panel committed Professor Rubinfeld after the closing of the written testimony to supplement and the panel made resolutions about that matter to deal with that, but the panel, at the same time, said no one gets to file additional materials without permission of the panel.	333

_				/	
		340			342
1	recently as a few days ago, sent us a summary of		1	Does that particular basis always	
	what Mr. Kooker would cover that had no		2	exist, that is does it pertain to all witnesses	
1	reference to any of this.		3	in this first half of the case?	
4	So to inject new matter against the		4	That is, you want to hear the Apple	
5	rules at this part of the proceeding would be		5	evidence in the second half of the case and all	
6			6	parties will present that evidence in the second	
7	no basis for adhering to the law and is		7	half? So, for example, we have Professor	
8	prejudicial to us.		8	Rubinfeld coming to testify either later this	
9	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.		9	week or beginning of next week, and he can	
10	MR. KLAUS: I don't think it's		10	present that evidence now in the direct case, or	
11	prejudicial at all, Your Honor. They had the		11	we can wait to present it in the rebuttal case.	
12	Apple agreements when they deposed Mr. Kooker.		12	I think we had had a discussion where we were	
13	Their experts have now filed supplemental		13	all thinking we were presenting it in the direct	
14	materials addressing them and, quite frankly, I		14	case, but that's fine. We can present it in the	
15	think the services are being quite candid about		15	rebuttal case. We'll do it whichever way Your	
16	this. If Mr. Kooker doesn't explain the		16	Honor would prefer.	
17	modeling, then there is no witness from Sony who		17	JUDGE STRICKLER: Subject to whatever	
18	will be on record as having explained the		18	stipulations you want to propose that we adopt,	
19	modeling, which may suit the services as far as		19	if evidence was presented in the direct case,	
20	a tactical advantage, but it really doesn't heed		20	that's what should be presented due to direct	
21	the presentation of a full accurate record to		21	testimony. And if it was presented in the	
22	the judges.		22	rebuttal case, then we'll wait for the rebuttal	
23	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.		23	case.	
24	We will confer in the other room for		24	MR. POMERANTZ: It's a little bit odd	
25	a moment.		25	with respect to Apple because of when it was	
		341			343
					2.5
1	(Pause in proceedings.)			submitted because it was it was in Professor	
2	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: For purposes of		_	Rubinfeld's rebuttal testimony, and then they	
3	this motion, I'm deferring to Judge Strickler,		3	we unredacted it two days later, but I thought	
4	who will deliver the ruling of the judges.		4	there was a ruling by Your Honors that deemed it	
5	JUDGE STRICKLER: The judges have		5	as if it was submitted as part of our direct	
6	decided that at this point the issue is not		6	case.	
7	right with regard to whether or not the witness,		7	We're just trying to have a	
	Mr. Kooker, can testify with regard to the Apple		_	meaningful joinder of the issues. Mr. Kooker,	
9	licenses. The evidence with regard to the Apple		10	for example, is a rebuttal witness. So if, you	
	licenses hasn't came in through the SoundExchange statements entry to rebuttal		10	know he can come back in our rebuttal case,	
1.	-		11	and as long as he's permitted, assuming you deem	
12	statements, and, as a consequence, we have		12	it appropriate to respond to evidence that's	
13 14	concluded that those are that is rebuttal testimony. And to the extent any testimony may		13 14	come up early in the proceeding with respect to	
	ultimately be permitted by a SoundExchange		15	Apple, we can certainly have Mr. Kooker address it when he comes back in the rebuttal case. I'm	
15 16	witness in response to the rebuttal testimony		16	really asking for guidance as to whether, for	
17	from the licensing services with regard to the		17	example, Professor Rubinfeld should be	
18	Apple licenses, we will make a determination on		18	addressing the Apple agreements in the direct	
19	that should such testimony be offered in the		19	testimony or in the rebuttal testimony.	
20	rebuttal proceeding.		20	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: As I recall on	
21	But for purposes of the direct		21	our telephone conference, it was Mr. Hansen who	
22	testimony by Mr. Kooker, he is not to be		22	was concerned that that the services be given	
		1		I DITOGITION MAN MINE MINE BOT VICOS DO BIVOIT	
2.3	questioned with regard to the Apple licenses		23	an opportunity to respond to Dr. Rubinfeld's	
23 24	questioned with regard to the Apple licenses.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I		23 24	an opportunity to respond to Dr. Rubinfeld's rebuttal, which means it would have to be	
24	questioned with regard to the Apple licenses.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I just ask for one clarification?		24	an opportunity to respond to Dr. Rubinfeld's rebuttal, which means it would have to be presented as part of the direct case. And I	

			<u> </u>		
		344			346
1	believe that was your request, Mr. Hansen.		1	services as a result of the fact that it came in	
2	MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, here is our	ľ	2	late would be handled in a direct case and we	
3	request. I believe when you ruled, you said		_	would have the opportunity to rebut.	
4	that Mr. Rubinfeld and only Mr. Rubinfeld's		4	With respect to the fact witnesses,	
5	testimony could would be considered part of		5	SoundExchange could have done the same thing	
6	the direct case, even though he submitted it at		6	they did with Professor Rubinfeld. We would	
7	the rebuttal phase. We are prepared to deal		7	have gotten special relief. They they are	
8	with that. That's fine. We'll cross-examine		8	now trying to add in an extra, and I view the	
9	Dr. Rubinfeld,		9	two circumstances as completely different. So	
10	What I don't want is the camel's nose		10	that may be a me, too, but I just wanted to add.	
11	in the tent here. They can't have Mr. Pomerantz		11	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sounds like a	
	say, well, then come back and do a rebuttal.		12	me, too.	
	They can't do rebuttal because there's no		13	Mr. Rich, no.	
	written rebuttal testimony from the witnesses,		14	MR. RICH: This is not a me, too.	
	and we're not going to be able to offer evidence		15	This is an addition on the subject of rebuttal.	
	that they haven't put in other words, I think			Another gating issue as it were with respect to	
	sufficient for today is we're on the written			the first witness's testimony for SoundExchange	
8	direct case. This witness can't give anything			involves an effort on their part to rescramble	
19	beyond his written direct testimony. I think			the egg which Your Honor has unscrambled in	
20	we're agreed on that.			terms of dichotomist direct versus rebuttal	
21	For Professor Rubinfeld, a different		21	testimony. I'm going to let my colleague, Mr.	
22	issue. I think we do expect him to talk about		22	Larson, who's going to be cross-examining,	
23	Apple, per your ruling. That is fine.		23	explain what we learned yesterday about the	
24	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: All right.		24	proposed substance of the direct examinations	
25	MR. HANSEN: What happens in the	:	25	this morning, and we think would be appropriate,	
		345			347
1	rebuttal phase proceeding, I think you should		1	again as a general rule of application, to get	
2	preserve that issue for when it comes up and I			some clarification around that issue if we may.	
3	think both sides will have their arguments at		3	MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, that's	
4	that time, but I don't want any advance rulings		4	a I just wanted to finish the loop on the	
5	unless we have a whole record. What Mr. Kooker		5	Apple issue. We we were prepared to have	
6	will say I don't think he can say it then and		6	Professor Rubinfeld address it in our direct	
7	I don't think he will be able to say it then.		7	case, and that's fine.	
	We have today's ruling. We can deal with other		8	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.	
9	issues.		9	MR. POMERANTZ: We would just we	
0	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Thank	:	10	understand that you're deferring the issue as to	
1	you.		11	whether Mr. Kooker and Mr. Wilcox from Warner	
2	Mr. Kooker is a fact witness. He can		12	can address it rebuttal after seeing the	
				evidence. I think Professor Shapiro didn't use	
3	testify today if he I think after	:	13	evidence. I tillik i fotessor bhapiro didir t use	
	testify today if he I think after Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we			the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain	
4		:			
4 5	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we		14 15	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain	
4 5 6	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your		14 15	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they	
4 5 6 7	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.		14 15 16 17	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.	
4 5 6 7 8	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.  Mr. Joseph, you were on your feet, is		14 15 16 17	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.  MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I don't	
4 5 6 7 8	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.  Mr. Joseph, you were on your feet, is that a me, too? Not allowed.		14 15 16 17 18	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.  MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I don't think we should be giving testimony here. I	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.  Mr. Joseph, you were on your feet, is that a me, too? Not allowed.  MR. JOSEPH: No. Actually, Your		14 15 16 17 18 19	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.  MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I don't think we should be giving testimony here. I don't think we should have endless argument. We	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.  Mr. Joseph, you were on your feet, is that a me, too? Not allowed.  MR. JOSEPH: No. Actually, Your Honor, I think Mr. Hansen said it well. I was		14 15 16 17 18 19	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.  MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I don't think we should be giving testimony here. I don't think we should have endless argument. We have the Court's ruling. We should be given	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.  Mr. Joseph, you were on your feet, is that a me, too? Not allowed.  MR. JOSEPH: No. Actually, Your Honor, I think Mr. Hansen said it well. I was going to simply clarify. I was the one with respect to Dr. Rubinfeld who had wanted to be clear that the 3E and Apple testimony of		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.  MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I don't think we should be giving testimony here. I don't think we should have endless argument. We have the Court's ruling. We should be given fact testimony about what the experts will say.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dr. Rubinfeld's given direct and rebuttal, we may not need Mr. Kooker, but I'm not your strategist.  Mr. Joseph, you were on your feet, is that a me, too? Not allowed.  MR. JOSEPH: No. Actually, Your Honor, I think Mr. Hansen said it well. I was going to simply clarify. I was the one with respect to Dr. Rubinfeld who had wanted to be		14 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	the right Sony model and Mr. Kooker can explain that. So he can explain which models they really relied on.  MR. HANSEN: Your Honor, I don't think we should be giving testimony here. I don't think we should have endless argument. We have the Court's ruling. We should be given fact testimony about what the experts will say.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I'm	

		348		3:
1	Mr. Kooker on the stand. I don't we don't	1	direct statement from Mr. Kooker, and he's also	
	need a proffer about what might or might not	2		
3	come along.	3		
4	Mr. Rich?	4		
5	MR. RICH: May Mr. Larson be heard on	5	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Mr. Kooker, I	
6	this second issue, Your Honor?	6		
7	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Mr. Larson is	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
8	always welcome to speak.	8	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please stand	
9	MR. RICH: Thank you.	9		
ر ا0	MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	10		
1	So, as Mr. Rich said, Your Honors	11		
	ordered separate direct rebuttal phases. We		whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified	
	received demonstratives last night from	13		
	SoundExchange per the parties' agreement to	14		
	exchange those demonstratives. And seven or		seated.	
		16		
_	-		g	
.7	directly from Mr. Kooker's rebuttal testimony.  And per the discussion we were just	17	1	
8.	•	18	7.1	
	having, we wanted to get a ruling on that. I	19	THE WITNESS: Sure. My full name is	
0.1	e e 11.7		Dennis Carl Kooker, Jr. Last name K-O-O-K-E-R.	
	We prepared for today, expecting Mr. Kooker's	21	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	
	written direct testimony to be offered, not his	22		
.3	written rebuttal testimony, and so we think it's		Counsel copies of potential exhibits that we may	
24	unfair to the services and also outside the technically outside the scope of the ruling that		use. And, Mr. Kooker, we've placed a copy in front of you, and I'll let you know where to	
24				
24	technically outside the scope of the ruling that			
24 25	technically outside the scope of the ruling that	349		3
24 25	technically outside the scope of the ruling that	349 1	front of you, and I'll let you know where to	3
24 25 1	technically outside the scope of the ruling that  was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.	349 1 2	front of you, and I'll let you know where to turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the	3
24 25 1 2	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	349 1 2	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the	3
24 25 1 2 3	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.	349 1 2 3	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the	3
1 2 3 4	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things	349 1 2 3	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.	3
1 2 3 4	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue	349 1 2 3	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	3
1 2 3 4 5 6	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the	25 349 1 2 3 4 5	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about.	25 349 1 2 3 4 5	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MR. KLAUS:	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	25 349 1 2 3 4 5	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker,	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker. A. Good morning.	3
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please.	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is	3
123456789012	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?	3
1234567890123	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker.	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment,	3
12345678901234	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in	25 349 1 22 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital	
123456789012345	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the	25 349 1 22 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.	3
1234567890123456	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.  Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?	3
12345678901234567	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Mr. Klaus.  MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please.  MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker.  And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning. Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.  Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is	9
1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 8	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning.  Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.  Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a	3
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move along in these proceedings, we will be better at	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning.  Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.  Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a global basis. We're our teams within the	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move along in these proceedings, we will be better at winnowing down our submissions.	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group. Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a global basis. We're our teams within the global digital business include partner	3
1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move along in these proceedings, we will be better at winnowing down our submissions. JUDGE STRICKLER: May I ask you a	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group. Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a global basis. We're our teams within the global digital business include partner development, which is focused on our top 20	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move along in these proceedings, we will be better at winnowing down our submissions. JUDGE STRICKLER: May I ask you a question just before you begin. When I first	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.  Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a global basis. We're our teams within the global digital business include partner development, which is focused on our top 20 global partners on the digital side of the	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 3	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move along in these proceedings, we will be better at winnowing down our submissions. JUDGE STRICKLER: May I ask you a question just before you begin. When I first looked at Mr. Kooker's testimony way back has	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group. Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a global basis. We're our teams within the global digital business include partner development, which is focused on our top 20 global partners on the digital side of the business. The business development group, which	3
1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 11 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 11 2 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 11 2 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	was cited by Mr. Hansen's 351.10 meeting. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. Mr. Klaus. MR. KLAUS: Yes. To expedite things to get to Mr. Kooker, I think this is a nonissue because I actually don't plan to use the demonstratives that he's talking about. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. KLAUS: May I call Mr. Kooker, Your Honor? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please. MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, SoundExchange calls Dennis Kooker. And, Your Honor, I should also say in line with our discussion about the demonstratives, this is good news. I think I will refer to very, very few of the exhibits that are in the binder. Perhaps, as we move along in these proceedings, we will be better at winnowing down our submissions. JUDGE STRICKLER: May I ask you a question just before you begin. When I first	25 349 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	turn in the binder. And for the benefit of the counsel in the room, I'll just say that a number of the documents that are contained in the binder are designated restricted.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. KLAUS: Good morning, Mr. Kooker.  A. Good morning. Q. Sir, where do you work and what is your position there?  A. I work for Sony Music Entertainment, and I'm the president of the global digital business and U.S. sales group.  Q. What are the global digital business and U.S. sales group?  A. The global digital business is responsible for all of our digital revenue on a global basis. We're our teams within the global digital business include partner development, which is focused on our top 20 global partners on the digital side of the	2.

	TITIC. Determination of 100 y	711	110100 (1 0010) 01 20 2010	
	352			354
1	market. The U.S. sales team, which is	1	Q. Mr. Kooker, how long have you worked	
	responsible for all commercial activity, both		in the music industry?	
3	digital and physical in the U.S. market. In	3	A. I have worked for almost in the	
4	addition to that, I have a legal and business	4	music industry for almost 20 years now.	
5	affairs team that is focused primarily on the	5	Q. How long have you worked in Sony	
6	digital deals, but also a significant amount of	6	Music's Global Digital Business?	
7	efforts in that group are around antipiracy or	7	A. Probably for about the last six or	
8	anti-piracy efforts around the world and working	8	seven years.	
9	with our government relations folks in key	9	Q. If you could if I could ask you to	
10	markets to ensure that our electrical property	10	open the binder that you have Mr. Kooker, you	
11	is protected.	11	will see that the very first tab has your	
12	Q. Are you a lawyer, sir?	12	written direct testimony in it. It's	
13	A. No, I'm not a lawyer.	13	SoundExchange Exhibit No. 12. I will just ask	
14	Q. And what are your responsibilities as	14	you if you could flip through it to the last	
15	the president of the global digital business and	15	page to verify that that's your signature?	
16	U.S. sales group?	16	A. Yes, it is.	
17	A. Well, to start with, I'm responsible	17	Q. And is this your written direct	
18	for leading a team of over 350 people; and in	18	testimony in these proceedings, sir?	
19	addition to that, accountable for revenues close	19	A. Yes, it is.	
20	to \$1.5 billion on a global basis; and driving	20	Q. Okay. And, to the best of your	
21	and leading our digital strategy, and completing	21	knowledge, is it true and accurate?	
	every major deal that we do with a digital	22	A. Yes, it is.	
	service.	23	MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, I would move	
24	Q. And can you explain to the judges	24	the admission of SoundExchange Exhibit 12. It's	
25	what it is that you do specifically in terms of	25	the restricted version of Mr. Kooker's written	
	353			355
1	reviewing deals that you have with digital	1	direct testimony.	
2	services?	2	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no	
3	A. Yes.	3	objection, Exhibit 12 is admitted.	
4	So as it relates to our deals with	4	(SoundExchange Exhibit No. 12 was	
5	digital services, depending on the size of the	5	admitted into evidence.)	
6	deal, it may be that the deal is fully	6	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: And, Mr. Klaus,	
	negotiated within my team, that they are	7	if you're going to be inquiring about restricted	
	reviewing the deal, is it progressing in the	8	matters, you will let us know before you do.	
9	negotiations; and, ultimately, the majority of	9	MR. KLAUS: I will. What I attempted	
10	those deals would come to me for approval. For	10	to do in my direct, Your Honor, is to cover all	
11	our larger deals, I may be more much more	11	of the nonrestricted information first, put	i
12	directly involved and likely much more directly	12	restricted information second. And, as you'll	
13	involved in the actual negotiation of those	13	see, to the extent that I ask Mr. Kooker a	
14	deals, in approving those deals; and, if large	14	question where there is a specific number that	ŀ
15	enough, if they require CEO or even some board	15	we have put into the restricted format, it may	ĺ
16	sign off, putting together the approval for	16	be most efficient to simply alert Your Honors as	
	recommendation for those deals.	17	to where that is so that we can all read along	
18	Q. Of the major deals that Sony has done	18	with it rather than clearing the hearing room.	
10	over the last five-year period, how many of	19	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	
	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 ~ ~	BAU KI ALISa Thombaron	
20	those have you been involved in approving?	20	MR. KLAUS: Thank you.	
20 21	A. Most likely the majority of those	21	BY MR. KLAUS:	
20 21 22	A. Most likely the majority of those deals.	21 22	BY MR. KLAUS: Q. Mr. Kooker, your written direct	
20 21 22 23	A. Most likely the majority of those deals.     Q. And whom do you report?	21 22 23	BY MR. KLAUS: Q. Mr. Kooker, your written direct testimony speaks in some detail to the	
20 21 22 23 24	A. Most likely the majority of those deals.	21 22	BY MR. KLAUS: Q. Mr. Kooker, your written direct	

356 358 1 recorded music. I would just like to ask you if 1 Sony Music does to invest in the distribution of 2 you would summarize and explain to the judges a 2 the recorded music that it creates, and would 3 you please explain to the judges just briefly, 3 few of these points. First, can you explain, generally, generally, what is involved in distributing the what Sony Music does to invest in the creation 5 recorded music that you create? of recorded music? 6 A. Sure. A. Sure. 7 Well, there's two primary methods. 8 Well, the creative process for us is 8 There's physical and digital. The physical side 9 run through our artist and repertoire division, 9 of the business that includes manufacturing the 10 what we call A and R. And that A and R -- A and product, getting that product into warehouses, 11 R teams are specialists, created specialists in 11 and from warehouses getting, it into brick and 12 finding talent and working with talent to 12 mortar retail. 13 produce the music that, ultimately, is the 13 On the digital side, it's ingesting 14 product that we bring to market. You know, 14 that content. It's wrap ping the metadata that 15 their focus is in working very closely with the 15 is required in order to efficiently move that 16 artists from the point of discovering new talent 16 product into our partners, our digital partners, 17 and they do that through listening to demo 17 and for that product to appear correctly to the 18 tapes, to watching performances, to using 18 consumer. That metadata is also very important 19 digital media tools to find new talent. 19 on the digital side of the business, in 20 Ultimately, they're also working with producers particular, because it also contains information 21 and studios to schedule time to make the 21 that allows the services to report back 22 recordings. And, again, have, you know, a very 22 efficiently to us that we can -- we use that to 23 unique expertise in talent spotting. 23 then do our internal financial reporting and Q. And what sorts of competition do they 24 management reporting, as well as report on to 25 face in searching for new talent? 25 our stakeholders like artists and producers. 357 359 A. It's an incredibly competitive Q. And what -- again, without going into 2 environment. Obviously, when new talent is 2 the specific numbers that are restricted, what 3 coming into the market and -- and, you know, 3 are the magnitude of the investments that you 4 they have -- they're working with managers, it's 4 make in distributing your recorded music content 5 ultimately managers' job to create a competitive 5 on an annual basis? 6 situation amongst different labels and record With physical and digital combined, companies. And so there's competition for 7 it's approaching a hundred million dollars a 8 actually signing the artist in the first place. 9 There's competition to get great songs for What does -- one of the other things 10 artists and for new recordings. There's 10 that Sony Music does, I take it, is to market 11 competition for getting the best producers to 11 and promote the music that it creates and 12 work on the records, themselves. So it's a very 12 distributes. 13 13 competitive environment across that entire What are the types of investments 14 creative process. 14 that Sony Music makes in that process of 15 And your written direct testimony at 15 marketing and promotion? 16 Page 4 provides some specific numbers on this 16 So the marketing and promotion part 17 that were designated as restricted because 17 of our business, you know, we are focused on 18 they're not publicly recorded. But could you 18 gaining exposure for our artists, and there are 19 provide the judges with a general sense of the 19 a multitude of marketing activities that we 20 magnitude of the investments that Sony Music 20 perform to do that, including working with 21 makes in the process of finding and creating new 21 social media, advertising within social media, 22 music? 22 advertising online, advertising on radio and 23 23 television, having artists appear at events, Yes. It's in the hundreds of 24 millions of dollars every year. 24 having artists appear on television, working Q. Your testimony also talks about what 25 with publicity departments to get articles and

		<del>~</del>		
	360			362
1	reviews done by magazines and case makers.	١,	into the market each year.	
2	Q. And what about promoting content	2	Q. And what is an example of such a	
3		3	product you make for an album release?	
1	what does your group do in that area?	4	A. Well, an example would be that we	
5	A. So both our sales and our marketing	5	would have an album release that would have	
6		6	bonus tracks for some retailers, but not all	
7	to position our products within those platforms.	7	retailers. So each as a result, having	
8	You know, I think where there's a often a	8	different products for different retailers. In	
9		9	addition to that, we may make a ringtone for a	
10	platform is available online, therefore shelf	10	couple of the singles. We'll make videos for a	
11	space is unlimited; whereas, there are	11	few of the singles that would be on the release,	
12		12	as well as creating unique content for different	
13	fact, from my experience, the shelf space on our	13	markets around the world.	
14	digital partners is as limited as what you see	14	Q. Now, of the new albums that you	
15		1	release on an annual basis, approximately how	
16	And so while a platform may have all		many are successful? How many return a net	
17	of the content, still how you how you	17	positive?	
18	position that content and present it to the	18	A. Well, I think when you when you	
19	consumer and how easy it is for the consumer to	19	look at it, probably best to split the business	
20	find it remains critically important. And it's	20	between established artists and new artists.	
21	very, very competitive to win the best	21	And so for established artists where we have a	
22	positioning and promotional slots within our	22	track record of performance, it is easier to	
23	our digital partners.	23	estimate and forecast what the results of future	ĺ
24	Q. How and the magnitude of the	24	releases and sales and revenues of future	
25	investments that you make in marketing and	25	releases would be. That being said, there	
	361			363
1	promoting the recorded contents that you created	1	certainly are no guarantees that that future	
I	and distributed, again, without going into	2	performance will be indicative of the past.	
3	specific numbers?	3	For new artists, it's much more	
4	A. Yes. Again, it's in the hundreds of	4	speculative. And the new artist part of our	İ
5	millions of dollars a year.	5	business is really it's really the research	
6	Q. How does Sony Music earn a return on	6	and development of our business. This A and R	
7	these investments that you described?	7	process is a research and development. Our job,	
8	A. Well, ultimately, it's critical that		ultimately, is to is to make investments, you	ŀ
9	the revenue that that we are able to produce	9	know, much like other R and D businesses	
10	from the market from the from the consumer	10	industries do around the world. We're making	
11	exceeds the investments that these	11	investments to ultimately look and hope that we	
12	investments that we're making, and also the	12	have a couple of major hits that break out of	
13	investments we make and the overhead and the		it.	
14	people who actually run the business for us.	14	Q. And what happens to Sony Music's	
15	Q. Okay. And how many products do you	15	business if you can't make a return on the	
l	release into the marketplace on an annual basis?		investments that you made?	
17	A. On an annual basis, we release	17	A. Well, ultimately, we would have to	
18	probably between 500 and a thousand albums a	18	invest less and that would be less, obviously,	
19	year. But within that and especially where	19	in the talent side of the business and in the	
l	the business is today where we have a physical	20	marketing and promotion, and we would also have	
21	business, we have a ringtone business, we have a	21	to reduce our overhead.	
22	streaming business, a video business, and a	22	Q. One of the things that you describe	
23	download business, we are making anywhere from		in some detail in your written testimony is the	
24	100 to 200 products for every album released.	24	shift from what you described as ownership to	
			DALAC ALGAIT TIME JOH GODOLIOGH AD CHILGINID IC	- 1
25	So there's tens of thousands of products that go		access models, and I would like to turn to that	1

		<del></del>		
	36	4		366
	multipat many	١,	access model, what we are trying to do is	
1 2	subject now.  And, Mr. Kooker, if you can	2	1	
3	describe you've been in the music business	3		
1 .	for 20 years. How has the process of getting	4		ľ
5	your music to consumers changed over that	5		
6	20-year period?	6		
7	A. Quite dramatically. Twenty years ago	7		
	when I started in the business, the primary	8		
١ .	method for getting product to market was	9	-	
10	physical distribution, physical distribution	10		
	into brick and more retail. And while that	11		
1	remains an important part of our business	12		
13	overall, there are many other channels of		the approximate breakdown between the download	
14	distribution and ways to get product into the	14		
15	market and to consumers, again, including the	15		
16	download business, which has been, you know, an	16		
17	important part of our business for about 11 or	17		
18	12 years now. After the start of the download	18		
19	business, we had the introduction of the	19		
20	ringtone business, which grew quickly, peaked,	20		
21	and has been in decline since then. And then	21		
22	the introduction of the streaming business.		right, sir?	
23	Q. And was the just within the time	23	A. Yes, I did.	
24	period you have been in the music business, was	24		
	the download business free? For example, the	25		
1		1		
	36	5		367
			the split in your digital business between	367
	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of	1	the split in your digital business between downloads and streams, how has that changed over	367
2	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?	1 2	downloads and streams, how has that changed over	367
3	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major	1 2	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?	367
2 3 4	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone	1 2 3 4	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I	367
3	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you	1 2 3 4 5	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant	367
2 3 4	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and	1 2 3 4 5 6	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side	367
2 3 4 5 6 7	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 100 111 12 13 14	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 111 122 13 14 15 16	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 13 14 15 16 17	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Now, could you describe, in general	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Within that 40	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Now, could you describe, in general terms, what is involved in the change from an	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Within that 40 percent, what percentage is in the interactive	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Now, could you describe, in general terms, what is involved in the change from an ownership to an access model, as you've	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Within that 40 percent, what percentage is in the interactive market and what percentage is in the	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Now, could you describe, in general terms, what is involved in the change from an ownership to an access model, as you've described it in your written testimony?	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Within that 40 percent, what percentage is in the interactive market and what percentage is in the noninteractive market, if you know?	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Now, could you describe, in general terms, what is involved in the change from an ownership to an access model, as you've described it in your written testimony?  A. Absolutely.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Within that 40 percent, what percentage is in the interactive market and what percentage is in the noninteractive market, if you know?  THE WITNESS: Off the top of my head,	367
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	iTunes store, was that preceded by a period of free downloading in the marketplace?  A. Yes. As part of this major transformation that our business has gone through, moving from physical to digital, you know, where technology was both a disruptor and an opportunity, the disruption point meant that it was very easy for consumers to pirate our music and our content. And, you know and, as a result, as part of that transition, you know, we have seen a decline in revenues since that transition started.  Q. And has the free availability of music and pirate services continued throughout the period you've been in the digital global business?  A. Absolutely.  Q. Now, could you describe, in general terms, what is involved in the change from an ownership to an access model, as you've described it in your written testimony?  A. Absolutely.  In an ownership model, ultimately,	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	downloads and streams, how has that changed over the last five years?  A. Well, again, pretty dramatically. I think the last time I was here, the predominant amount of our revenue on the digital side probably well over 90 percent came from the download business. So it has been a pretty quick shift towards streaming.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, can I interject.  Mr. Kooker, you said streaming accounts for 40 percent of revenues?  THE WITNESS: Forty percent of our digital revenues.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Of the digital?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Within that 40 percent, what percentage is in the interactive market and what percentage is in the noninteractive market, if you know?  THE WITNESS: Off the top of my head, I don't know specifically. My guess is it's	367

1					
		368			370
	JUDGE STRICKLER: Meaning		1	you would usually expect to see revenue growing.	
2	interactive?			And, in fact, we haven't been able to see that.	
	THE WITNESS: Yes.			It's you know, our revenue has declined for	
3	JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.		3 4	over a decade at this point. And so, at this	
	•			point, what we're seeing is you know, the	
5	MR. KLAUS: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. KLAUS:		5		
6			6	good news is, is high demand for the product	
7	Q. And, Mr. Kooker, just on the		7	from a consumption standpoint, but still	
8	subject sticking with the subject of your		8	struggling to monetize that at a rate that	
9	download business for a moment, what do you		9	actually produces growth.	
1	what have seen happening in that download		10	Q. And are you seeing high demands in	
11	business and what do you see happening over the		11	the streaming market, specifically?	
1	next five-year rate period?		12	A. Absolutely. I think the streaming	
13	A. Over about the last 18 to 24 months,		13	1 0	
	we have seen a decline in in our download		14	consumption.	
15	business. And, at this point, in the public		15	Q. Now, over the last several years,	
16	projections that we have put out through our		16		
	investor relations group, our forecast is that		17	consumption streaming.	
18	the download business is going to continue to		18	What have you seen in terms of the	
19	decline into the foreseeable future.		19	development of the number of businesses that are	
20	Q. And in terms of your total, total		20	streaming your musical content?	
	overall revenues, what are the consequences, if		21	A. Well, we continue to see a lot of new	
	any, of the shift from a download business to a		22	players coming to the market. We are regularly	
23	more streaming-focused revenue-generating			weekly meeting with new businesses who are	
24	business?			looking to enter the market, and I also know	
25	A. Well, certainly, over the last five		25	that, you know, the number of licensed partners	
-		369			371
1	years, what we have seen is continued decline in		1	through SoundEvolungs continues to grow as	
	revenue overall, a flattening out in the last	ŀ		through SoundExchange continues to grow, as well.	
	couple of years. And so, you know, again, as we			well.	
	Couple of years. And so, you know, again, as we			O And with approach to I think you	
			3	Q. And with respect to I think you	
	move through yet another transition for our		3	described it in response to Judge Strickler's	
5	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you	·	3 4 5	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners,	
5 6	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard		3 4 5 6	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory	
5 6 7	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster		3 4 5 6 7	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased	
5 6 7 8	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.		3 4 5 6 7 8	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?	
5 6 7 8 9	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side,		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many	
5 6 7 8 9	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately,		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately, what we're seeing is that there is a high demand		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those partners about the performance of the business.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately, what we're seeing is that there is a high demand for our product, and as it is easier and easier		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those partners about the performance of the business. We are regularly doing research both internally	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately, what we're seeing is that there is a high demand for our product, and as it is easier and easier for consumers to access music, we're seeing		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those partners about the performance of the business. We are regularly doing research both internally and externally, qualitative as well as	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately, what we're seeing is that there is a high demand for our product, and as it is easier and easier for consumers to access music, we're seeing greater and greater consumption. So from a		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those partners about the performance of the business. We are regularly doing research both internally and externally, qualitative as well as quantitative research. It is an industry that's	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately, what we're seeing is that there is a high demand for our product, and as it is easier and easier for consumers to access music, we're seeing greater and greater consumption. So from a simple economic standpoint, if you were looking		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those partners about the performance of the business. We are regularly doing research both internally and externally, qualitative as well as quantitative research. It is an industry that's interesting, I think, from a press coverage	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	move through yet another transition for our industry, moving from ownership to access, you know, what we're seeing is that it is very hard to replace the existing business at a faster rate than what that business is declining.  Q. And on the music consumption side, what have you seen in the market in terms of the consumption of your musical content?  A. What we have seen is that our consumption continued the consumption of music continues to increase and increase at a very healthy pace.  Q. Okay. And what could you describe in some greater specificity for the judges what you mean by seeing that consumption increase?  A. Well, you know, what ultimately, what we're seeing is that there is a high demand for our product, and as it is easier and easier for consumers to access music, we're seeing greater and greater consumption. So from a		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	described it in response to Judge Strickler's question, your directly-licensed partners, parties who don't operate on a statutory license, how have the numbers of those increased over the last since you were here in 2010?  A. Well, I don't recall exactly how many licensed partners we had at the time, but I know today we're at about 450 licensed partners on a worldwide basis.  Q. And, Mr. Kooker, what do you and your team do to keep current with developments in the streaming space?  A. Well, first off, we are in this business every single day of the week talking to partners who are running the services, analyzing the information that we are provided from those partners about the performance of the business. We are regularly doing research both internally and externally, qualitative as well as quantitative research. It is an industry that's	

				<u> </u>	
		372			374
1	available in the press, as well as a number of		1	are realizing there?	
2	publicly-traded companies that are available		2	A. Well, another part of this shift,	
3	where information is available. So it is you		3	from ownership to access, has meant that we've	
4	know, it's critical that our team is immersed		4	had to shift the metrics that we use to analyze	
5	every day of the week in understanding where the		5	the business. And, in the past, it was our	
6	market is and where the market is going.		6	business was very focused on transactions, on	
7	Q. And what about the services that		7	sales, how many transactions did you make, how	
8	operate that you don't directly license and		8	many albums did you sell.	
9	operate under the statutory license? What, if		9	Now, with an access model, we're much	-
10	anything, do you and your team do to stay		10	more focused on average revenue per user, ARPU.	
11	current on what the features are that they are		11	How much revenue are we able to collect per user	
12	offering?		12	for the use of the service and for the use of	
13	A. You know, similarly, we are using		13	the content.	
14	these services just like we do with our		14	Q. And can you just give us an example	
15	directly-licensed partners to make sure that we		15	of what you mean when you say ARPU? Can you	
16	understand the functionality and what the user		16	give us relative examples between the download	
17	experience overall is. Again, where they're		17	business on the one hand and the streaming	
18	publicly-traded companies, there's, obviously,		18	business on the other?	
19	public information that is available. We can		19	A. Sure.	
20	follow metrics, benchmark those metrics across		20	So, you know, the ARPU for the	
21	other parts of our business. And, again, you		21	download business on a wholesale basis is around	
22	know, these are companies that are covered well		22	\$50 a year that we receive from the consumer.	
23	in the presses, as well.		23	For the paid subscription business, it's about	
24	Q. Why do you spend time monitoring the		24	\$70 a year. So if you look at that comparison,	
25	developments or offerings of services when you		25	if we were able to shift the buyers all into the	
		373			375
1	don't have a direct relationship?		1	paid subscription world, we would have a growing	
2	A. Ultimately, they're a critical part			business. But on the other side of it, of the	
3	of the overall ecosystem. It you know,		3	paid business, is the ad-supported business.	İ
4	clearly, there are a lot of music consumers in		4	And the ad-supported ARPUs we estimate to be in	
5	the statutorily licensed services and		5	about the \$4 range per year on a wholesale	
6	understanding what those music consumers are		6	basis.	
7	doing, what's important to them is critical for		7	Q. And when you say the ad-supported	
8	our business.		8	side of the business, what are you talking about	
9	Q. And how has this transition from an		9	there?	ĺ
10	ownership model to more of an access or		10	A. Well, I'm talking about services that	
11	streaming model, how has that affected your		11	have that offer a free-to-the-consumer	
12	ability to earn a return on the types of		12	product that is monetized through advertising.	
13	investments you described in creating and		13	Q. And are you including within that	
14	distributing content?		14	description and within the ARPUS that you	
15	A. Well, whenever you go through a		15	describe of \$4 a year, both directly-licensed	
16	transition, it's it is challenging. And, you		16	partners and those who operate under the	
17	know, what we have found in our industry is		17	statutory license?	
18	through each of the major transitions that we		18	A. Yes, I am.	
	have gone through, that it is it is difficult		19	Q. Can you describe, Mr. Kooker, some of	
20	to monetize the new direction that the consumer		20	the I take it one of your goals in this	
21	is going at a rate that is replacing faster the			business is trying to get people to move from	
22	existing business that's declining.			the lower ARPU offering to a higher ARPU	
23	Q. And what measurement do you use			offering?	
24	within the Global Digital Business group to		24	A. Yes, it's critical.	
	assess the type of return on investment that you		25	Q. And what challenges does Sony Music	
	· ·	- 1		= *	

_	J			
	37	6		378
1	face in trying to get consumers to move from a	1	that favorite type of music that they're looking	
	lower ARPU offering to a higher ARPU offering?	2		
3	A. Well, I think, first, you know,	3	Q. And what are you seeing conversed on	
4	it's it's challenging to convince a consumer	4		
1	to open their wallet and pay for something that	5		
	is very similar to something that is available	6		
7	to them for free. So, you know, convincing or	7	on-demand or director license side of the	
8	providing that value ad that gets consumers to	8	business?	
9	open their wallet is critical and difficult.	9	A. Well, what we're seeing is that there	
10	Q. Okay. And why do you say that there	10		
11	is competition for free?	11	and programming within those services.	
12	A. I'm sorry?	12	Ultimately, there's a lot of debate about lean-	
13	Q. I'm sorry.	13	forward-type versus lean-back-type experiences.	
14	You said that it's difficult to get	14	And my experience in working this business for	
15	people to pay for something that is very similar	15	20 years is that music consumers are usually	
16	to what they're getting for free?	16	both. There is an element of lean forward and	
17	A. Right.	17	an element of lean back for every consumer, even	
18	Q. What is why do you think	18	the most avid music consumer, at times, wants a	
19	explain what that difficulty is.	19	lean-back experience. And so the	
20	A. Well, I think when you look at many	20	subscriptive paid subscription services have	
21	of the ad-supported offerings out there, you	21	been focused on building out their capabilities	
22	know, the service that is provided to the	22	to provide what is a great lean-back experience	
23	consumer is near on demand, and it's also	23	1	
24	provided with a very low ad load. And so the	24		
25	differentiation that most paid services have,	25	JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me. A	
	37	7		379
	which is removing ads, and it's is lost. And	1	question for you, sir.	
	having a near or having the ability to have an	2	You say it's your experience in the	
3	on-demand experience and having a near on-demand	3		
4	experience in the ad-supported tiers means that	4	and lean forward. What is the foundation for	
5	it's it's, again, very difficult to convince	5	that? Is it anecdotal in the course of your	
6	the consumer to pay an upgrade.	6	business, or are you relying on your	
7	Q. And your written testimony, this is	7	recollection of particular research or studies	
	reason remittee dine at tagtime and daganiles a			
9	your written direct testimony, describes a	8	to come to that conclusion?	
1	number of examples of you called convergence	8 9	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal	
10	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of	8 9 10	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of	
10 11	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.	8 9 10 11	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look	
10 11 12	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to	8 9 10 11 12	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within	
10 11 12 13	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?	8 9 10 11 12 13	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding	
10 11 12 13 14	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening	
10 11 12 13 14 15	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new	
10 11 12 13 14 15	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the consumer, a customization that the consumer is	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human curation because, ultimately, they believe	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the consumer, a customization that the consumer is able to to steer through favoriting [sic] or	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human curation because, ultimately, they believe that that, you know, consumers are looking	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the consumer, a customization that the consumer is able to to steer through favoriting [sic] or disliking certain content, an experience that	88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human curation because, ultimately, they believe that that, you know, consumers are looking for a better curation experience within these	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the consumer, a customization that the consumer is able to to steer through favoriting [sic] or disliking certain content, an experience that the consumer is able to steer by including	8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21 22	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human curation because, ultimately, they believe that that, you know, consumers are looking for a better curation experience within these paid services.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the consumer, a customization that the consumer is able to to steer through favoriting [sic] or disliking certain content, an experience that the consumer is able to steer by including favorite artists, by including favorite songs,	8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21 222 233	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human curation because, ultimately, they believe that that, you know, consumers are looking for a better curation experience within these paid services.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I want to explore	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	number of examples of you called convergence or also the sort of the blurring of differentiation lines.  Can you just give some examples to describe what you mean by that, sir?  A. Sure.  Well, I think, first, you know, what we see in ad-supported tiers and especially, I think, in the statutory license services is, you know, a customization of what is played for the consumer, a customization that the consumer is able to to steer through favoriting [sic] or disliking certain content, an experience that the consumer is able to steer by including	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 166 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Probably more anecdotal in just the way I mean, we have a lot of information about consumer behavior, and we look at, for example, what people are doing within our paid subscription services and understanding that a lot of the growth in place is happening within playlists. Understanding that new entrants are coming into the market where they're focused their marketing angle is that they're you know, they're focused on human curation because, ultimately, they believe that that, you know, consumers are looking for a better curation experience within these paid services.	

		380			3
1	we have other witnesses who will be coming in on		1	this on data research reporting?	
	both sides who are licensee with regard to		2		
3			3		
4			4		
5	So your testimony in that regard, is		5		
6			6	Q. Okay. Also, you	
7			7	MR. KLAUS: Do you have any other	
8			8	questions, Your Honor?	
	feel generally? And I'm trying to find out what		9	JUDGE STRICKLER: No.	
	is the foundation for that conclusion? So I		10	MR. KLAUS: Thank you.	
11	guess my question, really, is: Where does that	- 1	11	BY MR. KLAUS:	
	anecdotal what is the basis for that	İ	12	Q. Mr. Kooker, I think one of the things	
13		İ	13	you also described as moving in differentiation	
14	THE WITNESS: Well, I do know from				
15	research, which I may not be able to		15		
16	specifically reflect the exact research at this			•	
17	point, but I do know from research that		17	A. Yes.	
18	lean-back experiences are very, very important		18	Q. And contents on mobile.	
	to consumers. But in addition to that, I also		19	Could you please describe that?	
	know the information on the data that we receive		20	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Tilt that mic	
21	from the services, themselves, and it's clear	1	21	over, please.	
	that, you know, when people have the ability to		22	BY MR. KLAUS:	
	have everything they want on demand, that that		23	Q. Mr. Kooker, could you describe what's	
	play listing and curation remains an incredibly		24	going on in your development space?	
25	critical part.		25	A. Yeah. Well, again, when we talk	
		381			3:
1	In research that we do discovery is		1	about this transition from ownership to access,	
	an incredibly important part of the consumer			you know, again, what is happening with our	
3	experience with music, and that discovery is			business is similar to the transition that we	
		1			
	USUBILY Drommed in some way by either word of		4		
	usually prompted in some way by either word of			went through from physical to digital because	
	mouth from friends or social media or from what		5	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two	
6	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to		5	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and	
6 7	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services		5 6 7	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.	
6 7 8	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that		5 6 7 8	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of	
6 7 8 9	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.		5 6 7 8 9	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards	
6 7 8 9	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your	- 1	5 6 7 8 9	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access	
6 7 8 9 10	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience		5 6 7 8 9 10	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your	
6 7 8 9 10 11	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and		5 6 7 8 9 10 11	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact,	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S.,	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you, sir.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same differentiator because mobile is something that	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you, sir. MR. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same differentiator because mobile is something that is available under the statute in non-licensed	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you, sir.  MR. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge.  BY MR. KLAUS:		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same differentiator because mobile is something that is available under the statute in non-licensed services.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you, sir.  MR. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge.  BY MR. KLAUS:  Q. Maybe I can close the loop on this		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same differentiator because mobile is something that is available under the statute in non-licensed services.  Q. And could you describe what you mean	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you, sir.  MR. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge.  BY MR. KLAUS:  Q. Maybe I can close the loop on this just so there is no confusion in the record.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same differentiator because mobile is something that is available under the statute in non-licensed services.  Q. And could you describe what you mean by "upsell"?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mouth from friends or social media or from what the services, themselves, are presenting to consumers, and consumers often rate services more positively or negatively based on that discovery experience.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So, then, your anecdotal evidence is based on your experience in the industry having reviewed data and reviewed research?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: To come to that conclusion?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you, sir.  MR. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge.  BY MR. KLAUS:  Q. Maybe I can close the loop on this		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	went through from physical to digital because it's being enabled by two the same two things, which is technology on one hand and consumer demand and behavior on the other hand.  And, in particular, the piece of technology that is driving the move towards access is mobile. And the ability to access your music anywhere anytime and access your service at anywhere at anyplace at any time.  And so the ability to access music on mobile is an incredibly important function. And, in fact, was the primary upsell method that we used to launch the business in Europe. In the U.S., unfortunately, we can't use that same differentiator because mobile is something that is available under the statute in non-licensed services.  Q. And could you describe what you mean	

384 386 1 part of the awareness building process, to 1 as a result of the convergence, the lack of 2 differentiation that you've described, what are 2 hopefully get a small number of people to 3 you seeing as a result in the marketplace in 3 convert and actually go out and purchase an 4 terms of your ability to move consumers from low album at the time of release. 5 ARPU offerings to higher ARPU offerings? When you think about the way that the A. Well, in particular, we're seeing 6 access model and the access business is 7 that it is very challenging to move people into structured, ultimately, our revenue is driven by the consumption, itself; and therefore, the most paid subscription, and -- and I know that 9 because I look at our benchmarks around the valuable content is, therefore, the most popular 10 most in demand content. And so shifting from, 10 world. And so if you compare the U.S. market, 11 which is, again, 72 percent digital with a 11 you know, thinking about making that content 12 market that has a similar ratio of 12 available to the consumer to drive to a sale 13 digital/physical like Sweden where it's 82 13 actually is a completely wrong way to think 14 percent digital, in the U.S., we only have 3 14 about the access model. Ultimately, the 15 percent penetration on paid subscription 15 consumption is the end game and shifting the way 16 business; whereas, in Sweden, we have 20 16 that we handle our promotion to drive people to 17 percent. 17 that revenue-bearing consumption activity is 18 To flip that, if you look at a market 18 incredibly important. 19 like Germany, which is a late adopter market, 19 And your prior answer referred to only 24 percent of our revenue in Germany is 20 promotion with Terrestrial radio as part of the 21 digital. However, the same 3 percent 21 awareness building process. 22 penetration on subscription we have in the 22 What do you mean by that? German market as we have in the U.S. market. 23 A. Well, one of the things that we need 24 Q. What conclusions have you drawn from 24 to do whenever a new release is coming out from 25 your knowledge of this global basis about 25 an artist is get the public to be aware that the 385 387 I development within the U.S. market? 1 music is even in the marketplace, and 2 Right. 2 Terrestrial radio, historically, has been one of 3 What we do know is that ad-supported 3 the pieces to a marketing and promotion plan in 4 services that have a high level of consumption 4 building that awareness. 5 like YouTube are common in all of these markets. What are some of the other pieces of 6 One big differentiator is large ad-supported 6 that awareness building plan? 7 services through statutory license services, A. Publicity, social media, having 8 which we don't have in the other markets. 8 artists perform on television within television Q. Mr. Kooker, there has been a lot of programs, touring as well for certain artists is 10 -- there will be -- there has been, there will 10 incredibly important. 11 be a lot of discussion about issues of motion 11 And in terms of what you described as 12 and substitution in these proceedings. You 12 the new focus on promoting and driving people to 13 discussed this in your testimony and written 13 revenue bearing, revenue-generating consumption 14 testimony. If you could describe, in general, 14 activity, what have you seen in your analysis of 15 for the judges what your experience the shift 15 the marketplace evidence that tells you that --16 from the ownership to the access model has meant 16 what does that tell you about statutory 17 to Sony Music for purposes of concepts like 17 services, free ad-supported offerings driving 18 promotion and substitution? 18 consumers to higher ARPU offerings? 19 Well, I think as it relates to 19 We have very little evidence that 20 promotion, it's something that we're still 20 shows that ad-supported offerings that aren't 21 getting our arms around, because, in reality, it 21 directly linked to an upsell paid subscription 22 requires complete reengineering of our business. 22 tier have -- are driving people into other 23 The way that we historically have gone to market 23 services that have paid subscription tiers. 24 is to focus on promotion at Terrestrial radio, MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, I'm about to 25 taking our best content, making it available as 25 turn to -- for the last part of my

	In Re. Determination of Roya	шу	(Tates (Tubic) 04-20-2013	
	388			40
1 2 3	examination material that's been designated restricted. This would be a good time to CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr.	1 2 3	Q. No, it'll be a separate binder, so I will have someone hand them to you.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Here is the	
4		4		
5	If there is anyone in the courtroom	5	MR. STURM: Thank you.	
6	1 3	6	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: I'm sorry.	
7	2	7	While we're taking care of this, there's a chair	
8	*	8	blocking the door back there in the center of	
9		9	the room. It's going to need to be moved.	
10	<b>3</b> 1 1	10	Thank you.	
11	Thank you.	11	BY MR. STURM:	
12		12	Q. Do you have your written direct	
	restricted information that I'm going to	13	testimony? That's in the big binder. Sorry. I	
14	J 1 1 J	14	,	
15		15	A. No problem.	
	they stay?	16	Q. It's the first tab.	
17	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Yes.	17	A. Right.	
18 19	MR. KLAUS: Thank you.	18 19	Q. And is that SoundExchange Exhibit 12?	
19 20	(THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	20	A. Right.  Q. So Page 3 of your direct testimony	
21	(RESTRICTED SESSION BOUND SEPARATELY)	l	you talk about the A and R department at Sony.	
22	(RESTRICTED SESSION BOOND SEFARATELT)	21 22	Do you see that testimony?	
23		23	A. Yes.	
24		24	Q. And you don't have direct	
25		1	responsibility for that part of the business, do	
	408			41
1		,		41
1 2	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	2	you? A. Correct.	
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR NAB	3	Q. Okay. That organization doesn't	
4	BY MR. STURM:	4		
5	Q. Good morning, Mr. Kooker.	5	A. That's correct.	
6	I'm Michael Sturm. I represent NAB,	6	Q. The next couple of paragraphs you	
7	and we met at your deposition.	7	talk about recording songs and costs that are	
8	A. Good morning.	8	incurred in recorded songs.	
9	Q. I understand you have been with Sony	9	Do you see that testimony?	
0	, ,	10	A. I do, yeah.	
1	A. No. I've been with Sony Music and	11	Q. Okay. That organization, likewise,	
	its predecessors for almost 20 years.	12	doesn't report up to or through you, correct?	
.3	Q. Are you also on the RIAA board?	13	A. Which part of the organization?	
4	A. I am, yes.	14	Q. The recording songs.	
.5	Q. And how long have you been on that	15	A. No, it does not.	
6		16	Q. Okay. And so you gave some testimony	
۱7 ۱ <b>۷</b>	A. Probably five years.	17	earlier today about how that part of the	
8	Q. Do you have your written direct	18	business is very competitive, a very competitive	
9	testimony, which is Exhibit 12, in the big	19	environment. But that's not a competition that	
20	binder, not the one I just gave you?	20	you're directly involved in, correct?	
21	And, actually, do you have the cross	21	A. I am not directly involved in it, no.	
2		าา	And flinning over to the most rese	
	exhibits?	22	Q. And flipping over to the next page,	
23	exhibits? A. No.	23	Page 4, you talk about manufacturing costs for	
22 23 24	exhibits? A. No. Q. Okay.	23 24	Page 4, you talk about manufacturing costs for your physical products?	
23	exhibits? A. No.	23	Page 4, you talk about manufacturing costs for	

		411			413
1	Q. Is that in a is that part of the		1	that was filed in this case that there are	
2			_	currently well over a hundred employees in the	
3	A. Yes. The inventory team reports		3	radio promotion departments at Sony Music's	
4			4	major labels, you wouldn't have any basis to	
5	Q. How about then you go on to		5	question that testimony, would you?	
6	0 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1		6	A. No, I wouldn't.	
7			7	Q. Page 9 of your written direct	
8	Does that area report to you?		8	testimony mentions I don't know that you	
9	A. No. The marketing and promotion from		9	necessarily need to flip through it for this	
	the labels does not report to me.		10	question, but it mentions that there used to be	
11	Q. At the bottom of Page 4, carrying		11		
1	over to Page 5, you say: "The marketing plan		12	A. Yes.	
	for any project that generally include a variety		13	Q. Okay. And, today, we're down to	
14			14	three: You, Warner, and Universal, correct?	
1	media, live tour support, video promotion, and		15	A. Yes.	
16			16	Q. And Sony's music Sony's share of	
1	like print and TV advertising."		17	the market, according to Page 3 of your	
18	Do you see that testimony?		18	testimony, is 28.2 percent for CDs and 26.5	
19	A. I do, yes.		19	percent for digital albums; is that right?	
20	Q. So you don't mention radio promotion		20	A. Right.	
ŀ	in that sentence, right?		21	Q. And Universal is greater than that,	
22	A. No, I don't.			right? Did I misread something?	
23	Q. Okay. But you are certainly as		23	MR. KLAUS: No. I was checking to	
24	you indicated in your direct testimony, you're			see if you were getting into restricted	
1	aware that Sony promotes on radio, right?			testimony. My apologies.	
		412			414
1	A. I am aware of that, yes.		1	BY MR. STURM:	
2	Q. And I think on direct you mentioned		2	Q. So, is it your understanding	
3	that historically you have promoted through		3	Universal's market share is even bigger than	
4	radio, but, in fact, Sony still promotes through		4	yours?	
5	the radio, right?		5	A. Yes, it is.	
6	A. That's correct.		6	Q. Okay. And Warner is a little bit	
7	Q. And you still, in fact, spend more		7	smaller?	
8	money on radio than any other kind of promotion,		8	A. Yes.	
9	right?		9	Q. Now, you were involved I think you	
10	A. I'm not sure.		10	testified on direct that you have approved the	
11	Q. You don't know one way or the other?		11	majority of the direct license deals; is that	
12	A. Not definitively, no.			right?	
13	Q. Is it your belief that you do spend		13	A. Yes.	
14	the most on radio?		14	Q. Okay. And are you are you	
15	A. No. We spend quite a bit on video		15	personally involved to some extent in the	
16	promotion, as well.			negotiation of those direct deals?	İ
17	Q. Do you know Julie Swidler?		17	A. It depends. It depends on the size	
18	A. I do, yes.		18	of the deal and the nature of the deal how	
19	Q. She works with you?		19	involved my how directly involved I am in	
20	A. Yes, she does.		20	that specific negotiation.	
21	Q. And she is the executive vice		21	Q. So you're more involved in bigger	
22	president, business affairs and general counsel	ļ	22	deals; is that fair?	
23	for Sony?		23	A. Tend to be, yeah.	
24	A. Correct.		24	Q. And that's over what period of	
25	Q. Okay. If she said in a declaration		25	time have you been either negotiating or	
23	<ol> <li>2</li></ol>				I

			) 1111000 (1 1120) 01 20 2010	
	4	15		4
1	approving these direct deals?		I under the statutory license would provide a user	
2	A. Over the past three-and-a-half years.	- 1 .	2 experience similar to Terrestrial radio."	
3	Q. And over all that time, you, Sony,	- 1	B Did I read that correctly?	
4	have never lowered your proposed rate in	- 1	4 A. Yes, you did.	
5	response to a proposed a proposal by another	- 1	Q. Okay. And so and you're saying	
6	major label, correct?		5 you have knowledge of that fact and you're	
7	A. No.		declaring it is true under penalty of perjury,	
		T I		
8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		8 right?	
9	it, or that's incorrect?		A. I have a general understanding that	
10	A. Sorry. Never lowered it. We're not	10	1 3 72	
	negotiating with our competitors.	11	`	
12	Q. Okay. So you have never lowered your		2 contradict that, right? You believe that to be	
13	proposed rate to another service in response to	13	3 true?	
14	a proposal by another major label, correct?	14		
15	A. I don't know proposals from the other	1.5		
6	major labels.	10	sentences in that paragraph, you set up a	
7	Q. Well, do the when you're	13	7 dichotomy. You say: "Statutory services could	
8	negotiating these prospective licensees, do they	18	3 offer channels of particular musical genres, but	
9	ever tell you we've got a proposal from another	19	the programming can be selected by the service."	
09	label that's better than yours? Does that ever	20	And that's that's on those kind	
21	happen?	2	of services get the most statutory rate, right?	
22	A. It always happens.	22		
23	Q. Okay. And have you ever have you	23	9	
24	ever lowered your rate in response to such a	24	•	
	statement by a proposed licensee?	- 1	through a directly-licensed service. So that is	
	4	16		2
1	A. Absolutely not.		the higher direct license rate, right?	
2	Q. And you have also never lowered your			
3	proposed rate in order to get more plays from		Ç	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
4	another service, correct?	4		
5	A. No.	1 5		
6	Q. So you've never cut the price that	6	(	
7	you're offering, either, to respond to a	7	C 1	
	competitor label's price or to get more plays	8	1 5 1	
	for Sony, correct?	9	You're talking about advertising and I'm	
0	A. I have never cut we've never cut	10		
1	our price responding to a competitor's proposal	11	that starts "we found."	
2	or for more plays.	12	You say: "While there has been some	
3	Q. Could you look, please, again at your	13	growth in recent years in the advertising on	
4	written direct testimony, Page 15?	14	streaming services, neither of the amounts that	
5	You have it there?	15		
6	A. Yes.	16		
7	Q. Okay. I'm looking at the second	17		
	paragraph from the bottom, the one that starts	18		
	"as noted."	19	<del>-</del>	
0.	Do you see it there?	20		
1	A. I do, yes.	21		
2	Q. Okay. So you say in here this is	22	•	
		- 1		
	the second sentence "One of the original	23	, 8	
	justifications for allowing statutory services	24	1	
C.	to pay these lower rates was that the offering	25	the subject.	

		<del>uit</del>	<u> </u>	
	41	,		421
1	Q. Okay. So let's focus on the first	١,	will be sold, right?	
2	part of that, the amounts that advertisers pay.	2	A. I assume that they would be sold if	
3	Your testimony is that the amounts advertisers	3	they could, yes.	
4	are willing to pay is less Webcasting than it is	4	Q. You calculate in the paragraph just	
5	on Terrestrial radio, correct?	5	below that you calculate an ARPU for	
6	A. No, I don't believe that's what my	6	advertising for Pandora of 642 annually.	
7	testimony is focused on. It's focused on the	7	Do you see that?	
8	amount of advertisements that are run per hour.	8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Well, no. There's two parts of this	9	Q. So that's \$0.53 a month?	
10	statement, right? It says: "Neither the	10	A. Right.	
11	amounts that the advertisers pay nor the average	11	Q. And it wouldn't surprise you if the	
12	time that servicers run advertisements." Okay?	12		
13	So I'm going to focus on the first	13		
14	part of that statement.	14	A. It is possible.	
15	A. Right.	15	Q. Well, did you testify at your	
16	Q. Okay. So neither the amounts that	16		
17	advertisers pay. So the typical measure of what	17		
18	advertisers are willing to pay is CPM, right?	18	A. I may have, yes.	
19	A. Yeal, that's an element of measure,	19	Q. And you've read your deposition	
	yes.	20		
21	Q. Okay. And what does that stand for?	21	A. Uh-huh.	
22	Is it cost per thousand impressions, right?	22	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: I'm sorry. Mr.	
23	A. Yes.	23	Kooker, could you answer "yes" or "no" to the	
.4	Q. And CPMs are significantly lower on	24	question?	
25	Webcasting than they are on terrestrial radio,	25	THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry.	
	420			42
1		1	BY MR, STURM:	42
1 2	correct? Is that your understanding?			42
2	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but	1	Q. So you don't have any specific data	42
2	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.	1 2	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?	42
2 3 4	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because	1 2 3	<ul><li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li><li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li><li>A. No, I don't.</li></ul>	42
2 3 4	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.	1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?</li> <li>Cannibalizing?</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?</li> <li>Cannibalizing?</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away</li> <li>digital sales. Is that fair to say?</li> <li>Cannibalizing?</li> <li>A. I think streaming is having an impact</li> <li>on digital sales, yes.</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes.  Q. Would you say that streaming is	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. So, now, let's go to the second part	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?</li> <li>Cannibalizing?</li> <li>A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes.</li> <li>Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?</li> <li>A. I would say streaming is having a</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So you don't have any specific data</li> <li>on that point from simulcasting, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> <li>Q. Now, I take it that your position is</li> <li>that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?</li> <li>Cannibalizing?</li> <li>A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes.</li> <li>Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?</li> <li>A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going</li> </ul>	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition.	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition.	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition.  Q. Do you not agree with that term	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services run ads or are willing to run ads. And you say	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition.  Q. Do you not agree with that term  "cannibalizing"? You don't use that one?  A. No, I don't use it because it usually	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services run ads or are willing to run ads. And you say that it is lower for statutory services than it	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition.  Q. Do you not agree with that term  "cannibalizing"? You don't use that one?  A. No, I don't use it because it usually people try to make a direct correlation with	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services run ads or are willing to run ads. And you say that it is lower for statutory services than it is for Terrestrial radio, right?	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition. Q. Do you not agree with that term "cannibalizing"? You don't use that one?  A. No, I don't use it because it usually people try to make a direct correlation with cannibalization and saying that one service is	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services run ads or are willing to run ads. And you say that it is lower for statutory services than it is for Terrestrial radio, right?  A. Right.  Q. Okay. So, at that point, it's not	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition.  Q. Do you not agree with that term  "cannibalizing"? You don't use that one?  A. No, I don't use it because it usually  people try to make a direct correlation with cannibalization and saying that one service is harming another service, and I don't think it's	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason. Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services run ads or are willing to run ads. And you say that it is lower for statutory services than it is for Terrestrial radio, right?  A. Right. Q. Okay. So, at that point, it's not applicable to Terrestrial radio, right excuse	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition. Q. Do you not agree with that term "cannibalizing"? You don't use that one?  A. No, I don't use it because it usually people try to make a direct correlation with cannibalization and saying that one service is harming another service, and I don't think it's that straightforward.	42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct? Is that your understanding?  A. I don't know about significantly, but I believe they're lower, yes.  Q. And you believe that it's because advertising budgets haven't yet started to support Webcasting the way they support terrestrial radio, correct?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. And that that's your understanding, right?  A. That may be part of the reason.  Q. So, now, let's go to the second part of that statement, the lower sort of the lower inventory aspect of it. That part is not applicable to simulcast, right? So we're focusing here on the average time that services run ads or are willing to run ads. And you say that it is lower for statutory services than it is for Terrestrial radio, right?  A. Right.  Q. Okay. So, at that point, it's not	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So you don't have any specific data on that point from simulcasting, correct?  A. No, I don't. Q. Now, I take it that your position is that streaming has, to some extent, taken away digital sales. Is that fair to say?  Cannibalizing?  A. I think streaming is having an impact on digital sales, yes. Q. Would you say that streaming is cannibalizing digital sales?  A. I would say streaming is having a substitutional effect. Again, we're going through a transition. Q. Do you not agree with that term  "cannibalizing"? You don't use that one? A. No, I don't use it because it usually  people try to make a direct correlation with cannibalization and saying that one service is harming another service, and I don't think it's that straightforward.	42

	III Ne. Determination of Roy	1	(2 0.5.1.6) 0.1.20.2019	
	42:	3		425
1	Q. What you just spoke about, that one	1	question was asked and my response to that	
2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	question.	
3	A. Yeah. No, we do have evidence of	3	MR. STURM: Thank you, Your Honor.	
4		4		
5	1	5		
6	1	6	Before we go further, let's take our	
7		7	morning recess. Fifteen minutes.	
8	Committee of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the s	8	(A short recess was taken.)	
9		9	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Mr. Larson.	
10	A. It is, yes.     Q. Could you get the other binder	10		
12	Q. Could you get the other binder A. Sure.	11 12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	
13	Q and look at Exhibit 4176? NAB	13	PANDORA MEDIA, INC. BY MR. LARSON:	•
	4176.	14	Q. Mr. Kooker, good morning.	
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Good morning.	ĺ
16	Q. And you have seen this article	16	Q. I'm Todd Larson here representing	
1	before, haven't you?	17		
18	A. I have, yes.	18	Do you recall that I was at your	
19	Q. And that's your picture there?	19	deposition?	
20	A. That is my picture.	20	A. I do, yes.	
21	Q. All right. And you're quoted as	21	Q. I'd like to turn to Page 19 and 20 of	İ
22	saying in the second paragraph, beginning in the	22	your written testimony, if you could. And I'll	ļ
23	second paragraph: "We are constantly watching	23	tell you, if it's easier, it is in the smaller	İ
24	our business very closely and looking how	24	book. There's a tab called SX12?	
25	different channels are affecting and impacting	25	A. This one.	
	424			126
1 1	analy athon. At this point was doubt and are			
	each other. At this point, we don't see any	1	Q. You describe on 19 and 20 what you	
2	evidence that any one area is significantly	1 2	call the substitutional impact of streaming,	
3	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there	2 3	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?	
3 4	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some	2 3 4	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes.	
2 3 4 5	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this	2 3 4 5	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And you include a chart that	
2 3 4 5 6	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model	2 3 4 5 6	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were	
2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."	2 3 4 5 6 7	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?  A. Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct?  A. Correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct?  A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct?  A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57.  A. Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct?  A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57.  A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into evidence.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that — showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57. A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was produced in discovery in this case. And I believe Mr. Pomerantz used a portion of this in his opening.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into evidence.)  BY MR. STURM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57. A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was produced in discovery in this case. And I believe Mr. Pomerantz used a portion of this in his opening. Do you recognize this document?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into evidence.)  BY MR. STURM: Q. And at the time you made this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57. A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was produced in discovery in this case. And I believe Mr. Pomerantz used a portion of this in his opening.  Do you recognize this document? A. I do recognize it, yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into evidence.)  BY MR. STURM: Q. And at the time you made this statement in 2012, Pandora and SiriusXM and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57. A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was produced in discovery in this case. And I believe Mr. Pomerantz used a portion of this in his opening.  Do you recognize this document? A. I do recognize it, yes.  MR. KLAUS: And, Your Honor, I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into evidence.)  BY MR. STURM: Q. And at the time you made this statement in 2012, Pandora and SiriusXM and simulcasting were all Webcasting at that time,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57. A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was produced in discovery in this case. And I believe Mr. Pomerantz used a portion of this in his opening.  Do you recognize this document? A. I do recognize it, yes.  MR. KLAUS: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry. I don't mean to interrupt Mr. Larson.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence that any one area is significantly cannibalistic to another. Is there substitution? There is always going to be some as people move around and have choice. At this point, there is no evidence that any one model is seriously damaging any other model."  Is that the statement that you made at that conference?  A. That's the statement I made to a question that was asking about subscription streaming services.  MR. STURM: I move the admission of Exhibit 4176.  MR. KLAUS: No objection.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 4176 is admitted.  (NAB Exhibit 4176 was admitted into evidence.)  BY MR. STURM: Q. And at the time you made this statement in 2012, Pandora and SiriusXM and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	call the substitutional impact of streaming, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And you include a chart that showing that download sales for top tracks were less in 2014 than in 2013; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. But you have presented no evidence that statutory Webcasting is the cause of the declining sales data in Figure 20, correct? A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you to turn in your binder to Pan Exhibit 57. A. Yes. Q. And I'll represent that this was produced in discovery in this case. And I believe Mr. Pomerantz used a portion of this in his opening.  Do you recognize this document? A. I do recognize it, yes.  MR. KLAUS: And, Your Honor, I'm	

		Ť	- 1	
	427			42
1	restricted. I just want to make sure you're	1	Q. And do you mean to suggest by that	
2	aware of that.	2	though that they should sell more?	
3	MR. LARSON: I and my recollection	3	A. In an ideal world, yes, they would	
4	is that the page that I'm going to talk about	4	sell more.	
5	was showed in the open session.	5	Q. But are you saying they should sell	
6	MR. POMERANTZ: I don't know which	6	more?	
7	I believe I gave it in a notebook to the judges,	7	A. I'm saying that it would be preferred	
8	but I did not directly refer to it.	8	if they sold more.	
9	MR. KLAUS: That's correct.	9	Q. Have you presented any evidence in	
10	MR. LARSON: Okay. I'll hold that,	10	your testimony that Pandora could sell more ads	
1	and then we'll have a restricted session, and	11	if it wanted to?	
12	I'll deal with that document. Thank you.	12	A. I have not provided that evidence,	
13	BY MR. LARSON:	13	no.	
14	Q. Let me direct you to Page 8 of your	14	Q. And do you have any evidence as to	
5	testimony Mr. Kooker. And in the bottom two		what would happen to Pandora's user base if it	
6	paragraphs of the page, I'll just read a couple	16	ran as many ads as terrestrial radio?	
7	of sentences.	17	A. I assume that it would have an impact	
8	You say: "While revenue from	18	on their user base.	
9	physical product has been shrinking, revenue	19	Q. And that impact would be negative,	
20	from digital product has increased but nowhere	20	correct?	
21	near a level sufficient to close the gap caused	21	A. Yes.	
22	by plummeting physical sales."	22	Q. Have you presented any evidence that,	
23	Do you see that?	23		
24	A. I do, yes.	24	would cause users to chose to subscribe to the	
		l		
25	Q. And then in the following paragraph,	25	ad-free version of Pandora instead?	
	Q. And then in the following paragraph,  428	25	ad-free version of Pandora instead?	<del></del>
25	428			43
25	428 looking at the first sentence, again you mention	1	A. No. We've not done that research.	4:
1 2	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales,	1 2	<ul><li>A. No. We've not done that research.</li><li>Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you</li></ul>	4
1 2 3	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?	1 2 3	<ul> <li>A. No. We've not done that research.</li> <li>Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's</li> </ul>	4:
1 2 3 4	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes.	1 2 3 4	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?	43
1 2 3 4 5	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Is it your view that it's the	1 2 3 4 5	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct? And I'll direct you to the bottom	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as	1 2 3 4 5 6	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct? And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful.	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. We've not done that research.  Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful.  A. Yes.	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful.  A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee?	43
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not.	4:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in	4.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group	4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful.  A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee?  A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to	4.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently	4.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe?	4.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial radio; is that right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe? A. No. No evidence in my testimony	4.
1234567890123456789	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial radio; is that right?  A. Correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe? A. No. No evidence in my testimony specific to Pandora.	4.
12345678901234567890	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial radio; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And do you mean that as a criticism	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe? A. No. No evidence in my testimony specific to Pandora. Q. Now, you indicated in your direct	4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial radio; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And do you mean that as a criticism that Pandora should be selling more ads than it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe? A. No. No evidence in my testimony specific to Pandora. Q. Now, you indicated in your direct testimony that, if Sony's revenue didn't cover	4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial radio; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And do you mean that as a criticism that Pandora should be selling more ads than it is?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful.  A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee?  A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe?  A. No. No evidence in my testimony specific to Pandora. Q. Now, you indicated in your direct testimony that, if Sony's revenue didn't cover costs, you'd invest less in your business and	4.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 15 16 17 8 19 20 1 22 3	looking at the first sentence, again you mention the gap between declining physical sales, correct?  A. Yes. Q. Is it your view that it's the responsibility of statutory Webcasters such as Pandora to close the gap caused by plummeting physical sales?  A. I don't know that it's their responsibility to close the gap, but they are an important part of the overall revenue ecosystem of the business.  Q. But you're not suggesting it should be on their shoulders to close the gap?  A. Not solely, no. Q. And you observed on Page 14 that Pandora's ad load is less than terrestrial radio; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And do you mean that as a criticism that Pandora should be selling more ads than it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. We've not done that research. Q. Now, on Page 14, which is where you discuss ad load, you also note that Pandora's subscription revenues are too low, correct?  And I'll direct you to the bottom paragraph if that's helpful. A. Yes. Q. All right. Have you presented any evidence in your testimony of what would happen to Pandora's subscriber levels if it were to raise its subscription fee? A. No, I did not. Q. Have you provided any evidence in your testimony that there is a meaningful group of users who are willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora above and beyond those who currently subscribe? A. No. No evidence in my testimony specific to Pandora. Q. Now, you indicated in your direct testimony that, if Sony's revenue didn't cover	4:

_		1		
	431			433
1	your revenues stayed the came but your costs	1	Do you see that?	
- 1	went up, you'd also have to control costs and	2	A. I do, yes.	
	have less to invest in the business?	3	Q. And then in that paragraph you	
4	A. Controlling cost is a key element to	4	identify certain ways that you suggest this	
5		5	services those two categories of service are	
6	Q. Your testimony discusses in various	6	conversion; is that right?	
7	places the concepts of ARPU, correct?	7	A. Yes.	
8	A. Yes, it does.	8	Q. Both types of services are available	
9	Q. That's average revenue per user?	9	on mobile phones, right?	
10	A. Average revenue per user, yes.	10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And Sony takes a share in some form	11	Q. Does being on a mobile phone allow a	
12	of that average-revenue-per-user earnings by the	12	Pandora user to select and listen to a	
13	various services with whom you have licenses?	13	particular song on demand?	
14	A. Yes. On the subscription side of the	14	A. No, it doesn't.	
15	business, yes.	15	Q. That functionality is something that	
16	Q. And what is your Sony's ARPU from	16	a Spotify subscriber can use, correct?	
17	terrestrial radio?	17	A. Spotify paid subscriber, yes.	
18	A. Sony's ARPU from terrestrial radio is	18	Q. You say users of both services, both	
19	zero.	19	interactive and on-demand services, can share a	1
20	Q. And what is Sony's ARPU from pirated	20	playlist on social media, correct?	
21	music services?	21	A. Yes.	
22	A. The same. Zero.	22	Q. And so an example of that would be	
23	Q. You mentioned that you have a team	23	that a Pandora user can share one of his or her	
24	that works with the top 20 digital providers; is	24	stations with their Facebook friends?	
25	that right?	25	A. Yes.	
	432			434
	A. Yes, I did.	1	Q. So if I share my Pandora station or	
2	Q. How many of those people are assigned	1	one of my Pandora stations with my Facebook	
3	to the Pandora account?		friends, does that allow me or any of my friends	
4	A. None.		to select and listen to a particular song on	
5	Q. All right. Do you have a view,	5	demand?	
1 -	sitting here, of how much of Sony's revenue is	6	A. No, it doesn't.	
7	represented by Pandora royalties?	7	Q. That's something I could do on	İ
8	A. Honestly, not off the top of my head.		Rhapsody or Spotify, correct?	
9	Q. About 10 percent, do you think? Does	9	A. On the paid tier.	
10	that sound about right?	10	Q. You say in the same paragraph that	
11	A. Honesty, I don't know off the top of	11	both interactive and on-demand services make	
	my head.	12	recommendations of songs or stations for the	
13	Q. Let me direct you to Page 15 of your	13	user to listen to.	
	testimony.	14	Do you see that?	
15	Now, you say here in heading B that	15	A. Yes.	
	statutory services compete head to head with	16	Q. Just to be clear, a statutory	
17	interactive services, correct?	17	Webcaster cannot recommend a song and allow the	
18	A. Yes.	18	user to listen to that song on demand, correct?	
19	Q. And then this section generally	19	A. Correct.	1
20	discusses your claim that statutory and	20	Q. And recommending a station to a user	ŀ
21	interactive service are conversion; is that	21	on a noninteractive does not allow the user to	
	right?	22	select and listen to a particular song on	
23	A. Yes.	23	demand, correct?	
1				
24	Q. Let's look over at Page 16, the	24	A. Correct.	
25				

	435			57
1	and interactive services offer curated		(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	
	playlists?	2	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Please be	
3	A. Yes.	3	seated.	
4	Q. If a statutory Webcaster offers a	4	Before you sit, sir, please raise	
5	curated playlist, does that allow the user to	5		
6	select and listen to a particular song on	6	DARIUS VAN ARMAN,	
7	demand?	7		
8	A. No, it doesn't.	8	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified	
9	Q. An on-demand service offering a	9	as follows:	
	curated playlist, the user can see every track	10	MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, before we	
	on that playlist in advance, correct?	1	begin with Mr. Van Arman, I just want to	
12	A. Yes, they can.		introduce I think the last two members of our	
13	Q. Again, just returning to confirm to	1	team, Mr. Kuruvilla Olasa and Rachel June	
	the heading in paragraph B on Page 15, you say	1	Draper.	
		15	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	
	Webcasters compete head to head with on-demand services.	16	Welcome.	
-	A. Yes.	17		
17			MR. RICH: And, in turn, Your Honor,	
8	Q. You've presented no empirical	18		
	evidence in your testimony that statutory	19	doing the cross-examination of this witness.	
20	Webcasting acts as a substitute for on-demand	20	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Thank	
21	services like Spotify?	ı	you.	
22	A. No known empirical evidence.	22	And before we begin, if you could	
23	Q. And you've presented no evidence your	23	state your name for the record, spelling first	
	testimony of consumers' willingness to pay for	24	and last time.	
25	on-demand services, correct?	25	THE WITNESS: My name is Darius Van	
	436			5′
1	436 A. No.	1	Arman. My first name is spelled D-A-R-I-U-S.	5'
1 2	A. No.	1	Arman. My first name is spelled D-A-R-I-U-S. My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.	5
2	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. And no evidence as to how many</li></ul>	2	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.	5
2	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10</li></ul>	1	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	5
2	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand	2 3 4	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I	5
2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services?	2 3 4 5	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?	5
2 3 4	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no.	2 3 4 5 6	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services?</li> <li>A. Not in my testimony, no.  MR. LARSON: I think at this point,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services?</li> <li>A. Not in my testimony, no.  MR. LARSON: I think at this point,</li> <li>Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And no evidence as to how many</li> <li>consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10</li> <li>price that's currently charged by on-demand</li> <li>services?</li> <li>A. Not in my testimony, no.</li> <li>MR. LARSON: I think at this point,</li> <li>Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted</li> <li>material.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana and	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in	5
2345678901234567	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly	5
23456789012345678	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana and with offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly	5
234567890123456789	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly Canadian, and The Numero Group. We also have	5
2345678901234567890	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly Canadian, and The Numero Group. We also have affiliated companies that have some common	5
23456789012345678901	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly Canadian, and The Numero Group. We also have affiliated companies that have some common ownership, SC Distribution, which is a	5
234567890123456789012	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly Canadian, and The Numero Group. We also have affiliated companies that have some common ownership, SC Distribution, which is a distributor; Fort William Artist Management, and	5
2345678901234567890123	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness? CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure. MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. What do you do for a living? A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana and with offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly Canadian, and The Numero Group. We also have affiliated companies that have some common ownership, SC Distribution, which is a distributor; Fort William Artist Management, and Secretly Canadian Publishing.	5
234567890123456789012	A. No. Q. And no evidence as to how many consumers are willing to actually pay the \$10 price that's currently charged by on-demand services? A. Not in my testimony, no. MR. LARSON: I think at this point, Your Honor, I'm moving to the restricted material. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you know the drill. (THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	My last name is spelled V-A-N-A-R-M-A-N.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, may I approach to hand out the books for this witness?  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sure.  MR. POMERANTZ: Very thin.  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.  What do you do for a living?  A. So I am one of the owners and founder of Secretly Group, which is a group of independent labels based out of Indiana andwith offices, as well, in New York and in London. And the labels that comprise Secretly Group are Dead Oceans, Jagjaguwar, Secretly Canadian, and The Numero Group. We also have affiliated companies that have some common ownership, SC Distribution, which is a distributor; Fort William Artist Management, and	5

576 578 1 independent labels, my responsibilities are not 1 Bloomington, Indiana. And we started to become, 2 only dealing with the macro planning of our 2 you know, phone pals, and, you know, few years 3 business, but I'm also involved in a lot of the 3 later he said, hey, you know, want to be 4 project management and artist development. Some partners? And I said, yes. And he says, well, 5 specific roles I have is I handle our business 5 you have to move to Bloomington. And so I moved 6 affairs and our information technology efforts. to Bloomington, Indiana in 1999. And how long have you worked in the So, Mr. Van Arman, can you explain to 8 music industry? 8 the judges how the Secretly Canadian -- Secretly 9 A. Since 1996. Group of today compares to that Jagiaguwar of 10 Q. So, what did you do when you first 10 1996? got involved in the music industry? 11 A. So, in 1996, it was just myself, you 12 A. So -- you know, at the very, very 12 know, releasing records out of my bedroom. In 13 beginning of when I got involved I was actually 13 '99, when I moved to Bloomington, Indiana, it 14 in school at the University of Virginia and 14 was just four of us, initially, sharing an 15 taking classes, but also working many different 15 office and one computer, one fax line, and one 16 jobs on top of it. And one job that I, you 16 e-mail account. Now we're approximately 70 17 know, had when I was at -- in Charlottesville at 17 employees between all the labels and the 18 the University of Virginia was I did overnights 18 affiliated companies and we have offices in 19 at a home for assisted living for mentally 19 Bloomington, Indiana, New York City, London, 20 handicapped adults. And how I got in the music 20 Austin, and Chicago. 21 industry was -- well, I was doing an overnight 21 And about how many artists do you 22 and a blizzard happened in '95 or '96 in 22 work with now? 23 Charlottesville, which isn't typical for 23 We currently work with about 60 24 Charlottesville. And I was stuck at this home 24 active artists between the three labels; Dead 25 for many days, and I got a lot of overtime. 25 Oceans, Jagjaguwar, and Secretly Canadian. And 577 579 1 And, at the time, my housemate was in a band. 1 many more are reissued on Numero Group which 2 had recorded a record and couldn't find a label. 2 focused on works in the past. 3 So using this, you know, found money, I helped 3 How many sound recordings does the 4 him put out his first record. Secretly Group release each year? And that was the first Secretly A. If I had to estimate between those 6 Canadian record? 6 four labels, many hundreds, probably approaching No. The first Jagjaguwar record. So a thousand copyrights a year. 8 I founded Jagjaguwar in Charlottesville, you Q. Besides your work at Secretly Group, 9 know. Then, you know, started slowly to find 9 are you, otherwise, involved in the music 10 other artists that were near Charlottesville and 10 industry? 11 in Virginia and also helped book a tour for this 11 A. Yes. 12 first band that was on Jagjaguwar called The 12 Recently, I have become involved in 13 Curious Digits. And in booking the tour, I 13 some bodies -- you know, organizational bodies 14 wasn't a booking agent. It was a horribly 14 that have -- that represent independent 15 routed tour, you know. The average drives of 15 interests. For example, I am a board member of 16 ten hours between, you know, each show, and the 16 Merlin, a non-voting observer of the Merlin 17 shows were in Bloomington, Indiana, and 17 board. I am a founding council member of the 18 Rochester, New York, Arlington, Virginia, and a Worldwide Independent Network, which is an 19 city in West Virginia. umbrella organization that, you know, networks 20 And when this first artist of ours, 20 all the different independent label trade 21 Jagjaguwar, was in Bloomington, they stayed at 21 organizations in each country. I used to be and 22 just someone who had offered at a show to put 22 am currently running again to be on the board of 23 them up who eventually became my partner, Chris 23 A2IM, which was -- which is a -- the American 24 Swanson, who, with his partners, had started 24 Independent Label Trade Organization, sort of 25 Secretly Canadian at the same time in 25 the independent counterpart to the RIAA here in

		580		58
1	the United States of America. And I have, you		l going to pay that to you, but we're going to	
	know I'm also on the board of SoundExchange.		2 take a distribution cut for doing the	
	Recently, about three years ago, I became the		distribution work.	
	independent seat or one of the independent seats		4 Q. So in Secretly Group negotiates with	
	representing the independent perspective on the		5 digital services, are you involved in those	
6	SoundExchange board.		6 negotiations?	
7	Q. So in all of these boards, do you		7 A. Yes.	
8	ever encounter other independent record		R Q. And, you know, are you okay. Are	
9	companies?		you involved in those locations are you also	
10	A. Yes, quite frequently.	10		
11	Q. And do you talk with them about their		I the digital services?	
	business?	12	_	
13	A. Yes.	1	businesses, we have a small business affairs	
14	Q. And let me ask you: I think you		4 team. So much of the time our general counsel	
	mentioned earlier that Secretly Group also has a		5 is having those conversations. We sometimes	
	distribution company; is that right?	- 1	6 also rely on collective licensing where we're	
17	A. Yes.	1		
8	So we have one of the affiliated	11		
9	companies to Secretly Group is SC Distribution,	19		
	which is an international distributor. SC	1	or relied on the agreements of a major, that they	
	Distribution distributes not only the four	L	I have negotiated when we were distributed	
	Secretly Group labels that I have a you know,		2 digitally by a major recording company.	
	an ownership stake in, but also, you know,	23		
!4	approximately 40 to 45 other labels that I have		Secretly Group sometimes negotiates directly	
	no ownership stake in at all. We're you		5 with the digital music service, right? That's	
		581		58
1	know, when talking about SC Distribution, it's	;	l one way you distribute?	
	a it's an independent distributor that is	2	2 A. Yes.	
	competing with other independent distributors	3	Q. You reference collective licensing.	
4	and the major distribution arms.		What is that?	
5	Q. So when you're distributing the other	:	A. So collective licensing, one of the	
6	independent record labels, are you privy to	1 6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7			organization that I am an observer on called	
	information about their businesses?		<del>-</del>	
8	information about their businesses?  A. Yes.	7	organization that I am an observer on called Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its	
		5	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that	
9	A. Yes.	5	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 3 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are	
9 0	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them		7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 9 independent labels and independent distributors.	
9 0 1	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings?	10	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively	
9 0 1 2	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes.	10 11	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively 12 negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a	
9 0 1 2	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you communicate with them</li> <li>about their sound recordings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say that you distribute</li> </ul>	10 11 12	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively 12 negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a 13 digital service and tries to work out what	
9 0 1 2 3	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that?	10 11 12 13	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively 12 negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a 13 digital service and tries to work out what 14 Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So a distributor, you know a</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively 12 negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a 13 digital service and tries to work out what 14 Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable 15 agreement with that service. And after	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights</li> </ul>	10 10 11 12 13 14	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively 12 negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a 13 digital service and tries to work out what 14 Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable 15 agreement with that service. And after 16 negotiating with the service and coming to some	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and	10 11 12 13 14 15	7 Merlin, that's an example of an agency that 8 collectively negotiates on behalf of its 9 membership, and Merlin's membership are 10 independent labels and independent distributors. 11 And so when something is collectively 12 negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a 13 digital service and tries to work out what 14 Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable 15 agreement with that service. And after 16 negotiating with the service and coming to some 17 agreement, approaches its membership and says,	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and says, you know, hey, we will, you know,	10 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its membership, and Merlin's membership are independent labels and independent distributors. And so when something is collectively negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a digital service and tries to work out what Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable agreement with that service. And after negotiating with the service and coming to some agreement, approaches its membership and says, hey, we collectively negotiated this on behalf	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and says, you know, hey, we will, you know, distribute your recordings whether they're in	10 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its membership, and Merlin's membership are independent labels and independent distributors. And so when something is collectively negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a digital service and tries to work out what Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable agreement with that service. And after negotiating with the service and coming to some agreement, approaches its membership and says, hey, we collectively negotiated this on behalf of our membership, would you like to opt in or	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and says, you know, hey, we will, you know, distribute your recordings whether they're in physical form or digitally throughout the world.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its membership, and Merlin's membership are independent labels and independent distributors. And so when something is collectively negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a digital service and tries to work out what Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable agreement with that service. And after negotiating with the service and coming to some agreement, approaches its membership and says, hey, we collectively negotiated this on behalf of our membership, would you like to opt in or opt out of this agreement?	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and says, you know, hey, we will, you know, distribute your recordings whether they're in physical form or digitally throughout the world. We're going to send your product, you know,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its membership, and Merlin's membership are independent labels and independent distributors. And so when something is collectively negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a digital service and tries to work out what Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable agreement with that service. And after negotiating with the service and coming to some agreement, approaches its membership and says, hey, we collectively negotiated this on behalf of our membership, would you like to opt in or opt out of this agreement?  Q. Mr. Van Arman, why would an	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and says, you know, hey, we will, you know, distribute your recordings whether they're in physical form or digitally throughout the world. We're going to send your product, you know, through our distribution network. We're going	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its membership, and Merlin's membership are independent labels and independent distributors. And so when something is collectively negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a digital service and tries to work out what Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable agreement with that service. And after negotiating with the service and coming to some agreement, approaches its membership and says, hey, we collectively negotiated this on behalf of our membership, would you like to opt in or opt out of this agreement?  Q. Mr. Van Arman, why would an independent record company like the Secretly	
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	A. Yes. Q. And do you communicate with them about their sound recordings? A. Yes. Q. And when you say that you distribute them what do you mean by that? A. So a distributor, you know a distributor enters into agreements with rights holders, whether it's a label or an artist and says, you know, hey, we will, you know, distribute your recordings whether they're in physical form or digitally throughout the world. We're going to send your product, you know, through our distribution network. We're going to enter into digital service agreements with	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Merlin, that's an example of an agency that collectively negotiates on behalf of its membership, and Merlin's membership are independent labels and independent distributors. And so when something is collectively negotiated, an agency such as Merlin goes to a digital service and tries to work out what Merlin thinks is a commercially reasonable agreement with that service. And after negotiating with the service and coming to some agreement, approaches its membership and says, hey, we collectively negotiated this on behalf of our membership, would you like to opt in or opt out of this agreement?  Q. Mr. Van Arman, why would an independent record company like the Secretly Group label, why would they want to work for	

		584		58
1	of the larger independent companies, labeled		1 digital streaming. They report that revenue	
	groups in the United States, we often have a		2 back to you and then they take a cut before	
	difficulty getting access to a negotiation.		3 they, you know, pay all that revenue to you.	
	Some digital services won't really negotiate		4 Q. So we have talked about distributing	
	with us. They will provide us		5 through SC Distribution, and collective	
	take-it-or-leave-it deals often at the eleventh		6 licensing through Merlin, and distributing	
	hour. And so even for a company our size, we		7 through a major.	
	have seen that when a license is collectively		8 Are you aware of any other ways that	
	negotiated, the terms that are offered to us		9 independent record companies distribute their	
	that we can opt into are more favorable than	1	0 recordings to digital music services?	
	what we can achieve on our own.	1	1 A. Well, you know, you said, you know,	
2	Q. And I believe you mentioned that you		2 distribute through a major through a company	
	were, at one point, digitally distributed	Ι.	3 like SC Distribution. Some and maybe you	
	through a major; is that right?		4 said this, but I didn't hear this, Anjan. Some	
15	A. Correct.	- 1	5 you know, some labels and artists distribute	
6	Q. What major was that?	- 1	6 directly. You know, they will try to build up	
7	A. Warner Music Group.		7 their own direct-to-consumer sales network.	
8	Q. And why would you license your sound	1	8 They might engage in negotiations directly with	
9	recordings through a major?	- 1	9 digital services and they don't rely on	
20	A. Well, at the time when we were a	2	0 distributors or licensed bodies like Merlin to	
21	smaller company we didn't really have an option.	2	1 negotiate deals on their behalf.	
	We needed some help with distribution, physical	- 1	Q. I'm going to ask you to look at Tab 1	
	distribution, and part of our distribution	- 1	3 of the black binder in front of you. Look at	
	agreement with Warner Music Group was that they	i	4 what has been marked as SoundExchange Trial	
	required our digital distribution.	2	5 Exhibit 0020.	
	······································			
		505		_
		585		5
1	I talk about this a little bit in my		1 Do you recognize this document?	5
2	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels		A. I apologize. Which document again?	5
2	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner			5
2 3 4	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the		<ol> <li>A. I apologize. Which document again?</li> <li>Q. Behind Tab 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ol>	5
2 3 4 5	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were		<ol> <li>A. I apologize. Which document again?</li> <li>Q. Behind Tab 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Yes, I do recognize this document.</li> </ol>	5
2 3 4 5 6	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the		<ul> <li>A. I apologize. Which document again?</li> <li>Q. Behind Tab 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Yes, I do recognize this document.</li> <li>Q. What is that document?</li> </ul>	5
2 3 4 5 6 7	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital		<ul> <li>A. I apologize. Which document again?</li> <li>Q. Behind Tab 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Yes, I do recognize this document.</li> <li>Q. What is that document?</li> <li>A. This is my testimony that I put forth</li> </ul>	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels.		<ul> <li>A. I apologize. Which document again?</li> <li>Q. Behind Tab 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Yes, I do recognize this document.</li> <li>Q. What is that document?</li> <li>A. This is my testimony that I put forth</li> <li>to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for</li> </ul>	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was		2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were	1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 0 Q. And did you prepare this testimony?	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner	1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us	1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 0 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally	11 11 11 11	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last 3 page of that exhibit, is that your signature?	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.	1 1 1 1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last 3 page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through	11 11 11 11	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last 3 page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What	1 1 1 1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 0 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through	1 1 1 1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written testimony true and correct?	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written testimony true and correct? 7 A. Yes.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What do they do for you? What do you do for them?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last 3 page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written testimony true and correct? 6 A. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 8 MR. CHOUDHURY: At this time, I would	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What do they do for you? What do you do for them?  A. So when a major distributes our	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth 8 to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for 9 this rate proceeding. 0 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last 3 page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written 6 testimony true and correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 MR. CHOUDHURY: At this time, I would 9 move for admission of SoundExchange Trial	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What do they do for you? What do you do for them?  A. So when a major distributes our labels or any labels, it's the same thing as	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A. I apologize. Which document again?  Q. Behind Tab 1.  A. Okay.  Yes, I do recognize this document.  Q. What is that document?  A. This is my testimony that I put forth  to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for  this rate proceeding.  Q. And did you prepare this testimony?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last  page of that exhibit, is that your signature?  A. Yes.  Q. Is the information in your written  testimony true and correct?  A. Yes.  MR. CHOUDHURY: At this time, I would  move for admission of SoundExchange Trial  Exhibit 0020.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What do they do for you? What do you do for them?  A. So when a major distributes our labels or any labels, it's the same thing as what SC Distribution does. They receive your	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	A. I apologize. Which document again?  Q. Behind Tab 1.  A. Okay.  Yes, I do recognize this document.  Q. What is that document?  A. This is my testimony that I put forth  to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for  this rate proceeding.  Q. And did you prepare this testimony?  A. Yes, I did.  Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last  page of that exhibit, is that your signature?  A. Yes.  Q. Is the information in your written  testimony true and correct?  A. Yes.  MR. CHOUDHURY: At this time, I would  move for admission of SoundExchange Trial  Exhibit 0020.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What do they do for you? What do you do for them?  A. So when a major distributes our labels or any labels, it's the same thing as what SC Distribution does. They receive your physical product. They send it out into the	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written testimony true and correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 MR. CHOUDHURY: At this time, I would move for admission of SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 0020. 1 MS. VOLKMAR: Good afternoon, Your Honor.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	I talk about this a little bit in my testimony. It was only for a few more labels that I had an ownership stake in that Warner Music Group digitally distributed; but, at the same time, for all the other labels that were distributed, we developed the ability, the capability to distribute and enter into digital service agreements on behalf of those labels. And so, you know, at some point recently, it was at the end of 2013, beginning of 2014, we were in a position with our relationship with Warner Music Group, they continued to distribute us physically but we were able to start digitally distributing our content directly.  Q. And when you're distributing through a major, how does that relationship work? What do they do for you? What do you do for them?  A. So when a major distributes our labels or any labels, it's the same thing as what SC Distribution does. They receive your physical product. They send it out into the marketplace. They digitally distribute your	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	2 A. I apologize. Which document again? 3 Q. Behind Tab 1. 4 A. Okay. 5 Yes, I do recognize this document. 6 Q. What is that document? 7 A. This is my testimony that I put forth to the copyright royalty judges in the fall for this rate proceeding. 9 Q. And did you prepare this testimony? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to the last page of that exhibit, is that your signature? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Is the information in your written testimony true and correct? 6 A. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 8 MR. CHOUDHURY: At this time, I would move for admission of SoundExchange Trial 9 Exhibit 0020. 1 MS. VOLKMAR: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 2 Honor. 3 My name is Jillian Volkmar and I	5

		588			59
1	to the admission of Mr. Van Arman's written	ļ	1	briefly?	
	direct testimony.	1	2	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: You may.	
3	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Can you state	-	3	MR. FAKLER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
-	them?		4	I would also just in supplement to	
5	MS. VOLKMAR: Sure.		5	that note that both the regulations of the	
6	So we object to Page 12, Paragraph 2,		6	Copyright Royalty Judges 351.4 and also the	
	which starts with "While I do not know the terms		7	statute, itself, in Section 803(b)(6)(C)(ii)(II)	
	of the major record company licenses with Apple	1	8	does very clearly differentiate between	
	for its iTunes radio services, I suspect this is		9	testimony when it's discussing the written	
	essentially what happens." And we object to		10		
11	that whole paragraph for a lack of foundation		11		
	and speculation.		12	testimony and the exhibits, both of much both	
3	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. And	ŀ	13	of which must be included within the written	
	other objections?	İ	14		
15	MS. VOLKMAR: If you turn to the next		15	Thank you.	
	page starting with the first paragraph, first		16	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	
	sentence: "Indeed, with respect to other		17	MR. CHOUDHURY: And just briefly, of	
	digital services, I have even heard discussion		18	course Your Honor knows what you all intended in	
19	of a negative Most Favored Nations," that whole			the April 9th order. But let me just add that,	
20	sentence for a lack of foundation and hearsay.			as you well know, that when we filed objection	
1	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Others?			to the exhibits, including the written direct	
22	MS. VOLKMAR: That's it.			testimony listed as exhibits, the services	
23	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.			responded by saying that our objections at that	
24	Do you want to respond?	- 1		time were untimely. They responded by saying	
	20 Jou main to respond:				
25	MR. CHOUDHURY: Yes, Your Honor.			they were out of time, motion to strike. And	
25		589			<u></u>
25		589	25	they were out of time, motion to strike. And	5
1	First, as a sort of threshold matter,	589	25	they were out of time, motion to strike. And that was on April 20th.	5
1 2	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear	589	25	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after	5
1 2 3	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to	589	25 1 2	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case	5
1 2 3 4	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated	589	25 1 2 3	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty	51
1 2 3 4 5	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This	589	1 2 3 4	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case	51
1 2 3 4 5 6	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written	589	1 2 3 4	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This	589	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be	59
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other	589	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have	589	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other	589	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date	5'
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.	589	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The	5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to	589	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response.	5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.	589	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written	5'
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits objections at	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which self-distinguished between testimony and		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits objections at that time were out of time or waived.	5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which self-distinguished between testimony and exhibits to require objections to testimony, which we believe that CRB regulations at 37		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits objections at that time were out of time or waived.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: The objection	5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which self-distinguished between testimony and exhibits to require objections to testimony, which we believe that CRB regulations at 37 C.F.R., Section 351, support our position that a		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is — this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be — that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own — at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits — objections at that time were out of time or waived.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: The objection is overruled. We will admit Exhibit 20, but we certainly understand and can recognize	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which self-distinguished between testimony and exhibits to require objections to testimony, which we believe that CRB regulations at 37		25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits objections at that time were out of time or waived.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: The objection is overruled. We will admit Exhibit 20, but we	51
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 2	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which self-distinguished between testimony and exhibits to require objections to testimony, which we believe that CRB regulations at 37 C.F.R., Section 351, support our position that a participant can object to testimony as it is		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits objections at that time were out of time or waived.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: The objection is overruled. We will admit Exhibit 20, but we certainly understand and can recognize speculative testimony, hearsay testimony,	5'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	First, as a sort of threshold matter, we believe that your April 9th order made clear that any objections, outside of relevance, to the admissibility of exhibits had to be stated in the April 20th filings of the parties. This written testimony and all of our written testimony were exhibits. And, as you know, we, of course, objected to the exhibits on the other side. So we believe that these objections have been waived.  In addition, I'm happy to respond to the merits of either of the two objections.  MS. VOLKMAR: Given the clear CRB regulations and consistent practice, we did not understand and none of our co-services understood the April 9th order, which self-distinguished between testimony and exhibits to require objections to testimony, which we believe that CRB regulations at 37 C.F.R., Section 351, support our position that a participant can object to testimony as it is being offered during the course of the hearing.		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that was on April 20th.  We received these objections after midnight last night. And if there was a case for waiver, I think this is this is a pretty good case for waiver because the thought that the judges made a very clear order that said that what is on the exhibit list should be that the objection should be filed by that date except with respect to relevance, and that's not the objections we're talking about here. The services saw what SoundExchange did in response. They saw that they noticed this written testimony as exhibits at the time, and they, in fact, admitted their own at least two of the services, they made their own statement that even SoundExchange's exhibits objections at that time were out of time or waived.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: The objection is overruled. We will admit Exhibit 20, but we certainly understand and can recognize speculative testimony, hearsay testimony, testimony not based on personal knowledge, and	59

_			_		
!		592			594
1	(SoundExchange Exhibit 0020 was		1	their recordings.	
2	admitted into evidence.)		2	Q. And how does Secretly Group find	
3	BY MR. CHOUDHURY:		3	their artists?	
4	Q. So, Mr. Van Arman, you know, the		4	A. Many different ways. You know, we	
5	judges are aware that I am a big fan of Katy		5	used to listen to every demo that came to our	
6	Perry, but I am also a big fan of indie labels.	-	6	companies and that, you know, it was a big	-
7	Can you tell us about some of the		7	investment of time. We also get referrals from	
8	artists that are signed at Secretly Group?	ŀ		the artists we work with. They say, hey, you	
9	A. For sure.		9	know, I went on tour and I played with this band	
10	So our best selling artist is Bon			in Boston and I think you should check them out,	
- 1	Iver, who worldwide we sold more than a million	- 1	11	and they would put us in touch with artists that	
1	records and they have gone gold. And two of	- 1		they actually played with or knew, and so we	
13	those records have gone gold, and he's won		13	often discover artists from referrals.	
14	multiple Grammy awards. Recently, winning at		14	We often get approached by managers	
15	best new artist, which is one of the major		15	and lawyers who we work with already who say,	
16	Grammy awards.		16		
17	We also released recordings by a		17		
18	comedian named Tig Notaro, who was nominated	- 1	18	time attending shows, at showcases where our	
19	recently for best comedy album. And, you know,	- 1	19	staff and myself were avid music fans. So we're	[
20	her record, when it came out that year, was the		20	always listening to what's out there in the	
21	best selling comedy record in the world.	- 1	21	marketplace, and so sometimes we also reach out	
22	We also work with other artists that		22	to an artist and say, hey, we would like to get	
23	are renowned and critically renowned, Dinosaur	- 1	23	to know you and see if we can maybe work with	
24	Jr., who's an artist that's been around since		24	you.	
	1994 creating, you know, what we think is a very	- 1	25	Q. Can you think of an example of one of	
	•	593			595
1	vital important music. We also are about to		1	these artists you discovered in this way?	
	reissue the recordings of Yoko Ono. Some of her		2	A. One artist that we recently became	
3	classic records we're going to be bringing back		3	partners with is this artist name Angel Olsen.	
4	at the marketplace and repackaging them and		4	And we this is an artist that we sort of	
5	reintroducing them.		5	discovered in two different ways at the same	
6	Q. And can you clarify you know, can		6	time. My one of my colleagues, John Coons,	
7	you elaborate for the judges what does The		7	who's a used to be a project manager and do	
8	Numero Group do?		8	A&R for us, he learned about her music from a	
9	A. The Numero Group focuses sort of		9	compilation that his friend put out, another	
10	reissues and going back in time and finding		10	indie label in Chicago, and so she he heard	
11	artists and recordings that maybe didn't receive	[ ]	11	Angel Olsen's recording and his ears were perked	
12	the attention they deserved when they came out	:	12	and he wanted to learn about more who she was	
13	originally. And one example of a Numero Group		13	and see if we could maybe work with her.	
14	compendium or compilation is Purple Snow, the	:	14	At the very same time, there was an	J
15	Minneapolis Sound, which is a compilation of,	:	15	artist that I worked with very early on, it was	
16	you know, obscure, soul, and R&B artists from	:	16	our second Jagjaguwar artist, a gentleman named	
17	Minneapolis just prior to Prince becoming		17	Rick Alverson, who is now a movie director, and	
18	renowned for what he has contributed to our		18	he was, you know, shooting a movie and putting	
19	musical landscape.		19	together a trailer for that movie, and the	Ì
20	And so they really focus on finding	2	20	soundtrack to that trailer that he shared with	
21	work that the public really haven't, you know,	2	21	me over the Internet had Angel Olsen's voice on	
22					l l
122	spent a lot of time in, reconceptualizing them,	1	22	it. And I got this trailer from Rick and	ſ
23	spent a lot of time in, reconceptualizing them, doing these exquisite liner notes and getting it		22 23	immediately I reached out to him and said, who	
23 24	spent a lot of time in, reconceptualizing them, doing these exquisite liner notes and getting it back out there for the public to get another	2		immediately I reached out to him and said, who is this amazing voice. She sounds like Patsy	
23 24	spent a lot of time in, reconceptualizing them, doing these exquisite liner notes and getting it	2	23	immediately I reached out to him and said, who	

596 598 1 you know, any voice I have heard. 1 independent community is a big community. But And, you know, from there, you know, 2 more so than a major regarding companies, I 3 he put me in touch with her. You both John and 3 think independent labels have, you know, a 4 I attended numerous shows that she performed in 4 direct connection to their artists, and there's 5 New York City. I traveled to Chicago to sit 5 more of a conversation about how the records are 6 down with her. And, you know, we had a 6 going to be marketed. And they devote a lot of 7 conversation, it led to other conversations. 7 time to really understanding, you know, the kind 8 But we got a sense of whether we could work well of language that's specifically used and in 9 together. She learned about who we were. We discussing these recordings and promoting the 10 had an opportunity to learn about what she 10 artists, and it's in partnership with the 11 wanted in a label, what kind of support she 11 artist. 12 wanted, and then we decided to work together. 12 So let me ask you: What is Secretly So let me ask you: -- you know, so 13 Group's business model? 14 Ms. Olsen is now signed to the Secretly Group. 14 A. If we try to make a profit on the 15 What do your record labels do to 15 majority of records we release -- you know, if 16 support her recordings? 16 we release between the labels in Secretly Group, 17 So we -- you know, first, one of the 17 40 releases a year, we want to -- our goal is to 18 objective ways we help the artists that we have 18 make a profit on the majority of those releases. 19 an opportunity to work with as we put together 19 And why that model? 20 recording funds, that's part of the agreements 20 A. We just feel it's sustainable. We 21 we do with an artist, is we're going to fund 21 feel -- you know, most of our agreements with 22 your recordings. And so we help in that 22 artists are net profit deals. So if, you know, 23 objective way, but part of that, too, is we have 23 we are doing this in partnership with an artist, 24 a lot of conversations about how are you going 24 you know, they're not going to get any 25 to record this record, and -- and we introduced 25 additional payment from us, in many cases, 597 599 1 her to a producer name John Congleton, who we 1 unless we are profitable on one of their 2 felt like did exceptional work. He recently won 2 releases. And so for us, that's a 3 a Grammy. And they started communicating and 3 responsibility that we take very seriously. And 4 they realized that they could work well together 4 so, for us, it's not a workable business model 5 so they made the record. 5 to lose money on nine projects, but hit one out Once we got the recording that they 6 of the ballpark and have a blockbuster that 7 created, we spent a lot of time talking with her keeps the lights on. We really want to have 8 directly and her manager about how to introduce 8 sustainable budgets and be profitable on -- the 9 this to the market, how to have her music become goal is on every release, but, obviously, that's 10 discovered by fans all over the world. We 10 not always possible. So it's -- we try to make 11 orchestrated press trips. We had her travel to sure we're profitable in the majority of our 11 12 London, met her team there. We talked about 12 releases. And so how did digital revenues play 13 what kinds of videos we wanted. We funded those 13 Q. 14 videos, and we got a sense of exactly how she 14 into your business model? 15 wanted to approach the marketplace. And we did 15 A. Well, you know, early on, in 1996, we 16 our best to, you know, market the record and 16 are largely physically focused. It was all 17 maximize the revenues we could earn from these 17 about selling CDs and eventually -- also when we 18 recordings, while at the same time honoring her 18 could afford it, it was about selling vinyl, as 19 vision for how she wanted her music presented to 19 well. 20 the world. 20 Now, you know, a majority of our 21 Q. And, in your experience, is that 21 revenues is from digital distribution of our 22 typical of how independent record companies 22 copyrights that we release in partnership with 23 support their artists? our artists. So, for us, digital revenues are a A. For many of the companies I know, 24 very critical part of the revenue that we depend 25 yes, there's a -- you know, there's a -- the 25 on to keep our lights on and keep doing the good

		600			60
1	work we're trying to do for ourselves and for		1	other ways that you can get value out of the	
	our artists.		2	deal other than the royalty rate.	
3	Q. And, Mr. Van Arman, you compared		3	We, you know, have seen deals when,	
	today to 1996, but what about over the last five		4	you know, where not just a royalty rate, but	
	years? How have digital revenues changed or not		5	other things like guarantees an advances are	
	changed in your business model?		6	offered where, you know, we receive compensation	
7	A. In the last five years, the digital		7	that isn't coming from actual performances or	
	revenues have become tripled and have become a		8	streams of our masters. It's for example, we	
	majority of our revenue compared to physical.		9	could be offered, you know, half a penny per	
	Right now, our digital revenues compared to our		10	stream from a service who also says, you know,	
	physical revenues represents, roughly, 65		11		
	percent of the pie.			\$100,000.	
13	Q. And when you say, "digital revenue,"		13	Well, at the end of Year 1 excuse	
	what are you including?			me, I should clarify. A hundred thousand	
15	A. I'm including not only permanent		15	dollars as a guarantee on what streams. And at	
	downloads such as MP3s that one might purchase			the end of Year 1, our content has only streamed	
	on the iTunes music store, but also the revenues		17	enough to generate \$50,000 of revenue, as an	
	that we receive from streaming services.		18	example. Well, they're guaranteed to pay us the	
9	Q. And when you say, "streaming		19	minimum of \$100,000, so there's extra \$50,000	
	services," what do you mean?			we're going to receive as part of this deal and	
21	A. So the streaming services, to name a		21	because that extra \$50,000 is not attributable	
	few, is Spotify, Rdio, Rapsody, you're talking			to actual streaming of any recording, in a	
	the interactive streaming services where a			sense, it's found money. It's unattributable	
	consumer can choose exactly what they want to			and we refer to that kind of money as breakage.	
	listen to on a track-by-track basis. And they		25	And when we're considering the total	
		ļ			
		601			6
1	also include noninteractive services like	601	1	value of a digital streaming deal, we consider	6
		601		value of a digital streaming deal, we consider the possibility of that breakage and that's part	6
2	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that	601	2	the possibility of that breakage and that's part	6
2	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.	601	2		$\epsilon$
2 3 4	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users. So those are some examples of streaming services	601	2	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.	ć
2 3 4	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.	601	2 3 4 5	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital	e
2 3 4 5 6	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van	601	2 3 4 5 6	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your	6
2 3 4 5 6	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."	601	2 3 4 5	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van	601	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service,	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that	$\epsilon$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're	$\epsilon$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the — like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital	66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as what you will receive in revenue for each stream		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is acceptable to you in the event that we can guarantee a certain amount of money at the end	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as what you will receive in revenue for each stream that occurs.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is acceptable to you in the event that we can guarantee a certain amount of money at the end of Year 1 or end of Year 2, is that something	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with  Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as what you will receive in revenue for each stream that occurs.  Sometimes in digital streaming deals,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is acceptable to you in the event that we can guarantee a certain amount of money at the end of Year 1 or end of Year 2, is that something you're more interested in?	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as what you will receive in revenue for each stream that occurs.  Sometimes in digital streaming deals, you're offered a lot of other things, and some		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is acceptable to you in the event that we can guarantee a certain amount of money at the end of Year 1 or end of Year 2, is that something you're more interested in?  If we were to give you a guarantee of	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as what you will receive in revenue for each stream that occurs.  Sometimes in digital streaming deals, you're offered a lot of other things, and some of those things could be like an advance on the		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is acceptable to you in the event that we can guarantee a certain amount of money at the end of Year 1 or end of Year 2, is that something you're more interested in?  If we were to give you a guarantee of a hundred thousand dollars or a million dollars,	6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	iHeartRadio or Pandora or iTunes radio that don't give as much interactivity to the users.  So those are some examples of streaming services who's revenue we rely on.  Q. Now, in your testimony, Mr. Van  Arman, you refer to a concept called "breakage."  Can you explain what you mean by that term?  A. Yes.  When we're negotiating an agreement with a digital service or distributor that we rely on in the like when we worked with Warner Music Group or Merlin, when they negotiate a deal that we opt into, one aspect of an agreement is the headline royalty rate.  It's, you know, basically what is offered as what you will receive in revenue for each stream that occurs.  Sometimes in digital streaming deals, you're offered a lot of other things, and some		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the possibility of that breakage and that's part of our calculation whether a deal is a good deal for us.  Q. So in your negotiations with digital services, how does breakage factor into your negotiations?  A. Well, sometimes a digital service, you know, might approach an independent label like ours and propose a streaming rate of X, and we communicate with that streaming service that such royalty rate is not sufficient, that we're going to decline their offer.  They might come back, the digital service, and say, okay, we can't adjust the royalty rate, but if X is a royalty rate is acceptable to you in the event that we can guarantee a certain amount of money at the end of Year 1 or end of Year 2, is that something you're more interested in?  If we were to give you a guarantee of	6

		504		60
1	us than just a royalty rate without a guarantee.		those kinds of proposals, it's not hard for us	
2		2		
3		3		
4		4		
5		5		
6	JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me, Mr. Van	6	interactive market, the non-statutory market,	
7		7	have you passed on any licenses and negotiations	
8	Have you ever been involved in a	8	with them?	
9	negotiation with a streaming service where you	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
10	weren't able to come to terms, and therefore,	10	One such license is in my testimony,	
11	you did not enter into an agreement with them?	11	which I can't identify publicly, but yes.	
2		12		
13	JUDGE STRICKLER: Does that happen	13	MR. CHOUDHURY: If Your Honor wants	
[4	regularly?	14	to hear more about that, we just need to go into	
5	THE WITNESS: It happens	15	a closed session. I'm sure the witness will be	
16	occasionally, yes.	16	happy to talk about it.	
7	JUDGE STRICKLER: If you can, what	17	JUDGE STRICKLER: We can wait.	
8	percent of the time does that happen?	18	MR. CHOUDHURY: We were planning to	
9	THE WITNESS: For us you know, I	19	sort of bring that up at the end and that way,	
20	am not involved directly in all of our	20	we can keep the open session.	
21	negotiations, but of the three that I have been	21	BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	
22	involved with, I would say we have passed on	22	Q. You also refer to play share	
	two.	23	incentives. Can you tell the judges what you	
23				
	JUDGE STRICKLER: And how many have	24	mean by that?	
24	JUDGE STRICKLER: And how many have there been total for your organization, whether	24 25		
24	there been total for your organization, whether			60
24 25	there been total for your organization, whether	505	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive	6
24 25 1	there been total for your organization, whether  or not you've been involved in? How many	505	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive services, consumers really don't know how the	6
24 25 1	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?	505	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services,	6
1 24 25	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great	505	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive  services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know,	6
1 2 3	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.	505 1 2 3	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive  services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated	6
1 2 3 4	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital	505 1 2 3 4 5	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive  services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how	6
1 2 3 4	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.	505 1 2 3 4 5	A. Sure. So for many noninteractive  services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share	6
1 2 3 4 5 6	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.	6
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming. So we rely, for most of our digital	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation.	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do	6
1234567890123	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming. So we rely, for most of our digital	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say,	6
12345678901234	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation.  So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals.	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our	6
14.5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation. So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's	6
1234567890123456	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation. So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation. So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement negotiation, some sort of guarantee of the	6
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation. So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten deals that we have done direct negotiations	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement	6
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation. So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten deals that we have done direct negotiations with. And of those less than ten deals, I think	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement negotiation, some sort of guarantee of the market share of listening or streams that are occurring from that service.	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation.  So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals.  There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten deals that we have done direct negotiations with. And of those less than ten deals, I think maybe a minority of them, two or three we've entered into deals.	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement negotiation, some sort of guarantee of the market share of listening or streams that are occurring from that service.  Q. You know, do you think that play	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation. So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten deals that we have done direct negotiations with. And of those less than ten deals, I think maybe a minority of them, two or three we've	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement negotiation, some sort of guarantee of the market share of listening or streams that are occurring from that service.  Q. You know, do you think that play share incentives are healthy for the market?	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation.  So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals.  There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten deals that we have done direct negotiations with. And of those less than ten deals, I think maybe a minority of them, two or three we've entered into deals.  Often we've been approached by noninteractive services with direct licenses	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement negotiation, some sort of guarantee of the market share of listening or streams that are occurring from that service.  Q. You know, do you think that play share incentives are healthy for the market?  A. I have testified that it isn't good	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or not you've been involved in? How many negotiations?  THE WITNESS: That's a great question.  And are you talking about all digital negotiations, whether streaming or download streams?  JUDGE STRICKLER: Let's just do streaming.  THE WITNESS: Just streaming.  So we rely, for most of our digital streaming agreements, on Merlin's negotiation.  So we have opted into a lot of Merlin deals. There are a few that we've opted out of. But there are a few that we have I would say, you know from off the top of my head, less than ten deals that we have done direct negotiations with. And of those less than ten deals, I think maybe a minority of them, two or three we've entered into deals.  Often we've been approached by	25 505 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	services, consumers really don't know how the music is getting to them. For some services, what is being played for consumers is, you know, seeded from an algorithm. Others are curated playlists. So it is sometimes not clear how content is getting streamed. And a play share incentive is when a digital service offers to a rights holder or label or an artist some sort of guarantee of air play.  They might say, hey, normally, you are going to get X amount of streams on our service if you enter into this deal, we will do a ten percent uplift on that. Or they may say, we are going to guarantee that 25 percent of our plays are from your repertoire, but it's basically as part of a digital agreement negotiation, some sort of guarantee of the market share of listening or streams that are occurring from that service.  Q. You know, do you think that play share incentives are healthy for the market?	6

	if it. Determination of noy		<u> </u>	
	608			61
1	bottom that, you know, it's something that not	1	years ago.	
2	everyone can receive, that there is going to be	2	Q. Have you testified anywhere else?	
3	a big advantage for a mover and those who move	3	A. I recently, part of the music	
4		1	· -	
5	they may not even have a play share incentive	1	front of Congress in June and which is about	
6	that's available to them, will not get, you	6	ways that we thought from the independent	
_	know, compensation to what the first movers are	7	perspective, copyright laws should be revised.	
7	getting, and so the first mover is going to want	8	JUDGE STRICKLER: We're probably	
8 ი	to get in there first and it helps this dynamic	9	getting ahead of what you wanted to the	
9 10		10	question is something that I'm curious about,	
	royalty rates should be, so I think in the long	l	although you didn't believe this race to the	
11		11		
	run, it is not good for the market. You know,	12	bottom was injurious to the marketplace, you	
13	in the short term, I can see how some companies	13	entered into one of these deals. Was your	
14	can get a short term benefit from it.	14	purpose of entering into at least one of your	
15	Q. Do you think play share incentives	15	purposes of entering into that deal, to obtain	
16	affect the consumer's experience of music?		be the first mover, so that your company	
17	A. I think it does, because consumers	i	could take advantage of the first mover	
	are not hearing music based on the merits of the	ŀ	position?	
19	music or based on maybe the benevolent,	19	THE WITNESS: Yes. And this was a	
20	denying tastes of DJs, who are championing	20	decision, you know, I can actually not name the	
21	artists for noncommercial reasons. What's	21	agreement and talk about it. This was a	
22	happening is commercial terms are dictating what	22	decision that wasn't easy for us to come to, but	
23	consumers are experiencing or listening to.	23	from our perspective, being a smaller company,	
24	JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Van Arman, so	24	usually a company that is offered a take it or	
7)	you said why you think they used play share is	23	leave it deal at the 11th hour, we are often not	
	609			61
		1	had the opportunity to be a first mover it was	61
1	that it would be a race to the bottom and		had the opportunity to be a first mover, it was	61
1 2	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.	2	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an	61
1 2 3	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever	2 3	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first	6.
1 2 3 4	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious. With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?	2 3 4	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies	61
1 2 3 4 5	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into	67
1 2 3 4	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?	2 3 4 5 6	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same	67
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious. With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know	2 3 4 5 6 7	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.	63
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious. With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good	67
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?	67
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.	6.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious. With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know  of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious. With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know  of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes.	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to clarify, Mr. Van Arman, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this paragraph, who are you addressing?	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to clarify, Mr. Van Arman, you said that you have testified. Did you mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this paragraph, who are you addressing? A. The judges here.	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to clarify, Mr. Van Arman, you said that you have testified. Did you mean testified to these judges?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this paragraph, who are you addressing? A. The judges here. Q. Were you writing to anyone else?	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to clarify, Mr. Van Arman, you said that you have testified. Did you mean testified to these judges?  A. Well, I provided testimony and also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this paragraph, who are you addressing?  A. The judges here.  Q. Were you writing to anyone else?  A. No.	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to clarify, Mr. Van Arman, you said that you have testified. Did you mean testified to these judges?  A. Well, I provided testimony and also in a previous rate proceeding for satellite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this paragraph, who are you addressing?  A. The judges here.  Q. Were you writing to anyone else?  A. No.  Q. Are you aware that Pandora's	6:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that it would be a race to the bottom and ultimately be destructive or at least injurious.  With that said, has any of your entities ever had to deal with the play share incentive?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  JUDGE STRICKLER: How many?  THE WITNESS: Just one that I know of.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that something you can only talk about in restricted session?  THE WITNESS: No. I don't think it is restricted.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Take your time so you don't make a mistake.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, we can go into that. We were actually planning to, so we will go back to that, too.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to clarify, Mr. Van Arman, you said that you have testified. Did you mean testified to these judges?  A. Well, I provided testimony and also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a defensive mechanism. By us being part of an agreement with a play share incentive as a first mover, it makes it harder for bigger companies that normally are first movers to enter into play share incentive deals with that same digital service.  JUDGE STRICKLER: So it was a good competitive move on your part?  THE WITNESS: It was in our self-interest, yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. On that note, I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 14 of your written testimony.  Do you see that first paragraph, the one that starts with pro rata terms?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Van Arman, when you wrote this paragraph, who are you addressing?  A. The judges here.  Q. Were you writing to anyone else?  A. No.	6:

		1	, Italia (I abac) 01 20 2010	
	612			614
1	JUDGE STRICKLER: Which portion?	1	the never mine or from digital streaming	
2	MR. CHOUDHURY: The pro rata terms on	1 -	the revenue piracy from digital streaming, so	
3		2	, r	
١.	Page 14, that paragraph.	3	<b>,</b>	
4	BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	4	C y c megerimient.	
5	Q. Are you aware that Pandora's	5	and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of t	
6	economist, Dr. Shapiro, has commented on this	6		
7	portion of your written direct testimony?	7	of the statutory license, it serves as a ceiling	
8	A. Yes.	8	so if, you know, if we are trying to work out	
9	Q. Do you know what he said?	9	royalty rates, it's nearly impossible to get a	
10	MR. YOLKUT: Your Honor, objection.	10	royalty rate that's higher than the statutory	
11	This is clearly rebuttal testimony. Dr. Shapiro	11	rate.	
12	commented on the Merlin deal in the context of	12	Q. Do they effect that your negotiations	
13	clearly in the context of rebuttal. It's	13	with services who are not eligible for the	
	beyond the scope of the rebuttal.	14		
15	MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, if we may	15	A. Yes. There is a mention in my	
	be heard, we understood that witnesses would be		testimony, you know, sometimes, increasingly,	
17	allowed to respond to the rebuttals of to the	1		
	rebuttal testimony of other of other	17	01	
		1	interactive and noninteractive elements, and so	
	witnesses who were commenting on their written	19	sometimes we are approached or what I provided	
20	direct testimony. This is an instance where Dr.	20	,	
21	Shapiro is commenting directly on the written	21	interactive aspects and the noninteractive	
	direct testimony of this witness, in which I am	22	aspects and often the statutory royalty rate	
23	asking him if he has a response.	23	that is currently available to noninteractive	
24	MR. YOLKUT: We have a different view	24	services is used as a reference for what the	
25	of Dr. Shapiro's testimony. It was not in	25	blended rate should be.	
	613			615
1	connection with Mr. Van Arman's testimony.	1	MR. CHOUDHURY: I'm going to try to	
2	MR. CHOUDHURY: And Your Honor, I'll	1	do this actually without closing the session,	
3	reference what I am asking about is what he	3	but if Your Honors have any further questions, I	
	what Dr. Shapiro was literally quoting the	4	am happy to do a restricted session.	
	testimony and commenting on Mr. Van Arman, and	5	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	
	only that.	6	•	
7	MR. YOLKUT: If it's clearly grounds,		BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	
•		7	Q. If you could turn to Page 16 of your	
	we can obviously cover on rebuttal with Mr. Van	ı	written testimony.	
	Arman.	9	Is this the negotiation you were just	
10	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: We are going to	10	discussing?	
	confer.	11	A. Yes.	
12	(A short recess was taken.)	12	Q. Also, I'm going to ask you to turn to	
13	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: We have some	13	Page 14 of your written testimony.	
	difficulty coming up with any qualitative	14	Judge Strickler asked you earlier	
	difference between this circumstance and that	15	about whether you had ever signed a deal for a	
	one this morning. This relates to rebuttal	16	play share incentive.	
17	testimony which means it is premature at this	17	A. Yes.	ì
	point.	18	Q. Why would you sign a deal for a play	
19	MR. CHOUDHURY: Thank you, Your	19	share incentive?	
	Honor.	20	JUDGE STRICKLER: Is the question why	
21	BY MR. CHOUDHURY:		would he or why did he?	j
22	Q. What role, if any, does statutory	22	MR. CHOUDHURY: Why did he.	Ì
	Webcasting royalties play in your business	23	·	ļ
	model?	24	JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.	1
25	A. They are increasingly more and more		THE WITNESS: Well, one thing	
	11. They are mereasingly more and more	23	there is some benefits that a statutory license	

616 618 1 the room except perhaps Your Honors know that 1 provides us as indies. And one is a level 2 playing field that all copyrights are getting 2 this Merlin and the Merlin Pandora agreement, 3 the same compensation regardless of who the 3 that is the subject of this witness's rebuttal 4 rights holder is that is offering that copyright 4 testimony and we actually share our colleague's 5 to the service. In a direct license view, it's a proper point to do an issue with 6 respect to his perspectives on it, why they 6 environment, being a smaller company, and again, 7 you know, seeing what happens when we are last 7 entered into it and so forth, it's not 8 in line to get an agreement done, we have to presently, but unless Your Honors view it 9 sometimes be defensive and we have to, you know, differently at the time we engage with this 10 consider a play share incentive to protect what 10 witness on rebuttal. 11 is a limited revenue pie. In these new models 11 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. 12 Rich. 12 for streaming, there is only so much revenue to 13 be shared with rights holders, based either on 13 BY MR. CHOUDHURY: 14 14 subscription revenue or ad revenue and the more Q. Let me ask you: If statutory royalty 15 that some companies can get, because they are a 15 revenues that you receive were cut in half, how 16 first mover, leaves not as much for everyone 16 would that affect your business model? 17 else. And from the independent perspective, we 17 A. I think it would have a very 18 are often fighting for scraps on the table and 18 significant affect on our budgeting and how we 19 so in this instance that we entered into this 19 see the future as an independent label. Right 20 play share incentive agreement, we did so to 20 now, we see -- we see it, you know, at some time 21 sort of protect our turf. We also, you know, 21 in the future, when streaming is going to exceed 22 entered into this play share incentive agreement 22 download revenue and we actually can imagine 23 not just as labels, but as a distributor. And I 23 very soon where all the digital revenues, which 24 think there is a qualitative difference there as 24 is most of the revenue pie now being streaming, 25 well. 25 and we see also increasingly that revenues that 617 619 When we entered into it as a 1 we're receiving from the statutory license or 2 distributor, we gave the option to all the 2 from noninteractive services are a bigger part 3 labels we distribute whether they wanted to opt 3 of that pie, so if the statutory license was 4 in or opt out of it, and for a distributor to 4 reduced significantly or housed, I think it 5 would have a significant impact on our prospects 5 decide to enter into a deal with a play share 6 incentive, their motivation is different. 6 as a business. It's really about providing options 7 JUDGE STRICKLER: If I may follow up 8 to the labels that the distributors distribute. 8 on that? If the -- let me look at the language MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, we're 9 of the question, if the statutory royalty 10 happy to go further here, but I suspect, I can 10 revenues that were received were cut in half was 11 see Mr. Yolkut we will hear more about this in 11 the question. Is your business profitable now? 12 12 this case and there will be another opportunity THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 to talk about this unless Your Honor wants to do 13 JUDGE STRICKLER: If statutory 14 it more. 14 royalties were cut in half, would your business 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: When you say more 15 still be profitable but less profitable? 16 to talk about this, you mean in his direct 16 THE WITNESS: Immediately, yes, but 17 testimony or someone else's direct testimony? 17 this rate proceeding is for 2016 through 2020, 18 MR. CHOUDHURY: In his rebuttal 18 and I actually have serious concerns whether, 19 testimony. 19 you know, in the middle or the end of that 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: That is a judgment 20 period of time, we would be as viable as we are 21 call that you have to make when you proffer his 21 now. 22 rebuttal testimony. I have no idea what it is 22 JUDGE STRICKLER: If you would be 23 to do. 23 profitable in 2016 with a rate cut of 50 24 MR. RICH: If I may be heard, Your percent, assuming that was the same rate going 25 Honor, if I could demystify this. Everybody in 25 through for the sake of the question, 2017

	62	0		6
1	through 2020, why would your concerns about	1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
2	profitability be different from 2017 to 2020	2	JUDGE STRICKLER: If the statutory	
3	than they are for 2016?	3	rate was half and you'd see a substitution from	
4	THE WITNESS: It's our belief that	4	interactive to noninteractive and it's your	
5	the market is converging in a sense when it	5	guess that that would eventually erode	
6	comes to streaming services, that more and more	6	profits	
7	consumers are not making a distinction between	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
8	noninteractive services and interactive	8	JUDGE STRICKLER: leaving aside	
9	services. You know, a consumer out there in the	9	the question about downloads.	
10	marketplace loves their playlist on Spotify.	10	THE WITNESS: Well, I think, you	
11	They love Pandora. Both services pay completely	11	know, you have to keep in mind that the	
12	different rates for what is streamed, and when	12	interactive royalty rates that we can negotiate	
13	the distinction between those kinds of services	13	will also be impacted, so even if, you know,	
14	become more muddy in the consumer's eyes, we	14	noninteractive services don't start to	
	could see that there is the possibility of a	15	substitute for interactive services in the	
16	noninteractive having a significant	16	consumer market, I provided in my testimony one	
17	substitutional affect, such that we will very	17	example of where an interactive service was	
18	quickly realize that the revenue that we are	18	using what was existing statutory royalty rate	
19	counting on coming to us so that we can be	19	to inform what the blended rate should be for	
20	profitable for our artists and for ourselves is	20	their service, so we see, you know, from our	
21	not there anymore.	21	perspective, the possibility that if the	
22	JUDGE STRICKLER: Are you saying, I	22	statutory royalty rate is diminished, that it	
23	think I understand you, that if the	23	could have a diminishing effect on what we can	
24	noninteractive rate was the predominant rate	24	achieve in the marketplace for interactive	
25	that was paid to you and that predominance	25	services.	
	62	1		6
1			IUDGE STRICKLER: The deal that you	ć
_	occurred over the statutory term, that would	1	JUDGE STRICKLER: The deal that you are talking about where that read or that	Ć
2	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?	1 2	are talking about where that read or that	(
2	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would	1 2 3	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?	6
2 3 4	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.	1 2 3 4	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.	6
2 3 4 5	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it	1 2 3 4 5	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately	6
2 3 4 5	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.	1 2 3 4 5	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.	Ć
2 3 4 5 6 7	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	(
2 3 4 5 6 7	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	Č
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you	(
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?  A. This is what was proposed to us that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?  A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.	(
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than our downloading income. We actually, some of us	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?  A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, at this	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than our downloading income. We actually, some of us internally think that downloading kind of might	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is? A. Yes. Q. What is it? A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, at this time, I would move into evidence SoundExchange	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than our downloading income. We actually, some of us internally think that downloading kind of might disappear completely within the next ten years.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is? A. Yes. Q. What is it? A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, at this time, I would move into evidence SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 39.	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than our downloading income. We actually, some of us internally think that downloading kind of might disappear completely within the next ten years.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That is a different	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at  Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?  A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, at this time, I would move into evidence SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 39.  MR. YOLKUT: No objection.	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than our downloading income. We actually, some of us internally think that downloading kind of might disappear completely within the next ten years.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That is a different cleave than what we were talking about. We were	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?  A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, at this time, I would move into evidence SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 39.  MR. YOLKUT: No objection.  MS. VOLKMAR: No objection.	•
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	occurred over the statutory term, that would eventually erode and eliminate your profits?  THE WITNESS: I think yes, that would be my guess.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Your guess. Is it a matter of speculation?  THE WITNESS: It has to be, because we don't know exactly, you know, what we are going to be budgeting in the future of what other kinds of revenues we're going to get in the future.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the problem with the future, we just don't know it. But is there a projection?  THE WITNESS: Yes, so we project that in the next five years, that our streaming income is going to be significantly more than our downloading income. We actually, some of us internally think that downloading kind of might disappear completely within the next ten years.  JUDGE STRICKLER: That is a different	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are talking about where that read or that proposal was made up, the one on Page 16?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: And you ultimately rejected that.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Just to follow up on that, if you could turn to Tab 2 of your binder.  Mr. Van Arman, if you could look at  Tab 2.  Do you know what this document is?  A. Yes.  Q. What is it?  A. This is what was proposed to us that we were referring to on Page 16.  MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honors, at this time, I would move into evidence SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 39.  MR. YOLKUT: No objection.	6

	III No. Determination of 1	) -			
		624			626
1	admitted.		1	THE WITNESS: I think if I had to	
2	(SoundExchange Exhibit No. 39 was		2	guess, I don't know exactly, but I would	
3	admitted into evidence.)		3	approximate that is a great question. It's	
4	MR. CHOUDHURY: Unless the judges		4	different country to country, so you are	
5	have any further questions, I have no further		5	probably most concerned about the United States.	
6	questions.		6	Maybe a third to half of the indie market share.	
7	MR. YOLKUT: Your Honors, would you		7	JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.	
8	like me to begin cross?		8	BY MR. YOLKUT:	
9	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: I would like		9	Q. And Mr. Van Arman, actually, on that	
10	you to begin cross. At the end of the day		10	point, if you could turn to Page 10 of SX 20	
11	yesterday, I announced we would be in session		11	which is your written direct testimony in this	
12	from 9 until 4:30 today, even though I continue		12	case.	
13	to forget that we did announce a quit time of		13	In the second sentence, you write:	
14	4:15. Starting tomorrow, 4:15 will be our quit		14	"Merlin negotiates on behalf of over 20,000	
15	time.		15	independent label vendors in 39 countries."	
16	So we're just going to tough it out		16	Does that help refresh your	
17	today. But obviously, if we are in the middle		17	recollection as to how many independent label	
18	of something and we can wrap it up, we will try		18	vendors Merlin negotiates on behalf of?	
19	to do that on any day except Wednesday, I have		19	A. Yes, that is a gross number but I was	
20	an appointment off campus on Wednesdays, so it		20	trying to answer like what proportion of	
21	has to be 4:15 on Wednesday.		21	indie	
22	MR. YOLKUT: Your Honors, I have a		22	Q. Understood. The reason that these	
23	number of mercifully skinny binders. May I		23	20,000 independent label members allow Merlin to	
	approach?			negotiate on their behalf is to try to improve	
25	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: Yes, please.		25	the terms that an independent company could get	
1	MR. YOLKUT: Your Honor, may I	625	ī	negotiating on its own, right? I believe you	627
2	proceed?				
2 3	proceed?  CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may.			testified to that on direct.  A. Yes.	
1	proceed?  CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR		2	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes.	
3	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may.		2 3 4	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes.	
3	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR		2 3 4 5	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that	
3 4 5 6	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My		2 3 4 5 6	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more	
3 4 5 6 7	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora		2 3 4 5 6	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get	
3 4 5 6 7	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few		2 3 4 5 6 7	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?	
3 4 5 6 7	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that  Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes.	
3 4 5 6 7 8	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct? A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct? A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct? A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency that collectively negotiates with various		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct? A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate directly with digital services and decide that	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency that collectively negotiates with various digital services on behalf of the independent		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct? A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right? A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate directly with digital services and decide that what they've negotiated is better than what	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency that collectively negotiates with various digital services on behalf of the independent record industry; is that right?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate directly with digital services and decide that what they've negotiated is better than what Merlin has accomplished.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency that collectively negotiates with various digital services on behalf of the independent record industry; is that right? A. Yes. Not the whole independent		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate directly with digital services and decide that what they've negotiated is better than what Merlin has accomplished. Q. Not to negate the point, Mr. Van	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency that collectively negotiates with various digital services on behalf of the independent record industry; is that right? A. Yes. Not the whole independent record label industry, but a significant portion		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes.  Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes.  Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate directly with digital services and decide that what they've negotiated is better than what Merlin has accomplished.  Q. Not to negate the point, Mr. Van Arman, but if you stay on Page 10, conventional	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHIEF JUSTICE BARNETT: You may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA BY MR. YOLKUT: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman. My name is David Yolkut and I represent Pandora Media in this proceeding. I have a few questions for you. Mr. Van Arman, you testified that you were co-founder and co-owner of Secretly Group, which consists of four independent record companies, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also sit on the board of Merlin as a nonvoting observer; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Merlin is a global rights agency that collectively negotiates with various digital services on behalf of the independent record industry; is that right? A. Yes. Not the whole independent		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testified to that on direct.  A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, I believe you said that Merlin can get the independent record label more favorable terms than that label could get negotiating in and of itself, correct?  A. That is often the case, yes. Q. And I believe you testified that it's conventional wisdom that when Merlin is able to collectively represent many independents and companies such as yours are in a better negotiating position as an independent company than if we all tried to negotiate separate deals, right?  A. No, I wouldn't say conventional wisdom. There have been some Merlin agreements that we have seen and that we've opted out of. I also think sometimes independents negotiate directly with digital services and decide that what they've negotiated is better than what Merlin has accomplished. Q. Not to negate the point, Mr. Van	

		628		630
1	A. Okay.		on what you mean by released. You're right,	
2	Q. Just point you to the first full		2 those artists are released on independent labels	
3	paragraph about halfway down, you write: "The		3 in some territories, not all territories.	
4	conventional wisdom is that when Merlin is able	- 1	4 Q. When you say territories, do you mean	
5	to collectively represent many independents,	1	5 countries?	
6	then we are in a better negotiating position	- 1	6 A. Countries, yes. But in the United	
7	than independent companies than if we all tried	1	7 States, those are Adele I think is released	
8	to negotiate separate deals on our own."	- 1	8 on a major, but the other two artists you	
9	That's your testimony, correct, sir?		9 mentioned are released on independent	
10	A. Yes.	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11	Q. And you signed this testimony as a	1	1 McCartney?	
	sworn declaration, correct?	12		
13	A. Yes.	13		
14	Q. Now you also testified that you sit		4 I believe you testified won over half of the	
	on SoundExchange's board; is that right?		5 2014 Grammy awards; is that right?	
16	A. Yes.	10		
17	Q. Would you agree, Mr. Van Arman, that	1'		
	independent labels are a vibrant and vital part		8 that you cite in your direct testimony, even	
	of the American music landscape?	19		
20	A. That's what I testified.	20		
21	Q. You have got some very prominent acts		1 right?	
22	under your own independent company, correct?	22		
23	A. Yes.	23		
24	Q. You testified about Bon Iver, a	24	•	
25	multiple Grammy winner?	2:	5 which is in your binder.	
		629		63
		•		
1	A. Yes.		This is testimony that you actually	
2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy</li></ul>	1	This is testimony that you actually referenced on direct, that you provided to the	
2				
2	<ul><li>Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?</li><li>A. Correct. Yes.</li></ul>		2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the	
2	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?	3	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on	
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?</li><li>A. Correct. Yes.</li></ul>	3 2 3	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page?	
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?</li><li>A. Correct. Yes.</li><li>Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject</li></ul>		2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct?	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month;</li> </ul>		2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes.  Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that?		2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes.	3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that?  A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct?  A. Correct.	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. You testified turning to Page 2,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that?  A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct?  A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that	3 3 4 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did. 1 Q. You testified turning to Page 2,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those	2 3 4 5 8 9 10	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. You testified turning to Page 2, 9 that being independent doesn't mean being small, 9 correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. You testified turning to Page 2, 9 that being independent doesn't mean being small, 9 correct? 9 A. Correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that?  A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct?  A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?	2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. You testified turning to Page 2, 9 that being independent doesn't mean being small, 9 correct? 9 A. Correct. 9 Q. And you also note in the second	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that?  A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct?  A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our	2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	2 referenced on direct, that you provided to the 3 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on 4 Court's intellectual property and the Internet 5 on June 24th, correct? 6 A. Sorry, which page? 7 Q. You can start on the title page. 8 A. Oh. Yes. 9 Q. You gave that testimony under oath? 9 A. Yes, I did. 1 Q. You testified turning to Page 2, 9 that being independent doesn't mean being small, 9 correct? 9 A. Correct. 9 Q. And you also note in the second 9 the third full paragraph, last sentence that:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that?  A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct?  A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 10 11 12 13 14 15	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released	2 3 4 6 5 10 11 12 13 14 15	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 percent of all music released by music labels in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released some of the most prominent and commercially	2 3 3 4 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 spercent of all music released by music labels in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 percent of all music released by music labels in the United States. We are not on the margins of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released some of the most prominent and commercially	2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 5 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 percent of all music released by music labels in the United States. We are not on the margins of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 7 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair? A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released some of the most prominent and commercially successful records of all time, true?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 percent of all music released by music labels in the United States. We are not on the margins of the music industry, we are together with the artist creator who we support at the very	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released some of the most prominent and commercially successful records of all time, true? A. Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 percent of all music released by music labels in the United States. We are not on the margins of the music industry, we are together with the artist creator who we support at the very vibrant core."	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And Tig Notaro, who is a Grammy nominee last year, correct?  A. Correct. Yes. Q. Ms. Notaro is currently the subject of a documentary airing on Showtime this month; are you aware of that? A. Yes. Q. Are those are just two of the 60 actor artists under your labels, correct? A. Correct. Q. I take it then that you believe that your signed artists compare favorably to those signed to other labels such as the majors; is that fair?  A. We do have great beliefs in our artists, yes. Q. And independent labels have released some of the most prominent and commercially successful records of all time, true? A. Yes. Q. Just to name a few, Paul McCartney,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	referenced on direct, that you provided to the committee on the judiciary subcommittee on Court's intellectual property and the Internet on June 24th, correct?  A. Sorry, which page? Q. You can start on the title page. A. Oh. Yes. Q. You gave that testimony under oath? A. Yes, I did. Q. You testified turning to Page 2, that being independent doesn't mean being small, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you also note in the second the third full paragraph, last sentence that: "Independents also currently release over 90 percent of all music released by music labels in the United States. We are not on the margins of the music industry, we are together with the artist creator who we support at the very vibrant core." Did you give that testimony?	

			***	
	632			63
1 x	were it not for independent labels, some music	1	featured on grammy.com and pandora.com?	
	would otherwise never be heard, right? That's	2	A. I don't remember that. Was it done	
	part of your direct testimony?	3	with our approval and involvement?	
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. I can refresh your recollection if	
5	Q. And you would agree that it would to	5	the judges will allow. This does not have an	
	he detriment of the listening public not to	6	exhibit number. I'm happy to mark it with	
	nave wide exposure to good music, right?	7	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: If it is just	
8	A. Yes.	8	to refresh recollection, then we don't have to	
9	Q. And just like major labels, you spend	9	mark it.	
	great deal of time and effort seeking out	10	BY MR. YOLKUT:	
	recording artists to sign, right?	11	Q. Mr. Van Arman, for the record, the	
12	A. Yes.	1	document I just handed you to refresh your	
13	O. You testified about some of those	1	recollection is entitled it's from	
-	efforts to promote the music and career of your	14	grammy.com, from February 2, 2012, entitled:	
	artists on direct with SoundExhange's counsel?		"The recording academy, Pandora and Pepsi	
6	A. Can you repeat the question.	1	provide inside look at best new artists	
7	Q. Sure. You testified about some of	17	nominated."	
	he efforts that your group undertakes to	18	Do you see that?	
	promote the music and career of your artists?	19	A. Was this done	
20	A. Yes, I did so today.	20	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Mr. Yolkut, I	
21	Q. And one of the goals of that	21	don't think we can have the whole contents of	
	promotion is to get air play for your artists,	22	this introduced into the record. It is just to	
	correct?	23	refresh his recollection so your next question	
:4	A. I don't know if I said paid air	24	should be, does this refresh your recollection.	
	, , , aon i mio , ma bara para an			
	olay, yes.	25	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.	
		ı		
	633	ı		6
25 p	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio	ı		6
1 2 h	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent	25	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.	6
1 2 h	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent rtists, correct?	25	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.	6
1 2 h 3 a	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent rtists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent	25 1 2	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rtists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rtists, Internet radio has? Is that your	1 2 3	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rtists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rtists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?	1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9 7	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent ritists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent ritists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9 7 8	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent ritists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent ritists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists,	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 q 7 8	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rtists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rtists, Internet radio has? Is that your fuestion? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 q 7 8	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it has. Q. You haven't undertaken a study?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists,	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9 h 0	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it has. Q. You haven't undertaken a study? A. No, I haven't.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 a 6 9 h 0 1 2	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your huestion? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it has. Q. You haven't undertaken a study? A. No, I haven't. Q. You have no reason to doubt that?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 q 7 8 9 h 1 1 2 3	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it has. Q. You haven't undertaken a study? A. No, I haven't. Q. You have no reason to doubt that? A. I have no reason to believe it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9 h 0 1 2 3 4 e	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent ritists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent ritists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it has.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 a 6 6 9 h 0 1 2 3 4 e 5 5	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent rists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 a 6 9 h 0 1 2 3 4 e 5 6 a	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent rists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 a 4 6 7 8 9 h 1 2 3 4 e 5 6 a 7 u 7	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent ritists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent ritists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 5 a 9 h 1 2 3 4 e 5 6 a u 8	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as. Q. You haven't undertaken a study? A. No, I haven't. Q. You have no reason to doubt that? A. I have no reason to believe it ither. Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists? A. With in connection with the Merlin	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 5 a 9 h 1 2 3 4 e 5 6 a u 8	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent ritists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent ritists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 4 5 a 4 5 6 7 8 9 h 1 2 3 4 e 5 6 7 u 8 9 P	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct? A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question? Q. That's the question. A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as. Q. You haven't undertaken a study? A. No, I haven't. Q. You have no reason to doubt that? A. I have no reason to believe it ither. Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists? A. With in connection with the Merlin	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.  Q. Okay. You can put that aside.	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9 h 0 1 2 3 4 e 6 6 a u 9 p 0	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists?  A. With in connection with the Merlin landora deal?	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.  Q. Okay. You can put that aside.  Are you aware that Pandora also	6
1 1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 9 h 0 1 2 3 4 e 6 7 8 9 P 0 I	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent rists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists?  A. With in connection with the Merlin andora deal?  Q. No.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.  Q. Okay. You can put that aside.  Are you aware that Pandora also creates Grammy genre stations featuring Bon Iver	6
1 2 h 3 a 4 5 a 6 7 8 9 h 0 1 2 3 4 e 6 7 u 8 9 P 0 1 2	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio has increased the exposure to independent rists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it has.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it hither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists?  A. With in connection with the Merlin handora deal?  Q. No.  A. Refresh my memory.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.  Q. Okay. You can put that aside.  Are you aware that Pandora also creates Grammy genre stations featuring Bon Iver and others?	6
1	Q. It's fair to say that Internet radio as increased the exposure to independent rists, correct?  A. Increased the exposure to independent rists, Internet radio has? Is that your question?  Q. That's the question.  A. I hope it has. I don't know if it as.  Q. You haven't undertaken a study?  A. No, I haven't.  Q. You have no reason to doubt that?  A. I have no reason to believe it ither.  Q. Are you aware of promotional ctivities that Pandora, for example, has ndertaken to the benefit of your artists?  A. With in connection with the Merlin andora deal?  Q. No.  A. Refresh my memory.  Q. Sure. I am happy to. Do you recall,	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. YOLKUT: Thank you, Your Honor.  MS. VOLKMAR: Can we get a copy back here.  MR. YOLKUT: Absolutely.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember this being something we agreed to. I am wondering if this is something the artist agreed to.  BY MR. YOLKUT:  Q. Bon Iver is one of your artists, correct?  A. What we he's not an exclusive with us.  Q. But he is under the Jagjaguwar label?  A. Yes. We released a recording for him.  Q. This was in the lead up to the 2012  Grammy awards?  A. I don't remember this.  Q. Okay. You can put that aside.  Are you aware that Pandora also creates Grammy genre stations featuring Bon Iver and others?  A. I am aware that Pandora does create	6

		636		63
1	record labels and your artists, correct?		Q. When Merlin is able to reach an	
2	A. Correct.	2	agreement with a particular service, it says its	
3	Q. You testified on direct that your	3		
4	goal is to make a profit, correct?		describing the deal terms?	
5	A. Yes.	:	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And in response to Judge Strickler's	(	Q. And giving each member label the	
7	question, I believe you testified that you are,	1		
8	in fact, profitable, correct?	8	A. Correct.	
9	A. Yes, on the aggregate, we are	_   g	Q. And Secretly Group receives those	
10	profitable.	10		
11	Q. Now you were asked about royalty	11	A. Not Secretly Group. SC Distribution.	
12	rates being cut in half.	12	Q. SC Distribution, your distribution	
3	Do you recall that question?	13	source, correct?	
14	A. Yes.	14		
15	Q. Now, did you have in mind, when you	15		
6	heard that question about rates being cut in	10	that independents sometimes face difficulty	
	half, did you have in mind that it was cutting	17		
8	in half from the rate that Pandora currently	18	Do you recall that testimony?	
9	pays or what you what Pandora would be paying	19		
	as to the Web II or Web III decisions?	20	Q. And I believe you testified that	
21	A. You are talking about when you are	21	Merlin helps to mitigate that, correct?	
2	saying what Pandora currently pays, you are	22		
3	referring to the Pure Play rate and you are	23	our own experience but the experience of other	
	comparing that to the statutory rate that is for	24	labels that we've discussed this with won't	
24		1 ~		
24 25	all other Webcasters?	25		
				6
25	all other Webcasters?	637	enter into deals with labels that don't have the	6
1	all other Webcasters?  Q. Correct.	637	enter into deals with labels that don't have the scale of repertoire. They will only enter into	6
1 2	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full	637	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.	6
1 2 3	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate.	637	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of	6
1 2 3 4	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also	637	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?	6
1 2 3 4 5	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of	637 637 1 2 3 4 5	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket	6
1 2 3 4 5	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than	637 1 2 3 4 5 6	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct?	637 11 22 34 45 67	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct.	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are	6
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct?	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.	6
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company	6
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on —the analysis was done based on what we	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals	6
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on —the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on — the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?	6
12345678901234567	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on — the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on—the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it is not something that we have coming through our	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does because it's a distributor.	6
1234567890123456789	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on — the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it is not something that we have coming through our distribution agreements. So — but Pandora's	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does because it's a distributor.  Q. And generally speaking, you would opt	6
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 0	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on—the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it is not something that we have coming through our distribution agreements. So—but Pandora's streaming revenues are growing for us.	25 637 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does because it's a distributor.  Q. And generally speaking, you would opt into a deal when you come to the view that it	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on—the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it is not something that we have coming through our distribution agreements. So—but Pandora's streaming revenues are growing for us. Q. Right. Okay. That's what I was	637  11 22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17 188 199 200 21	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does because it's a distributor.  Q. And generally speaking, you would opt into a deal when you come to the view that it would be to your company's economic benefit to	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on—the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it is not something that we have coming through our distribution agreements. So—but Pandora's streaming revenues are growing for us. Q. Right. Okay. That's what I was going to get at in my next question.	25 637 11 22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does because it's a distributor.  Q. And generally speaking, you would opt into a deal when you come to the view that it would be to your company's economic benefit to do so, right?	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Q. Correct. A. I think I was thinking about the full statutory royalty rate, not the Pure Play rate. Q. Okay. Thank you. I believe you also testified on direct that the digital revenues of the Secretly Group have actually more than tripled over the past five years, correct? A. Correct. Q. You included within that answer revenues from streaming services such as Pandora, correct? A. I don't think that is included in those numbers. I'm not sure. That is based on—the analysis was done based on what we received through our distribution agreements and Pandora, until recently, was a statutory license, and so when we received that income, it is not something that we have coming through our distribution agreements. So—but Pandora's streaming revenues are growing for us. Q. Right. Okay. That's what I was	637  11 22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17 188 199 200 21	scale of repertoire. They will only enter into an agreement with Merlin, is my experience.  Q. Because Merlin has the scale of repertoire though, right?  A. They're representing a larger basket of rights.  Q. Thank you, sir.  A. Yeah.  Q. And you consider the terms that are offered to SC Distribution in deciding whether to opt out of the deal, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And in several cases, your company has agreed to opt into licensing deals negotiated by Merlin after reviewing the terms of the deal, correct?  A. SC Distribution typically does because it's a distributor.  Q. And generally speaking, you would opt into a deal when you come to the view that it would be to your company's economic benefit to	6

	THE TAX DO CONTINUE OF THE		<i>y</i> 1.0000 (1.0000) 01.20.2010	
		640		642
1	you're giving the label to distribute the option	.	l restricted.	
	to participate in that deal. And part of your		2 Don't answer the question. It's a	
		- 1	3 question for counsel as to whether they believe	
	revenue opportunities to the labels you	1	this is restricted information relating to this	
5		1	5 agreement.	
6	Q. But in any event, they would be	- 1	MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we don't	
7		;	7 have Merlin here in the room. So maybe, to play	
8			it safe, we should either close the room or just	
9	A. Right. So SC Distribution does	ı	deal with this on the rebuttal case. We're	
10		10		
	of its labels it distributes whether it wants to	1		
12	opt in or opt out.	12	2 Mr. Pomerantz on that.	
13	JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me, Counsel.	13	JUDGE STRICKLER: So we're just not	
14	I think at one point you questioned	14	going to get an answer. We'll all just stay in	
15	the witness and asked about the opt-out. And		suspense until rebuttal?	
16	the next question you asked about an opt-in.	10	MR. POMERANTZ: We don't want to have	
17	Is it an opt-in or an opt-out? Or	17	7 any restrictions.	
18	maybe you can just ask the witness the question.	18	JUDGE STRICKLER: How do we get to	
19	MR. YOLKUT: I'm happy to ask the	19	sleep?	
20	witness.	20	MR. YOLKUT: That's what I've been	
21	BY MR. YOLKUT:	21	MR. RICH: No, Your Honor. Some of	
22	Q. Sir, when you receive the notice of	22	that suspense might be alleviated if you want to	
23	proposed action, is the intent of that to ask	23	B fast forward. Mr. Lexton, who is the general	
24	the members whether to opt in or opt out?	24		
25	A. If it's okay, can I look at the	25	testimony. And I suspect in the text of that	
	,	641		643
1	proposal again to refresh my memory? Because I	1	testimony lies your answer.	
	can't remember for us, we always respond	2		
	either way. So I can't remember whether it's	3		
	automatically going to opt us in or if we have	4	•	
	to affirmatively opt in. We usually say yes or	5		
	no either way.	6	~	
7	Q. So if you look at Pan Ex 5210, which	7	Q. Now, Mr. Van Arman, you've previously	
8	is in your binder, does that refresh your	8	expressed the view that market concentration	
9	recollection?		within the music industry is, quote, a primary	
10	JUDGE STRICKLER: Before you answer	10		
11	that question, the first page of this is	11		
12	strictly confidential on distribution.	12	A. I'm worried about it, yes.	
13	Do we need to be closing the	13	Q. And you've noted on there that 25	
14	courtroom for him to answer this question?	14	years ago there were six major labels in the	
15	MR. YOLKUT: We're not going to be	15	•	
16	displaying it on the screen. And I think I will	16	three, correct?	
17	be able to limit my examination solely to Your	17	A. Yes.	
18	Honor's question about the opt-in versus the	18	Q. And, in fact, you testified to	
19	opt-out.	19	congress that the three major recording	
20	And if the witness doesn't know, I'm	20	companies have used their market clout to	
	happy to stands on that testimony.	21	extract a disproportionate share of	
22	JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, that may be	22	copyright-related revenue from the marketplace,	
	fine. I just want to make sure. If even that	23		
	is restricted, at least we have an opportunity	24		
25	to close the courtroom if it's believed to be	25	was testifying on digital breakage practices.	

	64	ļ l		64
1	Q. And some of those digital breakage	1	share incentives will become an inevitability;	
2	practices you've testified about here today,		is that correct?	
3	correct?	3	A. Yes.	
4	A. Correct.	4	Q. These deals would become an	
5	Q. And that includes the practice of	5	inevitability because they can be win-win deals	
6	advances for guarantees so large that there's no	6	for both of the parties, correct?	
	recourse, correct?	7	A. I don't know what you mean by	
8	A. Yes. When when	8	"win-win.	
9	Q. Thank you.	9		
	•		Q. The service wins by reducing its	
10	And you stand by that congressional	10		
11	testimony, correct?	11	A. Okay. And how do the other side win,	
12	A. Yes, I do.	12	, ,	
13	MR. YOLKUT: Okay. Thank you.	13	Q. You anticipated my question.	
14	No further questions, other than to	14	A. Yes.	
	say that, on the pro rata terms discussion that	15	Q. The label wins by getting more plays,	
	was the subject of counsel's question, we will	16	correct?	
.7	reserve our cross until rebuttal on that.	17	A. I would in that negotiation, that	
8	MS. ELGIN: NAB has no questions,	18	label acting in its self-interest, in the short	
9	Your Honor.	19	term, it can get a benefit, yes.	
0	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	20	Q. In fact, you said that entering into	
	IHEARTMEDIA	21	a deal with a play share incentive was a good,	
1	BY MS. POPE:	22	competitive move for your company.	
22	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Van Arman.	23	A. I would characterize it as a	
3	My name is Leslie Pope, and I	24	defensive maneuver. We're concerned about	
24	represent iHeartRadio.	25	actually just maintaining our fair share of	
25	In your testimony on Page 10, you			
	64:			6
1	describe certain trends that you've observed in	1.		
		1	streams on a streaming service like Pandora.	
2			streams on a streaming service like Pandora.  And so for us, one of the prime motivations was	
2	the direct licensing markets, correct?	2	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was	
	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it?	3	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share	
3 4	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it?  Okay. Can you repeat the question.	2 3 4	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.	
3 4	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it?  Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing	
3 4 5 6	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it?  Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've	2 3 4 5 6	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last	
3 4 5 6 7	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market,	2 3 4 5 6 7	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to	
3 4 5 6 7 8	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what	
3 4 5 6 7 8	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?  A. That is one way it can play shares	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.  Q. I'll let the record speak for itself.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?  A. That is one way it can play shares tend to be very creative. But yes, it can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.  Q. I'll let the record speak for itself.  Would you also expect to see a	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?  A. That is one way it can play shares tend to be very creative. But yes, it can be asking for a discount on what would be the otherwise prevailing royalty rates in exchange	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.  Q. I'll let the record speak for itself.  Would you also expect to see a proliferation of deals with play share incentive if the statutory rate didn't exist at all?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?  A. That is one way it can play shares tend to be very creative. But yes, it can be asking for a discount on what would be the otherwise prevailing royalty rates in exchange for more spins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.  Q. I'll let the record speak for itself.  Would you also expect to see a proliferation of deals with play share incentive if the statutory rate didn't exist at all?  A. Can you repeat the question.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 22 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry.  Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?  A. That is one way it can play shares tend to be very creative. But yes, it can be asking for a discount on what would be the otherwise prevailing royalty rates in exchange for more spins.  Q. If I can direct you to Page 14 of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.  Q. I'll let the record speak for itself.  Would you also expect to see a proliferation of deals with play share incentive if the statutory rate didn't exist at all?  A. Can you repeat the question.  Q. You said in your testimony that we	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	the direct licensing markets, correct?  A. Can I have a chance to look at it? Okay. Can you repeat the question.  I'm sorry. Q. You describe certain trends you've observed in the direct licensing market, correct?  A. Correct. Q. One of the trends you identify is the use of play share incentive, correct?  A. Correct. Q. In a deal with a play share incentive, a service pays a lower rate in exchange for playing more music owned by the record label, correct?  A. That is one way it can play shares tend to be very creative. But yes, it can be asking for a discount on what would be the otherwise prevailing royalty rates in exchange for more spins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And so for us, one of the prime motivations was we actually just prefer there's no play share incentives.  However, in how we're experiencing the marketplace, where we're often the last mover, here was a unique opportunity for us to be a first mover and to look in, you know, what we think is close to a level playing field for ourselves.  Q. To be clear, Mr. Van Arman, did you or did you not testify earlier today that entering into a deal with a play share incentive was a good competitive move for your company?  A. I think I said it was in our self-interest.  Q. I'll let the record speak for itself.  Would you also expect to see a proliferation of deals with play share incentive if the statutory rate didn't exist at all?  A. Can you repeat the question.	

		<del></del>		
	64	3		650
1	I'm asking would you also expect to	1	in a play share incentive kind of deal.	
	see a proliferation of deals with play share	2		
3	incentives if the statutory rate didn't exist at	3		
4	all?	4		
5	A. I'm not an economist, but I don't	5		
6	know if there's necessarily a correlation. You	6	Q. When you say you're concerned about a	
7	know, one could argue that, if there was a very	7		
8	strong statutory license, that that would be	8		
9	fertile grounds for play share incentives. So I	9		
	don't know how I can answer this question.	10	A. Yes.	
1	Q. As you said, you're not an economist,	111	Q. How far would labels be willing to go	
	right?	1	to win this race; all the way to zero?	
3	A. I'm not an economist or a lawyer.	13	A. I can only speak for our companies.	
4	Q. Also on Page 14, you say that labels	1	We wouldn't go to zero. I think that if	
	enter into deals with play share incentive		everyone went to zero, that's not viable either.	
	labels that enter into deals with play share	16	-	
_	incentives get the play share benefit of signing	1	I can major some companies deciding to go to zero temporarily. But, you know, I	
7 8	on first.	17		
9		18		
	The benefit you're describing here is	19	• •	
	more plays, right?  A. More revenue. And also the defensive	20 21	services is our product. It's our compensation.  You know, we're in a new economy now	
1			•	
2	position that I was talking about earlier.	22		
	Q. Didn't you say earlier that one of	23	2 ,	
4	the benefits of entering into a play share	24		
رد	incentive deal is that you can grow your market	25	Q. You also say you're worried that	
	64			65
1	64share?		labels will have to choose between offering	65
		1	labels will have to choose between offering lower rates and being left out of services'	6:
2	share?	1	=	6:
2	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or	1 2	lower rates and being left out of services'	6.
2 3 4	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can	1 2 3	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label	6:
2 3 4 5	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated	1 2 3 4	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label	6.
2 3 4 5 6	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share,	1 2 3 4 5	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share	1 2 3 4 5 6	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes.	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes.  Q. Not for the label?	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it	6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist	6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go	6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of	6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music.  Q. Labels want services to play more of	65
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please.	6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that radio promotion leads to sales. And there's a	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less?	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that radio promotion leads to sales. And there's a lot of incorrect beliefs on that.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less?  A. When the streams are paid, yes.	6.
2345678901234567890	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that radio promotion leads to sales. And there's a lot of incorrect beliefs on that.  And so we're concerned that we're concerned that, if there's belief of radio play	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less?  A. When the streams are paid, yes. Q. Does your label group, Secretly Group, monitor whether service like iHeartRadio	6.5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that radio promotion leads to sales. And there's a lot of incorrect beliefs on that.  And so we're concerned that we're concerned that, if there's belief of radio play being correctly being directly, you know,	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less?  A. When the streams are paid, yes. Q. Does your label group, Secretly Group, monitor whether service like iHeartRadio and Pandora are playing its music?	6.5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that radio promotion leads to sales. And there's a lot of incorrect beliefs on that.  And so we're concerned that we're concerned that, if there's belief of radio play being correctly being directly, you know, something that leads to sales, and then small	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less?  A. When the streams are paid, yes. Q. Does your label group, Secretly Group, monitor whether service like iHeartRadio and Pandora are playing its music?  A. Yes.	6:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	share?  A. Are you talking about my companies or companies in general? Because I mean I can imagine that some companies would be motivated about, you know, increasing their market share, and that's why they enter into play share incentive deals.  Our motivation was not to increase our market share. It was more of a defensive posture.  Q. You say on Page 14 that you're concerned that record labels will devalue their music just to have their songs heard.  Why would a label devalue its music just to have its songs heard?  A. I think some labels believe that radio promotion leads to sales. And there's a lot of incorrect beliefs on that.  And so we're concerned that we're concerned that, if there's belief of radio play being correctly being directly, you know,	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lower rates and being left out of services' playlists.  Would it be detrimental for a label to have its music left out from the playlist?  A. For the consumer, yes. Q. Not for the label? A. Yes. It can be detrimental. But it could also be in, you know, their business judgment that, by being left out of a playlist on one service, that consumers are going to go to another service for their music. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less, right?  A. Can you repeat the question, please. Q. Labels want services to play more of their music, not less?  A. When the streams are paid, yes. Q. Does your label group, Secretly Group, monitor whether service like iHeartRadio and Pandora are playing its music?	6:

1 MS. POPE: I'd like to distribute 2 iHeartMedia Exhibit 3569, which is an e-mail 3 dated November 2013. 4 BY MS. POPE: 5 Q. Who is Hannah Carlen? 6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to iHeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 10 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  1 A. Because Warner Music Group 2 accidentally took all of our content down even 3 though we never delivered to Warner Music Group 4 to iHeartRadio. 5 Q. And she wants to rectify that error? 6 A. Correct. Because it's paid streams. 7 It's not — it's for all of our repretoire. 8 Q. And she wants to rectify that error? 6 A. Correct. Because it's paid streams. 7 It's not — it's for all of our repretoire. 8 Q. And she wants to rectify that error? 6 A. Correct. Because it's paid streams. 7 It's not — it's for all of our repretoire. 8 Q. And she wants to rectify that error? 6 A. Correct. Because it's paid streams. 7 It's not — it's for all of our repretoire. 8 Q. And by understanding of the — that 10 with Cayucas. 11 Do you know if you were paid for 12 those streams? 13 A. My understanding of the — that 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising. 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for — 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist Integration Program, 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value		If Re. Determination of Roya	<del>, iii y</del>	Trates (1 abite) 01 20 2010	
2 excidentally took all of our content down even 3 dated November 2013. 4 BY MS, POPE: 5 Q. Who is Hamanh Carlen? 6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to lifear/tkadio? 12 A. No reason to believe this is not an 13 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to lifear/tkadio? 14 btr. 15 MS, POPE: We offer iHearfMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admirted. 19 (Illeant Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS, POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what 26 Tartists/singles/eatalog is available from our 27 artists at iHeartRadio." 28 A. Yes, And I can explain the 29 C. It his same e-mail, she says: "I 20 think some of it may be a byproduct of search if function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secrety Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 27 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 28 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In his same e-mail, she says: "I 20 think some of it may be a byproduct of search if function glithes. Cayucas yields no results, 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secrety Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's a dasking how be tan be can get your music bock on 24 dasking how be tan be can get your music bock on 24 dasking how be the one can get your music bock on 24 dasking how be tall one can't appear on she's a dasking how be a content of the market and the can't and the can't appear on she's a dasking how be a content appear on she's a dasking how be tan		652			654
2 excidentally took all of our content down even 3 dated November 2013. 4 BY MS, POPE: 5 Q. Who is Hamanh Carlen? 6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to lifear/tkadio? 12 A. No reason to believe this is not an 13 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to lifear/tkadio? 14 btr. 15 MS, POPE: We offer iHearfMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admirted. 19 (Illeant Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS, POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what 26 Tartists/singles/eatalog is available from our 27 artists at iHeartRadio." 28 A. Yes, And I can explain the 29 C. It his same e-mail, she says: "I 20 think some of it may be a byproduct of search if function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secrety Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 27 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 28 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In his same e-mail, she says: "I 20 think some of it may be a byproduct of search if function glithes. Cayucas yields no results, 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secrety Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's a dasking how be tan be can get your music bock on 24 dasking how be tan be can get your music bock on 24 dasking how be the one can get your music bock on 24 dasking how be tall one can't appear on she's a dasking how be a content of the market and the can't and the can't appear on she's a dasking how be a content appear on she's a dasking how be tan	1	MS DODE: I'd like to distribute	١,	A Recours Warner Music Group	
3 dated November 2013. 4 SPY MS. POPE: 5 Q. Who is Hamah Carlen? 6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hamah Carlen to HeartRadio? 2 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from Hamah Carlen to HeartRadio? 3 e-mail from Hamah Carlen to HeartRadio? 4 her. 10 E-mail from Hamah Carlen to HeartRadio? 11 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 12 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 E-chibit 3569. 13 E-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 14 Dy rougarm is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 E-chibit 3569. 16 E-mail from the first e-mail on 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 18 Dy you turn to the first e-mail on 25 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 25 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  19 Correct?  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at HeartRadio." 2 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 2 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen of the mark year 15 per of the program. 2 Q. You say it doesn't supraise you that 2 circumstances of that if that's useful. 2 Q. You say it doesn't supraise you that 3 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 16 por you mean plays 21 bundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio? 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 2 circumstances of that if that's useful. 4 (Correct, yes.) 2 (Correct, yes.) 3 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, yes.) 4 (Correct, y	7		1		
4 In Heart Radio. 5 Q. Who is Hamah Carlen? 6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hamah Carlen to ill-eartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 Exhibit 369. 16 Exhibit 369. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 Objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (Heart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 10 into evidence.) 11 BYMS, POPE: 12 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 18 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 19 Law She's one of our explain the 19 correct? 20 In you turn to the first e-mail on 21 the star which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  10 what Caycus is or who Caycus is. That's my 11 think some of that if that's useful. 15 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 16 dws. Carlen noticed these holes? 17 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 18 to what is being performed in the marketplace, 19 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 intergration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 Sne's asking how to make more of 16 Sceretty Group's music available on iHeartRadio, right? 17 A. No. I think they actually promote 18 the fill in the blanks." 19 Q. You's ny it doesn't surprise you that 20 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 21 integration for two months. But I'm wondering 22 in this same e-mail, she says: "I 23 Q. When we were with Warner Music Group, right? 24 A. No. She's actually addressing a technical glitch. 25 Great March and the marketplace, popular singles, right? 26 Great March and the marketplace, popular singles, right? 27 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 28 but significant holes in what is being performed in the marketplace, popular singles, right? 29 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 daskin	2		1		
5 Q. Who is Hannah Carlen? 6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Hawe you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to HeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF IUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 10 into evidence.) 11 BYMS. POPE: 12 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 13 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  16 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 26 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 27 the chain, which is an e-mail from our 28 artists at iHeartRadio." 29 Q. In this same captain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 What the side performent in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 flategration for two months. 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising. 16 Q. You don't receive reyal rates for - 17 ovyalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist Integration for two months. 19 (If the creive revertising, in-kind advertising, in-kind advertising, robe in the erand from the creive revertising, in-kind advertising, in-kind advertising, robe in the erand from the creive repail for 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising, robe in the erand from the erand from the creive revertising, in-kind advertising, robe in the erand from the erand from the erand from the erand from the erand	_		I		
6 A. She's one of our employees. She 7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. J saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to iHeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from Hennah Carlen to iHeartRadio? 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGB BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 10 into evidence.) 11 BY MS. POPE: 12 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 13 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 14 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 15 but significant holes in what  16 A. Yes. And I can explain the 17 circumstances of that if that's useful. 18 Q. She mentions in this e-mail that you 19 did Digital Artist Integration for two months 10 with Cayucas. 11 Do you know if you were paid for 12 those streams? 13 A. My understanding of the that 14 program is that we are paid for it the exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising, 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for - 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist Integration Program, 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 but we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising," you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio? 26 That song on iHeartRadio? 27 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 28 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 20 Think some of it may be a byproduct of search 21 Integration for two months. 22 Unit in the carding of the program. 23 (a. The royal rates for - 24 via some sort of advertising, which we put 25 value on. 26 Vot say it doesn't appraise you that 26 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 27 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 28 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 39 Q. In this same e-mail from Mar. 31 A. No. She's acking how to make more of 32 Greample, even though we did Digital			1		
7 manages our radio department. 8 Q. Have you seen this document before? 9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to ilHeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF IUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (Heart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 10 into evidence.) 11 BY MS. POPE: 12 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 13 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 14 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 15 but significant holes in what  16 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 17 artists at iHeartRadio." 18 A. Yes. And I can explain the 19 directions of that if that's useful. 19 Q. You say it doesn't suprise you that 10 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 10 Q. You say it doesn't suprise you that 11 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 12 A. No. I think they actually promote 13 and the stain, which is an e-mail from our 14 artists at iHeartRadio." 15 A. Yes. And I can explain the 16 circumstances of that if that's useful. 16 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 17 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 18 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 19 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 Ms. Saking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When - 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 aking how she can get your music book on			1		
8 Q. She mentions in this e-mail that you 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to iHeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF IUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 dojection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted. 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain. which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  653  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesen't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 1 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months 14 program is that we are paid for it nexchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising, 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist 19 porgram is that we are paid for it nexchange 14 program is that we are paid for it nexchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising, 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, "wide advertising, "vou mean plays of the relation of the royal transported in the well and print and advertising. 25 of that song on iHeartRadio? 26 Vou any it deceived advertising and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print and print			-		
9 A. I saw it at yesterday, yes. 10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlet to iffeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iffeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain. which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  653  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at ifleartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 Integration for two months 10 with Cayucas is in-kind advertising. 15 for eadvertising, in-kind advertising. 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for — 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist Integration for two months. 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising. 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for — 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist Integration for two months. 29 and it of the Digital Artist Integration for two months. 21 that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising. 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 but we direceive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio; 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. Vow're referencing online material 6 promotin			1	<u>-</u>	
10 Q. Any reason to believe this is not an 11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to HeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (Heart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I'am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  10 with Cayucas. 11 Do you know if you were paid for 12 those streams? 13 A. My understanding of the that 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising, in-kind advertising. 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist Integration Program, 29 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio? 26 You say it doesn't surprise you that 27 A. No. I think they actually promote 28 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 29 what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 20 A. No. She's actually addressing a 21 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 23 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 24 A. No. She's actually addressing a 25 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 26 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 27 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 28 for populare are," and then lists 15 songs. 29 Q. Nay if you look at Page 3 of the 29 for document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 29 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 29 for that song on iHeartRadio? 20 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 21 forese treases from Socrety Canadian, 22 for an unique trease form Socrety Canadian, 23 faginguw			1		
11 e-mail from Hannah Carlen to iHeartRadio? 12 A. No reason to believe it's not an 13 e-mail from her. I think it is an e-mail from 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen. 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  653  653  654  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 utists at iHeartRadio." 2 A. Par of her job is to pay attention 3 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, right? 15 NS. POPE: 26 When we were with Warner Music Group, 27 A. No. She's actually addressing a letchnical glitch. 28 When we were with Warner Music Group, 29 When we were digitally distributed by them until the 20 end of 2013. When 21 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 22 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on		* * *		-	
12			1		
13 A. My understanding of the that 14 her. 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 20 into evidence. 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  653  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 1 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 A. My understanding of the that 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising. 16 Q. You don't receive royal rates for 17 royalties from SoundExchange for songs played as 18 part of the Digital Artist, Integration Program, 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 but we did net for two months. 22 but we did net for two months. 22 but we did net for two months. 23 but we did receive advertising. which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio? 3 hat Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the that 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 for advertising. And the Digital Artist of 2 part of the Digital Artist of 2 part of the Digital Artist of 3 part of the Digital Artist of 3 part of the Digital Artist of 1 promoting the Digital Artist of 1 promoting the plays of Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the that 14 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 15 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisming, which we put 2 via some sort of advertisming, which we put 2 via some sort of advertisming. The promoting the program. 2 via the did rece			1		
14 her: 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 18 (Heart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 20 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  64 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 26 artists at iHeartRadio." 27 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 28 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I think some of it may be a byproduct of search 16 If unction glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 17 If unction glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 18 program is that we are paid for it in exchange 16 C. You durit receive advertising. 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  653  653  653  653  654  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You's referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. 663  674  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You's referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. 663  675  676  676  687  688  689  689  690  691  692  693  693  693  693  693  693  693			ł		
15 MS. POPE: We offer iHeartMedia 16 Exhibit 3569. 17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted 10 into evidence.) 11 BY MS. POPE: 12 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 13 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 14 Ms. Carlen writes: "I'am noticing some random 15 but significant holes in what  16 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 17 organia artists at iHeartRadio." 18 A. Yes. And I can explain the 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 bundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 value on. 25 of that song on iHeartRadio? 26 (A. No. I think they actually promote 27 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 28 what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I think some of it may be a byproduct of search of think some of it may be a byproduct of search of think some of it may be a byproduct of search of think some of it may be a byproduct of search of Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, right? 20 A. No. She's actually addressing a technical glitch. 21 When we were with Warner Music Group, we were digitally distributed by them until the end of 2013. When 21 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's asking how she can get your music back on 24 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
16 Exhibit 3569.  17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (Heart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted. 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what   653  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her Digital Artist Integration Program, 11 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 Eut we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Until ke a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secrety Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 cervet? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 bundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 Eut we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising vou mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  4 integration?  4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You's referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Until the artist of the Digital Artist Integration for two months. 26 but we did receive advertising, which we put 27 value on. 28 ut we did receive advertising. Which we put 29 va some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio? 4 understanding of					
17 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Hearing no 18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted) 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  653  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 26 artists at iHeartRadio."  3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful.  5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes?  7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration Program, 19 correct?  20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 ware did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  663  663  663  663  663  663  663  6			1		
18 objection, 3569 is admitted. 19 (IHeart Exhibit No. 3569 was admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 27 artists at iHeartRadio." 28 A. Yes. And I can explain the 29 circumstances of that if that's useful. 29 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 20 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 20 A. Part of her Digital Artist Integration Program, 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  663  663  663  663  663  663  664  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her Digital Artist Integration Program, 21 whordreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising," you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  663  663  663  663  664  1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her Digital Artist 7 a. Ro hot hat on artist, of the many 7 all undereds of artists, we did not for two months. 24 Q. And by "advertising, which we put 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You's referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. 8 do vou'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3			1	•	
19 correct? 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 but significant holes in what  27 of that song on iHeartRadio?  28 of that song on iHeartRadio?  29 quartiest at iHeartRadio." 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't suprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 1 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But Tm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 correct? 20 A. For that one artist, of the many 21 hundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 22 But we did receive advertising, which we put 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  4 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding. 3 what exertising, which we put 24 Q. And by "advertising, which we put 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  4 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understandio?  7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But Tm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She'		_	1		
20 into evidence.) 21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 A. You significant holes in what  27 artists at iHeartRadio."  28 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on  26 Intrinsic fit me any to did not for two months. 27 I bundreds of artists, we did not for two months. 28 Dut we did receive advertising, which we put 29 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising, which we put 2 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising, weid on or iHeartRadio?  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising, which we do 2 via s		•	1		
21 BY MS. POPE: 22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio."  3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful.  5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes?  7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 12 how best to fill in the blanks."  13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks."  15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right?  18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch.  20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on  25 but we did receive advertising, which we put 26 value on. 27 value on. 28 value on. 29 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Which we put 20 Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 21 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 21 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 22 alignewar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 24 poundaries and then lists 15 songs. 25 but a some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio? 26 Vyou're referencing online material 27 poundaries and promotical the promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 28 aligney are referencing online material 29		•	1		
22 Q. If you turn to the first e-mail on 23 the chain, which is an e-mail from Ms. Carlen, 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 27 artists at iHeartRadio." 28 A. Yes. And I can explain the 29 cartists at iHeartRadio." 29 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 20 document, which is an e-mail, she says: "I 21 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 22 to a some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio or what is being performed in the marketplace. 29 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 29 Q. Okay, If you look at Page 3 of the document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, think some of it may be a byproduct of search 23 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering how best to fill in the blanks." 25 but we did receive advertising, which we put 26 value on. 27 A. No. I think they actually promote 28 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio or what Cayucas is. That's my 29 understanding of the program. 29 Q. You're referencing online material of promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio?  7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 repopulate are, "and then lists 15 songs. 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			1		
23 value on. 24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio."  3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 value on. 24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  653  653  653  653  662  4 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
24 Ms. Carlen writes: "I am noticing some random 25 but significant holes in what  26 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio."  3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful.  5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks."  15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on  24 Q. And by "advertising" you mean plays 25 of that song on iHeartRadio?  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			!	<del>-</del>	
25 but significant holes in what  26 of that song on iHeartRadio?  27 of that song on iHeartRadio?  28 of that song on iHeartRadio?  29 of that song on iHeartRadio?  20 artists at iHeartRadio."  20 A. Yes. And I can explain the  21 circumstances of that if that's useful.  22 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio  23 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my  24 understanding of the program.  25 Q. You're referencing online material  26 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio?  27 A. It's advertisement only iHeartRadio  28 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my  29 understanding of the program.  20 Promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio?  21 A. No. I think they actually promote  22 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio  3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my  4 understanding of the program.  5 Q. You're referencing online material  6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio?  7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print  8 ad you'd buy in a magazine.  9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the  4 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7,  11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you  12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian,  13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to  14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs.  15 This list includes some of your most  16 popular singles, right?  17 A. Correct, yes.  18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the  19 Billboard Top 40, right?  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a  22 Grammy, right?  23 A. Correct.  24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 6 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
1 artists/singles/catalog is available from our 2 artists at iHeartRadio." 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "1 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 12 think some of it may be did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 10 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on  1 A. No. I think they actually promote 2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 12 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 25 A. Correct. 26 And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
2 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio  3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 though we did Digital Artist 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 20 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 21 asking how she can get your music back on 22 via some sort of advertisement only iHeartRadio 3 what Cayucas is or who Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on					655
3 A. Yes. And I can explain the 4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 12 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 20 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 21 asking how she can get your music back on 2 You're referencing online material 2 understanding of the program. 2 Understanding of the program. 2 understanding of the program. 3 what Cayucas is. That's my 4 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
4 circumstances of that if that's useful. 5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 12 to rexample, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 20 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 21 asking how she can get your music back on 2 understanding of the program. 5 Q. You're referencing online material 6 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 7 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 8 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			1		
5 Q. You say it doesn't surprise you that 6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. You're referencing online material 10 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 10 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 21 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 22 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 23 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 24 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 25 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 26 promoting the plays of Cayucas on iHeartRadio? 27 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 28 ad you'd buy in a magazine. 29 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 20 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 20 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 21 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 21 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 22 and then lists 15 songs. 23 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 24 P. This list includes some of your most 25 popular singles, right? 26 Popular singles, right? 27 A. Correct, yes. 28 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 29 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is	3	<del>-</del>	3		
6 Ms. Carlen noticed these holes? 7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 12 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 25 Grammy, right? 26 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is	4		1		
7 A. Part of her job is to pay attention 8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 25 Carammy, right? 26 A. Correct. 27 A. It's advertising. Just like a print 28 ad you'd buy in a magazine.  9 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is	_		1		
8 to what is being performed in the marketplace. 9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is	6		•		
9 Q. In this same e-mail, she says: "I 10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 29 Q. Okay. If you look at Page 3 of the 10 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 asking how she can get your music back on 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is	7		7		
10 think some of it may be a byproduct of search 11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 document, which is an e-mail dated November 7, 11 2013, Ms. Carlen writes: "Wonderful. Yeah, you 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 We were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 20 And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			l		
11 function glitches. Cayucas yields no results, 12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 21 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 12 should see the releases from Secretly Canadian, 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 asking how she can get your music back on 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			1		
12 for example, even though we did Digital Artist 13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 21 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 13 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			10		
13 Integration for two months. But I'm wondering 14 how best to fill in the blanks." 15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 21 Jagjaguwar and Dead Oceans. Priority singles to 14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			I		
14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs.  15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 19 When we were with Warner Music Group, 20 When we were digitally distributed by them until the 21 end of 2013. When 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on  14 repopulate are," and then lists 15 songs. 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 25 A. Correct.			12		
15 She's asking how to make more of 16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how to make more of 15 This list includes some of your most 16 popular singles, right? 17 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 24 And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			I		
16 Secretly Group's music available on iHeartRadio, 17 right? 18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 technical glitch. 19 When we were with Warner Music Group, 20 When we were digitally distributed by them until the 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 26 popular singles, right? 27 A. Correct, yes. 28 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 29 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 25 A. Correct. 26 And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			ı		
17 right?  18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch.  20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 21 A. Correct, yes. 18 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 19 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			ĺ		
18 A. No. She's actually addressing a 19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 28 Q. "Skinny Love" made it onto the 29 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
19 technical glitch. 20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 29 Billboard Top 40, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is		-		- · ·	
20 When we were with Warner Music Group, 21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is					
21 we were digitally distributed by them until the 22 end of 2013. When 23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 21 Q. Paula Ceni was nominated for a 22 Grammy, right? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is		<del>-</del>	l		
22 end of 2013. When22 Grammy, right?23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's23 A. Correct.24 asking how she can get your music back on24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			l		
23 Q. The music doesn't appear on she's 24 asking how she can get your music back on 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is	21		21		
24 asking how she can get your music back on 24 Q. And we heard earlier that Bon Iver is			i i		
			l		
25 iHeartRadio, correct? 25 a multiple Grammy award winner, right?			I	-	
	25	iHeartRadio, correct?	25	a multiple Grammy award winner, right?	

		656			6
,	A 37		1		_
1	A. Yes.			high statutory rate, it would be a lot easier to	
2	Q. I want to look at the November 15th		2	engage in a play share incentive because you	
3	e-mail at the bottom of Page 1.		3	could cut your rate, get more plays; but all	
4	Here Ms. Carlen writes in the second		4	other things being equal, since you started from	
5	paragraph: "Similarly, does the take-down mean		5	a higher, stronger one, you would be more apt to	
6	that any songs we had seated on station are now		6	do it.	
7	out of the mix? And if so, is there anything I		7	Whereas, if you had a lower statutory	
8	can do to rectify?"		8	rate that was already cutting into your profit,	
9	Ms. Carlen is asking is there		9	you would be less willing.	
10	anything she can do to get your music back on		10	THE WITNESS: And I think I actually	
11	iHeartRadio's custom stations here, correct?		11	confirmed that just right now. I agree. I	
12	A. I think so. I think she's yes.		12	don't know if there's necessarily a correlation	
13	I'm not sure what the distinction between the		13	between a high statutory rate and whether play	
14	custom stations and iHeart's Webcasting. I'm		14	share incentives will go away or not.	
15		į	15	Maybe when I've been talking about	
16		- 1	16	strong statutory royalty rate, I'm not	
17		1	17	necessarily only talking about the actual rate.	
18	And my understanding is that, when			I may be talking about you know, as I've	
19	our content is streamed on iHeartRadio, we're		19	testified in congress, you know, I believe that	
20	paid. And so when she's pointing out our most	- 1	20	things like play share incentives and	
21	popular recordings, it's good business to say,	- 1	21	nontransparent commercial terms dictating what	
22	"Hey, you know, favor these to go up first			is played on the air shouldn't be allowed.	
				• •	
	because that's what is going to be streamed		23	JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, let's just	
24	more. And that means more revenue for us."		24	change the word "rate" to the word "value."	
	MS PODE: I think I can leave it	- 1.	25		
	MS. POPE: I think I can leave it		25	If you had a strong well, you used	
25	MS. POPE: I think I can leave it	657	25	If you had a strong well, you used	_
				If you had a strong well, you used	
25	there with this document.		1	If you had a strong well, you used statutory, so maybe that's not right way to	•
1 2	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone		1 2	If you had a strong well, you used statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just	•
1 2 3	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in		1 2 3	If you had a strong — well, you used statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.	
1 2 3 4	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.		1 2 3 4	If you had a strong — well, you used  statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your	•
1 2 3 4 5	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the		1 2 3 4 5	If you had a strong — well, you used  statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.	
1 2 3 4 5 6	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.		1 2 3 4 5 6	If you had a strong — well, you used  statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of	(
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your		1 2 3 4 5 6 7	If you had a strong — well, you used  statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.	•
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.	•
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 14 5 6 7 8	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."  That is the inevitably being the	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Excuse me,	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 14 15 16 7 8 9	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."  That is the inevitably being the play people adopting companies adopting the play share incentives, right?	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Excuse me,  Mr. Choudhury.  Does the NAB have questions for this	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 17 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."  That is the inevitably being the play people adopting companies adopting the play share incentives, right?  THE WITNESS: Correct.	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Excuse me,  Mr. Choudhury.  Does the NAB have questions for this witness?	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 1	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."  That is the inevitably being the play people adopting companies adopting the play share incentives, right?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Why do you think	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Excuse me,  Mr. Choudhury.  Does the NAB have questions for this witness?  MS. ELGIN: No, Your Honor.	
1 2 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."  That is the inevitably being the play people adopting companies adopting the play share incentives, right?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Why do you think that you need a strong or higher statutory rate	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 2	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Excuse me,  Mr. Choudhury.  Does the NAB have questions for this witness?  MS. ELGIN: No, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Mr. Falker?	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 17 8 19 20 1 22 1 22 1 22 1 22 1 22 1 22 1 2	there with this document.  And let us all leave since I've gone over time, and you have been very kind in letting me do so. Thank you.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I wanted to ask the witness a question.  On Page 14 of your testimony, your first paragraph that begins with the bold "Pro Rata Terms."  Do you see that?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE STRICKLER: The last sentence there reads: "Without a strong statutory rate that allows record companies, whether major or independent, to reject play share incentives, I am afraid this will become an inevitably."  That is the inevitably being the play people adopting companies adopting the play share incentives, right?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Why do you think	657	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1	statutory, so maybe that's not right way to approach you with the question. So let's just leave your answer as the final word.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.  MR. CHOUDHURY: I have two minutes of redirect, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two minutes?  MR. CHOUDHURY: Yeah. And that way we can finish with this witness.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay.  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE  BY MR. CHOUDHURY:  Q. Mr. Van Arman, I'm going to ask you to look at the e-mails  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Excuse me,  Mr. Choudhury.  Does the NAB have questions for this witness?  MS. ELGIN: No, Your Honor.	F

		660		662
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CHOUDHURY: On the clock. BY MR. CHOUDHURY: Q. Mr. Van Arman, can I ask you to take a look at that e-mail that you were just questioned about, IHM 3569? A. Yes. Q. Can you explain what was you started to explain. Can you explain what was what is your understanding of what this e-mail chain is about? A. Yes. So when we were transitioning our digital distribution from Warner Music Group to ourselves in the fall of 2013, going into the beginning of 2014, at that same time Warner Music Group had entered into a Clear Channel direct license. We were approached by Warner Music Group whether you know, even though our time was short with them, whether we should enter into that license or not.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discussions with our colleagues here and with Mr. Huppe to figure out where we're going to put him. So we'll let you know.  That's our current understanding.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Were Mr. Hair and Dr. McFadden, to coin a phrase, must-haves for tomorrow?  MR. POMERANTZ: Yeah. I think Professor Lys is a must-have as well. So we're hoping to see if we can get everybody to get all three of them on and off tomorrow. That's our goal.  MR. RICH: We're doing the steering to make it happen.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: As long as counsel are aware, I for one don't need any more warning than that.  JUDGE STRICKLER: I like a warning. It gives me something to read to get to sleep.	662
22 23 24	Because we were taking all of our digital distribution back and being an independent distributor of our content, we declined.	22 23 24	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: 9:00 in the morning. I'm going to say we're going to quit at 4:15 because I mean we're going to quit at 4:15. So if we have to bring a witness back, a must-have who can't come back, then we'll have	
	(	61		663
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	However, when all of our digital distribution repertoire was taken down from Warner Music Group as it was transitioning to us, I think what happened was they accidentally instructed that our repertoire that was on their system for other services be taken down from Clear Channel.  And so, as a result, in January or February of 2014 when or maybe even earlier when this e-mail chain started, that was addressing the switch that occurred because of the transition.  MR. CHOUDHURY: That's all, Your Honor.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.  Thank you, Mr. Van Arman. You may be excused.  MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, for tomorrow, I just wanted to let you know that we're still in discussions about where we're trying to figure out which witnesses have to go on tomorrow. We can send you a letter tonight if you want to just to make sure you know.  We think it's going do be Mr. Hair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that.  CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: You know, if there's a jury, it's a little bit more confusing to have people come and go. But we don't have a jury here. So I think we can handle it.  MR. POMERANTZ: Thank you, Your	

	ii ke. Deterimiation o	
		664
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	
3	I, Bonnie L. Russo, do hereby certify that	
5	the foregoing transcript is a true record of the proceedings to the best of my ability, that I am	
6	not related to or employed by any of the parties involved in these proceedings, and, further,	
8	that I am not a relative or employee of any	
10	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or financially interested in the	
	proceedings.	
13		
14	Notary Public	
15		
	My Commission Expires: May 31, 2015	
18 19		
20		
21 22		
23 24		
25		
-		
L	<del></del>	

	1 4	)	
\$	<b>15</b> 416:14 432:13	655:11 660:14	<b>26.5</b> 413:18
<b>\$0.53</b> 421:9	435:14 655:14	<b>2014</b> 426:7 585:10	<b>28</b> 331:14 366:11
<b>\$1.5</b> 352:20	15-CRB-0001-	630:15 660:15	<b>28.2</b> 413:18
<b>\$10</b> 436:3	WR 331:7	661:9	<b>2-PUBLIC</b> 331:8
\$100,000	15th 656:2	<b>2015</b> 331:14 664:17	
602:12,19	<b>16</b> 432:24 615:7 623:3,17	<b>2016</b> 619:17,23	3
<b>\$4</b> 375:5,15	<b>1615</b> 332:19	620:3	<b>3</b> 384:14,21 409:20 413:17
<b>\$50</b> 374:22	1675 332:23	<b>2016-2020</b> 331:7	655:9
\$50,000	1776 332:15	<b>2017</b> 619:25 620:2	<b>3082</b> 336:2
602:17,19,21	333:12	<b>2020</b> 619:17	<b>31</b> 664:17
<b>\$70</b> 374:24	<b>18</b> 368:13	620:1,2	<b>3186</b> 335:21
0	<b>19</b> 425:21 426:1	202-204-4527	<b>3187</b> 336:3
0020 335:19	<b>1994</b> 592:25	333:20	<b>3189</b> 335:23
586:25 587:20 592:1	<b>1996</b> 576:9	<b>202-326-7992</b> 332:20	<b>3197</b> 335:22
<b>06840</b> 333:8	578:10,11	202-719-7008	<b>3198</b> 335:22
00040 333.8	599:15 600:4	333:13	<b>3201</b> 335:24
1	1999 578:6	202-719-7453	<b>3202</b> 335:23
1 586:22 587:3	2	332:16	<b>3205</b> 335:24
602:11,13,16 603:19 656:3	<b>2</b> 588:6 603:19	202-783-4141	<b>331-388</b> 331:8
<b>10</b> 432:9 626:10	623:10,12	333:17	<b>350</b> 352:18
627:24 644:25	631:11 634:14	<b>203-966-4770</b> 333:9	<b>351</b> 335:4 589:20
10:00 337:22	<b>20</b> 351:20 354:4 364:4 378:15	<b>204</b> 332:7	<b>351.10</b> 349:1
<b>100</b> 361:24	384:16 408:12	205 333:8	<b>351.10(b</b> 339:8
<b>1001</b> 333:19	425:21 426:1,11 431:24 591:19	<b>2077</b> 335:19	<b>351.4</b> 590:6
10019 332:23	626:10	<b>20th</b> 589:5 591:1	<b>355</b> 332:4 335:17
101 333:3	<b>20,000</b> 626:14,23	<b>20-year</b> 364:6	<b>3569</b> 336:2
<b>10153</b> 332:11	<b>200</b> 361:24	212-310-8000	652:2,16,18,19
<b>11</b> 364:17 408:10	<b>20004</b> 333:20	332:12	660:5
11th 610:25	<b>20006</b> 332:15	212-484-3900	<b>3573</b> 336:1
<b>12</b> 335:17	333:12	332:24	<b>3575</b> 335:25
354:13,24	<b>20036</b> 332:20	213-683-9107	<b>3576</b> 336:1
355:3,4 364:18 408:19 409:18	<b>2003</b> 7 333:16	332:5	<b>3577</b> 335:25
588:6	<b>2010</b> 366:21 371:8	<b>23</b> 332:7	<b>3596</b> 336:3
<b>14</b> 418:7 428:16	<b>2012</b> 423:6 424:22	<b>2300</b> 333:4,15	<b>37</b> 589:19
430:2 611:14	633:23 634:14	<b>24</b> 368:13 384:20	<b>37203</b> 332:8
612:3 615:13 645:22 648:14	635:15	24th 631:5	<b>39</b> 335:20 623:20,25 624:2
649:11 657:7	<b>2013</b> 426:7 585:10 652:3 653:22	<b>25</b> 607:14 643:13	626:15
	052.5 055.22		

367:13,18         512 336:3         803(b)(6)(C)(ii)(II         access 363:25           580:24 598:17         515 336:3         590:7         365:20 366:1           655:19         5210 641:7         82 384:13         369:5,22 373:10           400 332:19         524 335:4         9         369:5,22 373:10           408 335:4         552 335:18         9         374:3,9         383:1,10,11,13           408 436 331:9         556 335:19         9:00 331:17         662:21         584:3 638:17           415-318-1211         564 335:5         662:21         662:21         662:21         661:4           423:13,14         57 426:14         90071 332:4         627:22         accomplished           424:14,16,18         574-664 331:9         94105 333:4         accomplished         627:22           425 335:15         592 335:19         96 576:22         according 413:17         630:17,22           425 335:18         60 366:17 578:23         95 576:22         accordingly         591:23           447 335:17         60 366:17 578:23         590:19         578:16         account 432:3         578:16           455 335:18         332:8         a.m 331:17         accountable         352:19           457 335:5         624 335:		Pa	ge 2	
4   4357:16 410:23	<b>3E</b> 345:23	<b>488</b> 335:25	7 655:10	
A   4357:16 410:23	<b>3rd</b> 332:4	<b>489</b> 336:1	<b>70</b> 578:16	
4357:16 410:23		<b>490</b> 336:1	700 333:16	
411:11	<u> </u>	<b>491</b> 336:2	<b>72</b> 366:10 384:11	
4:15 624:14,21 662:23,24 4:30 624:12 4:45 663:16 500 361:18 80(25554 335:17 80(55409 335:18 367:13,18 512 336:3 590:7 500 361:18 580:24 598:17 655:19 5210 641:7 5210 641:7 52335:18 512 336:3 590:7 5210 641:7 52335:18 512 336:3 590:7 5210 641:7 52335:18 52335:18 52335:18 52335:18 52335:19 524 335:4 52335:19 525 335:19 526 335:19 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 527 335:15 537 335:15 537 335:15 537 335:15 537 335:15 544 335:15 557 335:18 560 366:17 578:23 578:16 590:19 524 335:20 524 335:20 525 335:19 527 335:21 528 335:21 528 335:21 528 335:21 625 335:29 626 335:22 627 335:23 628 335:21 628 335:21 628 335:21 628 335:21 6333:22 648 335:23 658 336:2 34:13 34:15 345:7 370:12 416:1 635:3 370:12 416:1 635:3 365:17,22 370:12 416:1 635:3 365:17,22 376:10,10,11,13 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:20,366:1 365:20,366:1 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 365:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22 376:17,22	1		767 332:11	
662:23,24		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
4:30 624:12 4:45 663:16 5027 335:15 80(55409 335:18 367:13,18 580:24 598:17 655:19 5210 641:7 82 384:13 369:5,22 373:10 400 332:19 524 335:4 408-436 331:9 556 335:19 415-318-1211 333:5 567 335:5 567 335:5 90 367:7 631:17 424:14,16,18 574-664 331:9 595 576:22 425 335:15 590 576:22 425 335:15 435:17 445 335:17 663:17 473 335:15 473 335:15 473 335:17 475 335:18 477 335:15 477 335:15 477 335:17 477 335:18 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 477 335:23 47	1			
4:45 663:16         5027 335:15         80(55409 335:18         acceptable 603:17           367:13,18         512 336:3         803(b)(6)(C)(ii)(II         access 363:25           580:24 598:17         515 336:3         590:7         365:20 366:1           400 332:19         524 335:4         9         333:1,10,11,13           408 335:4         552 335:18         9 413:7 624:12         385:16 386:6,14           408-436 331:9         556 335:19         9:00 331:17         385:16 386:6,14           415-318-1211         564 335:5         662:21         accidentally 654:2           333:5         567 335:5         90 367:7 631:17         accomplished           423:13,14         574-664 331:9         94105 333:4         accomplished           424:34,16,18         574-664 331:9         94105 333:4         according 413:17           425 335:5         592 335:19         96 576:22         according 413:17           447 335:15         60 366:17 578:23         596:576:22         according 43:17           447 335:17         60 366:17 578:23         590:19         578:16           447 335:18         332:8         a.m 331:17         account 432:3           457 335:5         624 335:20         A2IM 579:23         account 367:13 <tr< td=""><td><b>4:30</b> 624:12</td><td></td><td></td><td>635:3</td></tr<>	<b>4:30</b> 624:12			635:3
40 333:7 366:18   512 336:3   803(b)(6)(C)(ii)(II   580:24 598:17   515 336:3   590:7   365:20 366:1   369:5,22 373:10   374:3,9   383:1,10,11,13   385:16 386:6,14   590:31:17   662:21   384:13   385:16 386:6,14   574:664 331:9   574-664 331:9   574-664 331:9   575 335:18   595 376:22   360:17,22   360:17,22   360:31:17   373:15   373:15   373:17   373:17   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:18   373:17   373:19   373:17   373:19   373:12   373:12   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   377:2 380:22   378:20   378:20   378:	<b>4:45</b> 663:16		i .	<b>academy</b> 634:15
580:24 598:17         515 336:3         590:7         365:20 366:1           655:19         5210 641:7         82 384:13         369:5,22 373:10           400 332:19         524 335:4         9         383:1,10,11,13           408 335:4         552 335:18         9 413:7 624:12         385:16 386:6,14           408-436 331:9         556 335:19         9:00 331:17         584:3 638:17           415-318-1211         564 335:5         90 367:7 631:17         accidentally 654:2           4176 335:14         57 426:14         90071 332:4         627:22           423:13,14         57 4-664 331:9         94105 333:4         accomplished           427:22         425 335:1         592 335:19         96 576:22         according 413:17           425 335:5         592 335:19         96 576:22         accordingly           437 335:15         60 366:17 578:23         99 578:13         account 432:3           447 335:17         60 366:17 578:23         590:19         578:16           455 335:18         332:8         a.m 331:17         accounts 367:13           457 335:5         624 335:20         A2IM 579:23         accurate 340:21           457 335:5         624 335:9         ability 373:12         achieve 584:11	40 333:7 366:18		80(55409 335:18	acceptable 603:17
Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social Social		<b>512</b> 336:3		access 363:25
400 332:19         524 335:4         374:3,9         383:1,10,11,13         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         584:3 638:17         662:21         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         662:21         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         661:4         67:22         60:17:22         60:17:22         60:17:22         60:17:22         60:17:23         60:17:23         60:17:23         60:17:23         60:17:23         60:19:23         60:19:23         60:19:23         60:19:23         60:19:23 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
408 335:4         524 335:4         9         383:1,10,11,13         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         584:3 638:17         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:16 386:6,14         385:17         466:14         accomplished         627:22         380:17,22         380:17,22         380:17,22         382:19         395:78:13         395:83         395:89         395:89         395:89         395:89         395:19         396:38:39         396:39:39         396:39:39		<b>5210</b> 641:7	82 384:13	•
408 - 33 3 . 4         552 33 5 : 18         9 413 : 7 624 : 12         385 : 16 386 : 6, 14 584 : 36 : 31 : 17           415 - 318 - 1211         564 335 : 5         9:00 331 : 17 662 : 21         accidentally 654 : 26 : 14 661 : 4           4176 335 : 14 423 : 13, 14 424 : 14, 16, 18 574 - 664 : 331 : 9         57 426 : 14 90071 332 : 4 94105 333 : 4         accomplished 627 : 22 according 413 : 17 630 : 17, 22 accordingly 59 : 576 : 22 accordingly 59 : 578 : 13 591 : 23           424 335 : 15 5 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 15 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 335 : 10 592 3		<b>524</b> 335:4	9	•
415-318-1211         556 335:19         9:00 331:17         accidentally 654:2           333:5         567 335:5         90 367:7 631:17         accidentally 654:2           4176 335:14         57 426:14         90071 332:4         accomplished           423:13,14         57 426:14         90071 332:4         accomplished           424:14,16,18         574-664 331:9         94105 333:4         according 413:17           424 335:14         575 335:8         95 576:22         accordingly           437 335:15         99 578:13         591:23           444 335:18         6         90 367:7 631:17         account 432:3           447 335:17         6         99 578:13         account 432:3           578:16         590:19         578:16         account 432:3           457 335:18         60/40 367:24         A         Accounts 367:13           457 335:5         624 335:20         A&R 595:8         accounts 367:13           457 335:5         624 335:20         AZIM 579:23         354:21           466 335:22         642 421:6         377:2 380:22         383:10,13 384:4           469 335:23         65 600:11         585:6 664:5         388:2           470 335:23         652 336:2         344:15 345:7		<b>552</b> 335:18		385:16 386:6,14
333:5 4176 335:14 423:13,14 424:14,16,18 574-664 331:9 425 335:5  447 335:15  447 335:15  447 335:17  457 325:19 457 335:5  457 335:5  457 335:23  457 335:23  457 335:5  466 335:5  567 335:5  567 335:5  574-664 331:9  574-664 331:9  574-664 331:9  574-664 331:9  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:8  575 335:19  576:22  576:22  576:22  576:22  576:22  577:23  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578:16  578		<b>556</b> 335:19	9:00 331:17	
4176 335:14       567 335:5       90 367:7 631:17       accomplished         423:13,14       57 426:14       90071 332:4       627:22         424:14,16,18       574-664 331:9       94105 333:4       according 413:17         424 335:14       575 335:8       95 576:22       accordingly         437 335:15       99 578:13       591:23         444 335:18       60 366:17 578:23       590:19       account 432:3         447 335:17       629:9       590:19       account 432:3         455 80:24       60/40 367:24       Accountable       352:19         457 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accounts 367:13         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20		<b>564</b> 335:5	662:21	
423:13,14       57 426:14       90071 332:4       627:22         424:14,16,18       574-664 331:9       94105 333:4       according 413:17         424 335:14       575 335:8       95 576:22       630:17,22         425 335:5       592 335:19       96 576:22       accordingly         437 335:15       66       90 578:13       591:23         444 335:18       670 366:17 578:23       629:9       account 432:3         450 371:11       615-242-9999       A&R 595:8       accounts 367:13         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       338:2         469 335:23       65 600:11       585:6 664:5       338:2         470 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20		<b>567</b> 335:5	<b>90</b> 367:7 631:17	
424:14,16,18       574-664 331:9       94105 333:4       according 413:17         424 335:14       575 335:8       95 576:22       630:17,22         425 335:5       592 335:19       96 576:22       accordingly         437 335:15       99 578:13       591:23         444 335:18       66       99 578:13       account 432:3         447 335:17       629:9       590:19       account 432:3         45 580:24       60/40 367:24       ARR 595:8       account able         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accounts 367:13         457 335:5       624 335:20       AZIM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20		57 426:14	90071 332:4	
424 335:14       575 335:8       95 576:22       630:17,22         425 335:5       592 335:19       96 576:22       accordingly         437 335:15       99 578:13       591:23         444 335:18       66       9th 589:2,16       578:16         447 335:17       629:9       A&R 595:8       account 432:3         450 371:11       615-242-9999       A&R 595:8       accounts 367:13         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       585:6 664:5       338:21       acknowledging         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	1	<b>574-664</b> 331:9	<b>94105</b> 333:4	
437 335:15       99 578:13       591:23         444 335:18       60 366:17 578:23       9th 589:2,16       578:16         45 580:24       60/40 367:24       A       352:19         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accounts 367:13         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         469 335:22 630:24       644 335:9       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>424</b> 335:14	<b>575</b> 335:8	<b>95</b> 576:22	_
444 335:18         6         9th 589:2,16         578:16           447 335:17         629:9         account 432:3           45 580:24         60/40 367:24         A         352:19           450 371:11         615-242-9999         A&R 595:8         accounts 367:13           457 335:18         332:8         a.m 331:17         accurate 340:21           457 335:5         624 335:20         A2IM 579:23         354:21           463 335:21         625 335:9         ability 373:12         achieve 584:11           466 335:22         642 421:6         377:2 380:22         acknowledging           470 335:23         65 600:11         able 338:21         across 357:13           472 335:23         652 336:2         344:15 345:7         372:20	<b>425</b> 335:5	<b>592</b> 335:19	<b>96</b> 576:22	9 \$
444 335:16       60 366:17 578:23       590:19       578:16         447 335:17       629:9       A       accountable         45 580:24       60/40 367:24       A       352:19         450 371:11       615-242-9999       A&R 595:8       accounts 367:13         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       338:2       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>437</b> 335:15	-	99 578:13	591:23
447 335:17       629:9       accountable         45 580:24       60/40 367:24       A       352:19         450 371:11       615-242-9999       A&R 595:8       accounts 367:13         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	444 335:18		9th 589:2,16	
45 580:24       60/40 367:24       A       352:19         450 371:11       615-242-9999       A&R 595:8       accounts 367:13         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       achieve 584:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	447 335:17	I .	590:19	
450 371:11       615-242-9999       A&R 595:8       accounts 367:13         455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       622:24         469 335:22 630:24       644 335:9       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>45</b> 580:24			
455 335:18       332:8       a.m 331:17       accurate 340:21         457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       622:24         469 335:22 630:24       644 335:9       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>450</b> 371:11			
457 335:5       624 335:20       A2IM 579:23       354:21         463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       622:24         469 335:22 630:24       644 335:9       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>455</b> 335:18			
463 335:21       625 335:9       ability 373:12       achieve 584:11         466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       622:24         469 335:22 630:24       644 335:9       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>45</b> 7 335:5	<b>624</b> 335:20		
466 335:22       642 421:6       377:2 380:22       622:24         469 335:22 630:24       644 335:9       383:10,13 384:4       acknowledging         470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>463</b> 335:21	<b>625</b> 335:9		
470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>466</b> 335:22	<b>642</b> 421:6	377:2 380:22	
470 335:23       65 600:11       able 338:21       across 357:13         472 335:23       652 336:2       344:15 345:7       372:20	<b>469</b> 335:22 630:24	<b>644</b> 335:9		9 9 1
<b>472</b> 335:23 <b>652</b> 336:2 344:15 345:7 372:20	470 335:23	<b>65</b> 600:11		
	<b>472</b> 335:23	<b>652</b> 336:2	i i	
473 335:24 659 335:8 361:9 370:2 acting 646:18	<b>473</b> 335:24	<b>659</b> 335:8	361:9 370:2	
479 335:24 6th 337:23 374:11,25 action 628:3	<b>479</b> 335:24		,	_
<b>487</b> 335:25 377:20,22 action 638:3 640:23	<b>487</b> 335:25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7 604:10 627:10		7	I	

	1	3e 3	
active 578:24	adjust 603:15	655:7	340:12 343:18
activities 359:19	admissibility	affairs 352:5	581:15,22
633:16	589:4	412:22 576:6	582:20 585:8
		582:13	596:20 598:21
activity 352:2	admission 354:24		605:12 627:17
386:17 387:14	424:13 587:19	affect 608:16	637:15,19
actor 629:10	588:1	614:3,4	ahead 610:9
	admit 591:19	618:16,18	aneau 010.9
acts 435:20 628:21	1	620:17	air 607:9
actual 353:13	admitted 335:12	affected 373:11	632:22,24
582:10 602:7,22	355:3,5	l	635:24 650:22
650:23 658:17	424:17,18	affecting 423:25	658:22
a atm a llex 2.45.10	591:14 592:2	affiliated 575:19	airing 620:6
actually 345:19	624:1,3	578:18 580:18	airing 629:6
349:6 357:8	652:18,19	638:14	album 361:24
361:14 370:9	adopt 342:18		362:3,5 386:4
386:3,13 408:21	1 -	affirmatively	592:19
436:3 576:13	adopter 384:19	641:5	<b>albums</b> 361:18
594:12 606:2	adopting 657:18	afford 599:18	362:14 374:8
609:16 610:20	ads 377:1 418:17	afraid 645:24	413:19
615:2 618:4,22	420:17	657:16	
619:18 621:18	428:21,24		alert 355:16
626:9 627:25	429:10,16,23	afternoon 575:10	algorithm 377:25
631:1 637:6		587:21 625:6	607:4
646:25 647:3	ad-supported	644:22	
653:18 655:1	375:3,4,7 376:21	against 340:4,6	alleviated 642:22
658:10	377:4,16 378:4	,	allow 433:11
ad 376:8,24	383:24 385:3,6	agency 583:7,12	434:3,17,21
420:23 428:17	387:17,20	625:18	435:5 626:23
430:3 616:14	adults 576:20	agent 577:14	634:5
655:8		aggregate 636:9	allowed 339:8
	advance 345:4		345:18 612:17
add 346:8,10	435:11 601:22	<b>ago</b> 340:1 364:7	658:22
589:25 590:19	advances 602:5	580:3 610:1	-
addition 346:15	644:6	643:14	allowing 416:24
352:4,19 362:9		agreed 344:20	allows 358:21
380:19 589:11	advantage 340:20 608:3 610:17	635:5,6 639:14	657:14
additional 339:21		,	
598:25	advertisement	agreement	already 420:24 594:15 658:8
	655:2	335:17,18	
address 343:14	advertisements	338:13,19	Alverson 595:17
347:6,12	418:16 419:8,12	348:14	am 375:18 408:14
addressing 340:14	, i	583:15,17,20	410:21 412:1
343:18 611:19	advertisers 418:15	584:24	414:19 575:12
653:18 661:11	419:2,3,11,17,18	601:11,16	579:15,17,22
	advertising	604:11 607:16	583:6 592:5,6
Adele 629:23	359:21,22	610:21 611:3	604:20 612:22
630:7	375:12 411:17	616:8,20,22	613:3 615:4
ad-free 429:25	418:9,13 420:5	618:2 638:2	633:22 635:5,22
adhering 340:7	421:6	639:2 642:5	652:24 657:16
auncing 540./	654:15,22,24	agreements	052.24 057.10

	τ	36 4	
664:5,8	646:13	approaching	arms 385:21 581:4
amazing 595:24	antipiracy 352:7	359:7 579:6	ARPU
amend 339:24	anti-piracy 352:8	appropriate	374:10,15,20
amended 350:2	Antonio 334:7	343:12 346:25	375:22 376:2
America 580:1		approval	384:5 387:18 421:5,12
	anymore 620:21	353:10,16 634:3	431:7,16,18,20
American 579:23 628:19	anyone 388:5 611:21	approved 414:10	ARPUs 375:4,14
amongst 357:6	anyplace 383:12	approving	article 335:14
amount 352:6	anything 344:18	353:14,20 415:1	423:16
367:6 419:8	372:10 656:7,10	<b>approximate</b> 366:13 626:3	articles 359:25
603:18 607:11	anytime 383:11		artist 356:9 357:8
amounts	anywhere 361:23	approximately 362:15 578:16	363:4 386:25
418:14,17	383:11,12 610:2	580:24	575:21 576:4
419:2,3,11,16	, ·		577:20 581:16
analysis 387:14	apologies 413:25	<b>April</b> 331:14 589:2,5,16	592:10,15,24
637:14	apologize 587:2	590:19 591:1	594:16,22
analyze 374:4	appear 358:17		595:2,3,4,15,16 596:21
analyzing 371:18	359:23,24	apt 658:5	598:11,23 607:8
· J	653:23	area 360:4 411:8	631:21 635:6
anecdotal	APPEARANCES	424:2	653:12
379:5,9,25 380:12,13	333:1	aren't 337:21	654:9,18,20
381:11,23 382:5	<b>Apple</b> 338:13	387:20	artists 356:16
Angel 595:3,11,21	340:12	ARENT 332:22	357:10 358:25
	341:8,9,18,23	argue 648:7	359:18,23,24
Angeles 332:4	342:4,25	argument 347:19	362:20,21 363:3
angle 379:17	343:14,18 344:23 345:23	arguments 345:3	377:23 387:8,9 577:10
Angstreich 334:12	347:5 588:8	Arlington 577:18	578:21,24
<b>Anjan</b> 334:2	applicable	_	586:15 592:8,22
586:14	420:15,22	<b>Arman</b> 335:7 574:6,11	593:11,16,25
announce 624:13	application 347:1	574:0,11	594:3,8,11,13
announced 624:11	apply 348:20	583:21 592:4	595:1 596:18 597:23
annual 359:5	appointment	600:3 601:7	598:4,10,22
361:16,17	624:20	604:7 608:24	599:23 600:2
362:15		609:19 611:18 613:5,9 623:11	608:21 620:20
annually 421:6	<b>approach</b> 575:5 597:15 603:9	625:6,10 626:9	629:10,13,17,23 630:2,8,13
answer 338:24	624:24 640:10	627:24 628:17	632:11,15,19,22
379:24 386:19	659:2	634:11 643:7	633:3,5,17
421:23 626:20	approached	644:22 647:11	634:16 635:8
637:9 641:10,14	594:14 605:21	659:15 660:3 661:16	636:1 653:2
642:2,14 643:1 648:10 659:3	614:19 660:18		654:21
	approaches	<b>Arman's</b> 588:1 613:1	artists/singles/
anticipated	583:17	012:1	catalog 653:1
anticipated	583:17	013.1	catalog 653:

	rag	5	
aside 622:8 635:18	per-user 431:12	624:9,25 625:3	574:1 657:8
aspect 420:14	avid 378:18	634:7,20 652:17	behalf
601:15	594:19	659:8,11,17,22,2	332:2,6,9,13,17,
aspects 614:21,22	avoid 409:14	4 661:15	21
assess 373:25	award 655:25	662:16,21 663:6,12	333:2,6,10,14,18
		,	583:8,18 585:8
assigned 432:2	awards 592:14,16	base 429:15,18	586:21 625:20
assisted 576:19	630:15 633:24 635:16	based 380:6	626:14,18,24
Association		381:8,11 418:22	behavior 379:11
332:13 587:24	aware 386:25	575:14 591:22	383:7
assume 418:21	411:25 412:1	608:18,19 616:13	Behind 587:3
421:2 429:17	427:2 586:8 592:5 611:23	637:13,14	belief 412:13
assuming 343:11	612:5 629:7	, and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	620:4 649:20
619:24	633:15	basically 601:17	650:3
	635:19,22	607:16	beliefs 629:16
attempted 355:9	662:17	<b>basing</b> 381:25	649:18
attended 596:4	awareness	basis 339:14 340:7	believe 338;4
attending 594:18	386:1,21 387:4,6	342:1 351:18	344:1,3 379:19
attention 593:12	away 422:6 658:14	352:20 359:5	417:12,14 419:6
653:7	away 422.0 030.14	361:16,17	420:3,4
attorney 664:9	В	362:15 366:5 371:12 374:21	426:18,25 427:7
1	ballpark 599:6	375:6 380:12	584:12
attributable 602:21		384:25 413:4	589:2,9,19
	band 577:1,12	600:25	610:11 627:1,4,9
<b>Austin</b> 578:20	594:9	basket 639:5	629:12 630:14 633:13 636:7
automatically	<b>BARKER</b> 333:15		637:4 638:15,20
641:4	BARNETT	bearing 387:13	642:3 649:16
avail 614:6	331:20	Beats 335:17	650:2,4
availability	337:6,10,17	became 577:23	652:10,12
365:13	338:23 340:9,23	580:3 595:2	658:19
available 360:10	341:2 343:20 344:24 345:10	become 578:1	believed 641:25
366:4	344:24 345:10 346:11 347:8,25	579:12 597:9	belong 339:15
372:1,2,3,19	348:7 349:2,8,11	600:8 620:14	_
376:6 383:19	350:5,8,14,21	646:1,4 657:16	benchmark
385:25 386:12	355:2,6,19	becoming 593:17	372:20
433:8 605:24	382:20 388:3,17	bedroom 578:12	benchmarks
608:6 614:23	409:3,6 421:22		384:9
653:1,16	424:16 425:5,9	begin 349:22	benefit 351:1
<b>Avenue</b> 332:4,11	436:10	350:16 574:11,22	608:14 633:17
333:19	574:2,15,20	624:8,10	639:21 646:19
average 374:10	575:3,6	· 1	648:17,19
418:15 419:11	588:3,13,21,23	beginning 338:5	benefits 615:25
420:16 431:9,10	589:24 590:2,16 591:18	342:9 423:22 576:13 585:10	648:24
577:15	613:10,13 615:5	660:15	benevolent 608:19
average-revenue-	618:11 623:25		Benjamin 334:9
		begins 337:1 408:1	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Besides 579:8	353:15	Broadcasters	582:13 598:13
best 354:20 357:11	408:13,16	332:13	599:4,14 600:6
360:21 362:19	579:15,17,22	333:10,18	613:23 618:16
385:25	580:2,6 625:15 628:15	587:25	619:6,11,14 651:9 656:21
592:10,15,19,21		Broadcasting	
597:16 634:16 653:14 664:5	boards 580:7	333:6	<b>businesses</b> 363:9 370:19,23 581:7
	bodies 579:13	Broadway 332:23	582:13
better 349:19 379:21 415:20	586:20	BRUCE 332:10,14	buy 365:25 655:8
606:2 627:12,21	bold 657:8	<b>Bryant</b> 334:3	•
628:6	Bon 592:10 628:24	budgeting 618:18	buyers 374:25
beyond 344:19	633:25 635:8,20	621:9	byproduct 653:10
430:16 612:14	655:24	budgets 420:5	
bigger 414:3,21	<b>Bonnie</b> 334:15 664:3	599:8	C.F.R 589:20
611:4 619:2		<b>build</b> 586:16	
Billboard 630:22	bonus 362:6	building 378:21	Caitlin 334:12
655:19	book 425:24	386:1,21 387:4,6	calculate 421:4,5
<b>billion</b> 352:20	577:11	built 420:24	calculation 603:3
binder 349:18	booking 577:13,14	business	California 332:4
351:1,4 354:10	books 575:5	351:13,14,16,19,	333:4
408:20,25	<b>Boston</b> 594:10	22 352:4,15	camel's 344:10
409:1,4,13	bottom 411:11	354:6 358:9,19	<b>campus</b> 624:20
423:11 426:13 586:23 623:10	416:18 427:15	359:17 361:14,20,21,22,	Canaan 333:8
630:25 641:8	430:5 608:1	23 362:19	Canadian
binders 624:23	609:1,25 610:12 650:7 656:3	363:5,6,15,19	575:18,22
bit 342:24 379:24		364:3,8,12,16,17	577:6,25
bit 342:24 379:24 412:15 414:6	<b>BOUND</b> 388:21 436:13	,19,20,22,24,25	578:8,25 655:12
585:1 663:7		365:4,16 366:14 15 17 25	<b>candid</b> 340:15
black 586:23	break 363:12	366:14,15,17,25 367:1,8	cannibalistic
blanket 614:20	breakage 601:7	368:9,11,15,18,2	424:3
<u> </u>	602:24 603:2,6 643:25 644:1	2,24 369:7,8,25	cannibalization
blanks 653:14	breakdown	371:17,20	422:20,24,25
<b>Blavin</b> 334:3	366:6,13	372:21 373:8 22 24	cannibalizing
blended 614:25	breaks 420:23	373:8,22,24 374:5,6,17,18,21	422:8,12,17
622:19		,23 375:2,3,8,21	<b>CANNON</b> 333:18
blizzard 576:22	<b>brick</b> 358:11 364:11	378:8,14 379:3,6	capabilities
blockbuster 599:6	briefly 339:1	383:3,16 384:16	378:21
blocking 409:8	358:3 590:1,17	385:22 386:6 409:25 410:18	capability 585:7
Bloomington	bring 356:14	411:2 412:22	<b>Capital</b> 334:15
577:17,21	606:19 662:24	418:23 423:24	care 409:7
578:1,5,6,13,19	663:1	428:12 430:22 431:3 5 15	career 632:14,19
blurring 377:10	bringing 593:3	431:3,5,15 576:3,5 580:12	Carl 350:20
board 331:2			

	Ι αξ	ge /	
Carlen	challenges 375:25	591:18	classes 576:15
652:5,11,23,24	challenging	613:10,13 615:5	classic 593:3
653:6 655:11 656:4,9	373:16 376:4 384:7	618:11 623:25 624:9,25 625:3	clear 345:23 380:21 434:16
carrying 411:11	championing	634:7,20 652:17	589:2,13 591:6
case	608:20	659:8,11,17,22,2 4 661:15	607:5 647:11
342:3,5,10,11,14	<b>chance</b> 645:3	662:16,21	660:16 661:7
,15,19,22,23	<b>change</b> 365:19	663:6,12	clearing 355:18
343:6,10,15,25 344:6,18 346:2	649:25 658:24	choice 424:5	clearly 373:4
347:7 360:1	changed 349:24	<b>choose</b> 600:24	590:8 612:11,13
413:1 426:17	364:5 367:2	651:1	613:7
591:3,5 617:12	600:5,6	chose 429:23,24	cleave 621:22
626:12 627:8 642:9	<b>Channel</b> 660:16	Choudhury 334:2	client 338:5
	661:7	335:8 575:9	Cline 595:25
cases 598:25 639:13	channels 364:13	587:18 588:25	<b>clock</b> 660:1
casual 381:24	417:18 423:25	590:17 591:24 592:3	close 352:19
	characterize	606:13,18,21	381:21 388:10
categories 433:5	646:23	609:15,18	427:21
cause 426:10	charged 436:4	611:12	428:7,10,14
429:24	Charlottesville	612:2,4,15	641:25 642:8 647:9
caused 427:21 428:7	576:17,23,24	613:2,19,21 615:1,6,22	
	577:8,10	617:9,18 618:13	closed 388:9 606:15
Cayucas 653:11 654:10 655:3,6	<b>chart</b> 426:5	623:8,18 624:4	
CDs 413:18	<b>check</b> 594:10,17	659:6,9,14,18	closely 356:15 360:6 423:24
599:17	checking 413:23	660:1,2 661:13	closing 339:17
ceiling 614:7	Chicago 578:20	<b>Chris</b> 577:23	615:2 641:13
"	595:10 596:5	Christopher 334:9	clout 643:20
Ceni 655:21	<b>CHIEF</b> 331:20	circumstance	Cobbler's 333:7
center 409:8	337:6,10,17 338:23 340:9,23	613:15	
CEO 353:15,24	341:2 343:20	circumstances	co-founder 625:11
certain 377:21	344:24 345:10	346:9 653:4	coin 662:7
387:9 433:4 603:18 645:1,6	346:11 347:8,25	cite 630:18	Colin 334:3
certainly 343:14	348:7 349:2,8,11	<b>cited</b> 349:1	colleague 346:21
363:1 368:25	350:5,8,14,21 355:2,6,19	city 577:19 578:19	colleagues 595:6
411:23 591:20	382:20 388:3,17	596:5	662:2
certificate 388:7	409:3,6 421:22	<b>claim</b> 432:20	colleague's 618:4
664:1	424:16 425:5,9	clarification	collect 374:11
certify 664:3	436:10 574:2,15,20	341:25 347:2	581:23 585:23
chain 652:23	575:3,6	clarify 345:21	collective 582:16
660:10 661:10	588:3,13,21,23	593:6 602:14	583:3,5 586:5
chair 409:7	589:24 590:2,16	609:19	collectively
			583:8,11,18

		5 C C	
584:8 625:19	628:7 643:20	415:11 650:2	641:12
627:11 628:5	649:2,3,4,23	competitor's	<b>confirm</b> 435:13
College 333:18	650:13,16	416:11	İ
Columbia 335:23	657:14,18	compilation	confirmed 658:11
	company 334:15	593:14,15 595:9	confusing 663:7
combined 359:6	580:16 582:22	complement	confusion 381:22
comedian 592:18	583:22 584:7,21	378:23	Congleton 597:1
comedy 592:19,21	586:12 588:8 604:2	complete 385:22	congress 331:3
comes 343:15	610:16,23,24	_	610:5 643:19
345:2 620:6	616:6 626:25	completed 351:25	658:19
coming 342:8	627:13 628:22	completely 346:9	congressional
357:3 370:22	637:24 638:14	386:13 620:11 621:20	644:10
379:16 380:1	639:13 646:22 647:14		Connecticut 333:8
386:24 583:16 602:7 613:14		completing 352:21	connection 598:4
620:19 637:18	company's 639:21	components	613:1 633:18
	compare 384:10	411:14	consequence
commented 611:24 612:6,12	629:13	comprise 575:16	341:12
	compared	computer 578:15	consequences
<b>commenting</b> 612:19,21 613:5	600:3,9,10	concentration	368:21
· ·	compares 578:9	643:8	consider 603:1,23
commercial 352:2 608:22 658:21	comparing 636:24	concept 601:7	616:10 639:9
	comparison	concepts 385:17	640:7
commercially 583:14 629:19	374:24	431:7	consideration
	compelling 366:2	concern 650:7	601:25
Commission 664:16	compendium	concerned 343:22	considered 344:5
	593:14	626:5 646:24	considering
committed 339:16	compensation	649:12,19,20	602:25
committee 631:3	602:6 608:7	650:6	consistent 589:14
common 385:5	616:3 650:20	concerns 619:18	consists 625:12
575:19	<b>compete</b> 432:16	620:1	
communicate	435:15 650:8	concluded 341:13	CONSTANTINE
581:9 603:11	competing 581:3	663:16	333:18
communicating	competition	conclusion 379:8	constantly 423:23
597:3	356:24	380:10 381:16	constituted 630:19
community 598:1	357:7,9,11	conclusions	consumer 358:18
companies 357:7	376:11 410:19	384:24	360:19 361:10
372:2,18,22	competitive	condition 345:25	373:20 374:22
413:11 575:19	357:1,5,13	confer 340:24	376:4,23 377:6,19,22
578:18 580:9,19	360:21 410:18	613:11	377.0,19,22
584:1 586:9	611:9 646:22		379:11 380:3
594:6 597:22,24 598:2 608:13	647:14	conference 343:21	381:2 383:7
611:4 616:15	competitor 416:8	423:5,9 424:9	386:12 600:24
625:13 627:12	competitors	confidential	620:9 622:16
	1		

	Pag	ge 9	
651:6  consumers 364:5,15 365:8,25 366:3 369:22 373:4,6	371:1 contradict 417:12 contributed 593:18 control 430:23	core 631:22 cornerstone 338:15 correct 410:2,4,5,12,20	costs 410:7,23 411:6 430:22,23 431:1,2 council 579:17 counsel 337:15
376:1,8 378:15 379:3,20 380:8,19 381:7 384:4 387:18 435:24 436:3 607:1,3 608:17,23 620:7 651:11 consumer's	431:2  Controlling 431:4  convened 331:17  conventional 627:10,16,24 628:4  convergence 377:9	412:6,24 413:14 415:6,14 416:4,9 418:4 419:5 420:1,7 421:13 422:3,24 426:3,7,11,12 427:9 428:3,19 429:20 430:4,24 431:7 432:17	350:23 351:2,5 367:10 388:15 408:3 412:22 425:11 575:8 582:14 625:4 632:15 640:13 642:3,24 644:20 659:12 662:17 664:9
consumer's 608:16 620:14  consumption 369:9,11,13,18,2 3 370:7,14,17 385:4 386:8,15,17 387:13	384:1 converging 620:5 conversation 596:7 598:5 conversations 582:15 596:7,24	431.7 432.17 433:16,20 434:8,18,19,23,2 4 435:11,25 584:15 587:16 623:4 625:13,14,17 627:7	counsel's 644:16 counterpart 579:25 counting 620:19 countries 626:15
contained 351:3 contains 358:20 content 358:14 359:4 360:2,17,18	conversed 378:3 conversion 432:21 433:6 convert 386:3 convince 376:4 377:5	628:9,12,22 629:3,4,10,11,24 631:5,13,14 632:23 633:3 635:9 636:1,2,4,8 637:1,7,8,11	630:5,6 country 579:21 626:4 couple 362:10 363:12 369:3 410:6 427:16 587:25
362:12 365:9 369:11 370:20 373:14 374:13 377:21 385:25 386:9,10,11 581:23 585:14,23	convincing 376:7 Coons 595:6 co-owner 625:11 copies 350:23	638:7,8,13,21 639:11,12,16 640:8 643:11,16,23,24 644:3,4,7,11 645:2,8,9,11,12,	course 337:14 379:5 589:8,22 590:18 Court 350:18 664:1
602:16 607:6 654:2 656:19 660:24 contents 361:1 382:18 634:21	585:25 co-produced 633:24 copy 350:24 635:1 copyright	16 646:2,6,10,16 653:25 654:6,19 655:17,23 656:11 657:20 correctly 358:17	courtroom 388:5,15 641:14,25 Court's 347:20 631:4
context 347:24 612:12,13 continue 368:18 370:21 624:12	331:2,19 587:8 590:6 610:7 616:4 copyright-related	417:3 649:21 correlation 422:19 648:6 658:12 corresponding	cover 338:21 340:2 355:10 430:21 613:8 coverage 371:24
continued 333:1 365:14 369:1,13 585:12 continues 369:14	643:22 copyrights 579:7 581:25 599:22 616:2	418:17 <b>co-services</b> 589:15 <b>cost</b> 419:22 431:4 646:10	covered 372:22 CPM 419:18 CPMs 419:24

	1 age		
CRB 589:13,19	371:14 372:11 662:5	650:18 663:3,14	<b>deciding</b> 639:10 650:16
create 357:5 358:5 635:22	currently 413:2	days 340:1 343:3 576:25	decision 610:20,22
created 356:11	430:16 436:4	Dead 575:17	decisions 636:20
361:1 597:7	578:23 579:22	578:24 655:13	
	614:23 629:5		declaration
creates 358:2	631:17	deal 339:19 344:7	412:25 628:12
359:11 635:20	636:18,22	345:8 352:22	declaring 417:7
creating 357:21	custom 656:11,14	353:6,8 414:18	decline 364:21
362:12 373:13	customization	427:12 582:18	365:11
592:25	377:18,19	601:15 602:2,20 603:1,3,25	368:14,19 369:1
creation 355:25	· 1	607:12 609:4	603:13
356:5	Customized	610:15,25	declined 370:3
creative 356:8	432:25	612:12	660:25
357:14 643:10	cut 416:6,10 582:2	615:15,18 617:5	
645:18	586:2 618:15	623:1 632:10	declining 369:8
	619:10,14,23	633:19 638:4,7	373:22 426:11
creator 631:21	636:12,16 658:3	639:11,16,20,25	428:2
critical 361:8	cutting 636:17	640:2 642:9	deem 343:11
372:4 373:2,7	658:8	645:13 646:21	deemed 343:4
375:24 376:9		647:13 648:25	
380:25 599:24	Cynthia 334:5	649:24 650:1	<b>defensive</b> 611:2
critically 337:22		dealing 576:2	616:9 646:24 648:21 649:9
360:20 592:23	D	642:10	
criticism 428:20	<b>D.C</b> 331:4,13		deferring 341:3
	332:15,20	deals 351:25 352:6	347:10
cross 335:2,7	333:12,16,20	353:1,4,10,11,14	definitely 378:10
348:20 408:21	damaging 424:7	,17,18,22 414:11,16,22	definitively
624:8,10 644:17	Darius 335:7	414.11,10,22	412:12
cross-examination	574:6,25	586:21 598:22	
408:3 425:11	D-A-R-I-U-S	601:20 602:3	deliver 341:4
574:19 625:4	575:1	605:13,17,18,20	delivered 654:3
644:20	i	610:13 611:6	demand 369:20,25
cross-examine	data 380:20	627:15 628:8	370:6 376:23
344:8	381:12 382:1,2	638:25 639:14	380:23 383:7
cross-examining	422:2 426:11	645:25 646:4,5	386:10 433:13
346:22	date 591:8	647:19,25	434:5,18,23
	dated 652:3	648:2,15,16	435:7
Cunniff 334:5	655:10	649:7	demands 370:10
curated	Dave 337:15	<b>debate</b> 378:12	demo 356:17
435:1,5,10 607:4			
curation	David 331:21	decade 370:4	594:5
379:19,21	333:14,19 334:9	decide 582:18	demonstratives
380:24	625:7	604:2 617:5	348:13,15,16
curious 577:13	<b>Davis</b> 334:7	627:20	349:7,16
I CULLUUS J / / LJ		decided 241.6	demystify 617:25
610:10	day 337:21 371:17 372:5 624:10,19	<b>decided</b> 341:6 596:12	Dennis 335:3

	1 ag		
349:13	331:7 341:18	638:16	<b>DIR</b> 335:2,7
350:10,20	detriment 632:6	digital 331:9	direct
denying 608:20	detrimental	351:12,14,16,17,	338:11,17,22
departing 339:15	651:4,8	19,21	339:4,10,24
1 ^	devalue 649:12,14	352:3,6,15,21,22	341:21
department 409:21 652:7		353:1,5 354:6	342:10,13,19,20
	developed 585:6	356:19	343:5,18,25
departments	development	358:8,13,16,19	344:6,18,19
359:25 413:3	351:20,22	359:6	345:14
depend 599:24	363:6,7 370:19	360:3,6,14,23 365:5,15	346:2,20,24 347:6 348:12,22
depending 353:5	382:24 385:1 576:4	366:8,11,12	350:1 351:5
depends 414:17		367:1,6,15,16	354:12,17
629:25	developments	373:24 383:4	355:1,10,22
	371:14 372:25	384:11,14,21	357:15 373:1
deposed 340:12	devote 598:6	413:19	377:8 408:18
deposition 408:7	dichotomist	422:7,10,12	409:12,20,24
421:16,19	346:20	427:20 431:24	411:24 412:2
425:19		581:22	413:7
describe 363:22	dichotomy 417:17	582:5,11,25	414:10,11,16
364:3 365:18	dictating 608:22	583:13 584:4,25	415:1 416:14
366:25 369:16	658:21	585:7,25	418:1,7 422:19
375:15,19	difference 613:15	586:1,10,19	427:14 430:5,20
377:13	616:24	588:18	432:13 575:8
382:19,23		599:13,21,23	588:2 590:10,21
383:21 385:14	different 344:21 346:9 357:6	600:5,7,10,13	598:4 605:17,22
388:14 426:1	362:8,12 366:21	601:12,20	611:25
645:1,6	423:25 576:15	603:1,5,8,14 605:5,11	612:7,20,22
described 361:7	579:20 594:4	607:7,16 611:7	616:5 617:16,17 626:11 627:2
363:24 365:21	595:5 612:24	614:1,6 618:23	630:18 631:2
370:16 371:4	617:6 620:2,12	625:20 627:20	632:3,15 636:3
373:13 382:13	621:21 626:4	637:5 643:25	637:5 638:15
384:2 387:11	differentiate	644:1 653:12	645:2,7,22
describes 377:8	590:8	654:9,18	660:17
describing 638:4		660:13,23 661:1	direction 373:20
648:19	differentiates 590:11	digital/physical	
description		384:13	directly 348:17
375:14	differentiation	digitally 581:19	353:12 372:8
	376:25 377:11	582:22 584:13	387:21 410:20,21
deserved 593:12	382:13 384:2	585:4,13,22	410:20,21
designated 351:4	differentiator	653:21	582:24 585:14
357:17 388:1	383:18 385:6		586:16,18 597:8
426:25	differently 618:9	<b>Digits</b> 577:13	604:20 612:21
destructive 609:2	difficult 373:19	diminished 622:22	627:20 649:21
detail 355:23	376:9,14 377:5	diminishing	directly-licensed
363:23	·	622:23	367:24 371:5
determination	difficulty 376:19 584:3 613:14	Dinosaur 592:23	372:15 375:15
acici minanun	707.7 013.14		

1			
382:15 417:25	distributed 361:2	documentary	driven 386:7
director 378:7	582:21 584:13 585:4,6 653:21	629:6	<b>drives</b> 577:15
595:17	distributes 359:12	documents 351:3	driving 352:20
direct-to-	580:21 585:18	dollar 418:17	383:9
consumer	640:10,11	dollars 357:24	387:12,17,22
586:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	359:7 361:5	608:10
disappear 621:20	<b>distributing</b> 358:4 359:4 373:14	602:15 603:22	due 342:20
discount 645:19	581:5 585:14,15	done 346:5 353:18	duly 350:11 574:7
discover 594:13	586:4,6	360:1 430:1 605:17 616:8	<b>during</b> 337:14
discovered	distribution	634:2,19 637:14	589:22
595:1,5 597:10	355:25 358:1	Donna 334:11	dynamic 608:9
discovering	364:10,14 411:6		
356:16	575:20 580:16,19,21	Donnelly 334:5	<u>E</u>
discovery	581:1,4,21	door 388:10 409:8	<b>earlier</b> 410:17 580:15 615:14
381:1,3,9 426:17	582:2,3	doubt 633:12	647:12
discuss 430:3	584:22,23,25	<b>Doug</b> 353:24	648:22,23
discussed 385:13	585:20 586:5,13 599:21	download 361:23	655:24 661:9
638:24	637:15,19	364:16,18,25	early 343:13
discusses 431:6	638:11,12,14	366:13,17,18 367:8	595:15 599:15
432:20	639:10,17 640:9	368:9,10,14,18,2	earn 361:6 373:12
discussing 590:9	641:12	2 374:16,21	597:17
598:9 615:10	660:13,23 661:2	426:6 605:6	earnings 431:12
discussion 342:12	distributor 575:21	618:22	ears 595:11
348:18 349:15	580:20	downloading	easier 362:22
385:11 588:18	581:2,14,15 601:12 616:23	365:2 621:18,19	369:21 425:23
644:15	617:2,4	downloads 367:2	658:1
discussions 661:20	639:18,24 640:3	600:16 622:9	East 332:7
662:2	660:24	downside 639:25	easy 360:19 365:8
disliking 377:21	distributors 581:3	<b>D</b> r 343:23 344:9	610:22
displaying 641:16	583:10 586:20	345:14,22	economic 338:14
disproportionate	617:8	611:24	369:24 639:21
643:21	division 356:9	612:6,11,20,25	economically
disruption 365:7	<b>DJs</b> 608:20	613:4 661:25 662:7	657:24
disruptor 365:6	<b>Docket</b> 331:6	dramatically	economist 611:24
distinction	document	364:7 367:4	612:6
620:7,13 656:13	335:15,21,22,25	Draper 334:3	648:5,11,13
distribute	336:1 426:20,25	574:14	economy 650:21
581:12,18 583:1	427:12	i	ecosystem 373:3
585:7,12,22	587:1,2,5,6	drawn 384:24	428:11
586:9,12,15	623:13 634:12 652:8 655:10	drill 436:11	editorial 378:10
617:3,8 640:1,5 652:1	657:1	drive 386:12,16	education 338:3
55			

		E 13	
Educational	660:4,10 661:10	357:2,13 410:19	422:23 423:3
333:14	e-mails 659:16	616:6	424:2,6,19 426:9
effect 422:14	-	Ephemeral 331:8	429:9,12,14,22
614:12 622:23	empirical	1	430:9,13,18
	435:18,22	<b>Epic</b> 335:24	435:19,22,23
efficient 355:16	employed 664:6,9	equal 658:4	436:2 592:2
efficiently	employee 664:8	equity 601:24	623:19 624:3
358:15,22	^ -	^ -	652:20
effort 346:18	employees 413:2	erode 621:2 622:5	Ex 641:7
632:10	578:17 652:6	error 654:5	exact 380:16
	enabled 383:5	especially 361:19	
efforts 352:7,8 576:6 632:14,18	encounter 580:8	377:16	exactly 371:9
<u> </u>	endless 347:19	ESQUIRE	597:14 600:24
<b>egg</b> 346:19		332:3,10,14,18,2	621:8 626:2
Ehler 334:2	engage 586:18	2	630:12
337:12	618:9 658:2	333:3,7,11,14,19	examination
Ehler's 337:19	<b>ensure</b> 352:10		335:2,7 351:5
eight 348:16	enter 370:24	essentially 588:10	388:1 575:8
	581:22 585:7	established	641:17 659:12
either 342:8 381:4	604:11 607:12	362:20,21	examinations
414:25 416:7	611:5 617:5	estimate 362:23	346:24
589:12 616:13	638:25 639:1	375:4 579:5	example 342:7
633:14 641:3,6 642:8 650:15	648:15,16 649:6	<b>Ethan</b> 334:7	343:9,17 362:2,4
	660:20		364:25 374:14
elaborate 593:7	entered 605:20	<b>Europe</b> 383:16	379:12 433:22
electrical 352:10	610:13	Evan 334:11 337:9	579:15 583:7
element 378:16,17	616:19,22 617:1	<b>EVANS</b> 332:18	590:10 593:13
419:19 431:4	618:7 660:16	evening 663:13	594:25 602:8,18
elements 614:18	entering		622:17
	610:14,15	event 603:17 640:6	633:16,23
eleventh 584:6	646:20 647:13		653:12
Elgin 334:13	648:24	events 359:23	examples 374:16
644:18 659:21	enterprise 643:10	eventually 577:23	377:9,12 601:4
eliciting 411:14	enters 581:15	599:17 621:2	exceed 618:21
eligible 614:13		622:5	exceeds 361:11
	Entertainment	everybody 617:25	· ·
eliminate 621:2	351:11	662:11	<b>except</b> 591:9 618:1 624:19
Elisabeth 334:9	entire 357:13	everyone 608:2	
else 610:2 611:21	entirely 340:6	616:16 650:15	exceptional 597:2
616:17 650:23	388:14		exchange 348:15
else's 617:17	entities 609:3	everything 380:23	645:15,20
		evidence 335:12	654:14
e-mail 335:25	entitled 339:12	341:9	exclusive 633:24
336:2 578:16	634:13,14	342:5,6,10,19	635:10
652:2,11,13,22,2	entrants 379:16	343:12 344:15	excuse 378:25
3 653:9 654:8	entry 341:11	347:13 355:5	411:6 420:22
655:10 656:3	environment	381:11	589:23 602:13
	environment	387:15,19	307.23 002.13

	ı aş	e 14	
604:6 640:13	experiencing	factor 603:6	Fifteen 425:7
659:17	608:23 647:5	fair 414:22 422:7	Fifth 332:11
excused 661:17	expertise 356:23	629:15 633:1	FIGEL 332:18
executive 412:21	experts 340:13	646:25	fighting 616:18
exhibit	347:21	Fakler 332:22 589:23,24,25	figure 426:11
335:14,15,17,18,	Expires 664:16	590:3 623:23	661:21 662:3
19,20,21,22,23,2 4,25 336:1,2,3	explain 340:16 346:23	Falker 659:22,23	figures 630:17
354:13,24	347:14,15	fall 587:8 660:14	file 339:21
355:3,4 408:19 409:18 423:13	352:24 356:2,4	fan 592:5,6	filed 340:13 413:1
424:14,18	358:3 376:19 578:7 601:8	fans 594:19	590:20 591:8
426:14 586:25	653:3 660:7,8,9	597:10	filings 589:5
587:13,20 591:7,19 592:1	explained 340:18	fast 642:23	fill 653:14
623:20,25 624:2	exploitation	faster 369:7	final 659:3
634:6	581:25	373:21	financial 335:21
652:2,16,19	explore 379:23	favor 656:22	358:23
exhibits 335:12	exposure 359:18	favorable 584:10	financially 664:10
349:17 350:23 408:22	632:7 633:2,4	627:6	finding 356:12
589:4,7,8,18	expressed 643:8	favorably 629:13	357:21 593:10,20
590:12,21,22	exquisite 593:23	<b>Favored</b> 588:19	fine 342:14
591:13,16	extent 341:14	favorite 377:23	344:8,23 347:7
exist 342:2 647:20	355:13 414:15	378:1	641:23
648:3	422:6	favoriting 377:20	finish 347:4
existing 351:24 369:7 373:22	externally 371:22	fax 578:15	659:10
622:18	extra 346:8	featured 634:1	firm 337:12
expect 344:22	602:19,21	features 372:11	first 342:3 346:17
370:1 647:18,24	extract 643:21	featuring 635:20	349:22 350:11 354:11 355:11
648:1	eyes 620:14	February 634:14	356:4 357:8
expecting 348:21	F	661:9	371:16 376:3
expedite 349:4	face 356:25 376:1	FEDER 331:22	377:15 409:16
experience 360:13	638:16	<b>fee</b> 430:11	419:1,13 428:1 574:7,23 575:1
372:17 377:3,4,21	Facebook 433:24	feel 380:9	576:10
377.3,4,21	434:2	598:20,21 607:25	577:4,5,7,12,20
379:2,21	fact 345:12		588:16 589:1 596:17 608:7,8,9
381:3,9,11	346:1,4 347:21 360:13 370:2	feeling 650:18	610:16,17
385:15 417:2 418:22 597:21	383:14 412:4,7	feet 345:17	611:1,3,5,15
608:16 638:23	417:6 428:24	felt 597:2	616:16 628:2
639:2	591:14 627:4	fertile 648:9	641:11 647:8 648:18 652:22
experiences	631:25 636:8 643:18 646:20	fewer 428:24	656:22 657:8
378:13 380:18	073.10 040.20	field 616:2 647:9	

	1 48		
fiscal 366:9	forward 378:16	363:1 368:19	345:14 347:20
<b>five</b> 367:3 368:25 408:17 600:4,7	379:4 603:23 642:23	618:19,21 621:9,11,13	589:13 gives 662:20
621:16 637:7	forward-type		<u> </u>
five-year 353:19	378:13	G	<b>giving</b> 347:18 638:6 640:1
368:12	foundation 333:14	gaining 359:18	<b>GLENN</b> 332:3
flattening 369:2	379:4 380:10 588:11,20	game 386:15	glitch 653:19
flip 354:14 384:18 413:9 418:6	founded 577:8	gap 427:21 428:2,7,10,14	glitches 653:11
flipping 410:22	founder 575:12	<b>gating</b> 346:16	<b>global</b> 351:12,14,16,18
Floor 332:4	founding 579:17	general 347:1	19,21 352:15,20
337:24	FOX 332:22	357:19 365:18	354:6 365:15
flowing 637:23	Francisco 333:4	385:14 412:22 417:9 582:14	373:24 384:25 625:18
focus 356:15	frankly 340:6,14	642:23 649:3	
385:24 387:12 419:1,13 593:20	free 364:25	<b>generally</b> 356:4 358:4 380:9	<b>goal</b> 598:17 599:9 636:4 662:13
focused 351:20	365:2,13 376:7,11,16	411:13 432:19	<b>goals</b> 375:20
352:5 359:17	387:17	639:19	632:21
365:24 374:6,10 378:21	free-to-the-	generate 602:17	gold 592:12,13
379:17,18 419:7	consumer 375:11	generated 585:24	<b>GOLDEN</b> 333:19
579:2 599:16		genre 635:20,23	gone 365:4 373:19 385:23
focuses 593:9	frequently 580:10 friend 595:9	genres 417:18	592:12,13 657:2
focusing 420:16		gentleman 595:16	GOTSHAL
folks 352:9	friends 381:5 433:24 434:3	gentlemen 436:11	332:10
forecast 362:23	front 350:25	<b>GEO</b> 332:6	<b>gotten</b> 346:7
368:17	377:25 586:23	<b>GEORGE</b> 332:6,7	governance 339:5
foregoing 664:4	601:23 610:5	<b>German</b> 384:23	government 352:9
foreseeable 368:19	full 340:21	Germany	Grammy
	350:17,19 418:8 628:2 631:16	384:19,20	592:14,16 597:3
forget 624:13	637:2	gets 339:21 376:8	628:25 629:2 630:15 633:24
form 431:11 581:19	fully 353:6 582:18	getting 351:25 357:11	635:16,20
format 355:15	function 383:14	358:10,11	655:22,25
Fort 575:21	653:11	364:4,9 376:16	grammy.com 634:1,14
forth 339:16	functionality	385:21 413:24	•
409:14 587:7	372:16 378:6 433:15	584:3 593:23 607:2,6 608:8	grand 332:4 411:16
618:7	433:13 fund 596:21	610:9 616:2	granted 345:25
forthright 339:3	funded 597:13	638:17	great 357:9 378:22
Forty 367:14	funded 597:13	646:12,15 650:22	605:3 626:3
forum 337:25	future 362:23,24	given 343:22	629:16 632:10
	Jului C 304.43,44	gittii JTJ,22	

	1 45	e 10	
greater 369:17,23 413:21 635:25 Green 333:7 Greer 334:5 Gregory 334:7	guaranteeing 604:4 guarantees 363:1 602:5 644:6 guess 367:23	happens 344:25 363:14 415:22 588:10 604:15 616:7 happy 589:11 606:16 615:4	hearing 331:16 337:22 339:13 355:2,18 589:22 608:18 652:17 hearsay 588:20 591:21
grew 364:20 gross 626:19	380:11 621:4,5,24 622:5 626:2 guidance 343:16	617:10 633:22 634:6 640:19 641:21 663:13	heavy 366:17 heed 340:20
grounds 613:7 648:9	guidance 343:16	hard 369:6 606:1 harder 611:4	help 584:22 596:18,22 626:16 649:24
group 351:13,15,22 352:7,16 360:4 366:21 368:17 373:24 411:4 430:14	Hair 661:24 662:6 half 342:3,5,7 602:9 618:15 619:10,14 622:3 626:6 630:14	harming 422:21 423:2 Harrison 334:9 Harvard 333:6	helped 577:3,11 helpful 430:6 helps 608:9 638:21 hereby 664:3
575:13,17,18,24 578:9 579:1,4,8 580:15,19,22 582:4,24 583:23 584:17,24	636:12,17,18 halfway 628:3 Hall 334:12 hand 350:9	haven't 344:16 370:2 420:5 421:20 423:17 593:21 633:10,11	hereto 664:10 he's 343:11 349:7 350:1,2 592:13 635:10
585:4,12 592:8 593:8,9,13 594:2 596:14 598:16 601:14 625:11 632:18 637:6 638:9,11 651:19,20 653:20 654:1,3 660:13,16,19 661:3	366:7,14 374:17 383:6,7 409:2 574:5 575:5 handed 634:12 handicapped 576:20 handle 386:16 576:5 663:9	having 340:18 348:19 359:23,24 362:7 377:2,3,24 381:12 387:7 422:9,13 582:15 620:16 head 334:13 367:22	hey 578:3 581:17 583:18 594:8,16,22 606:2 607:10 656:22 high 369:20,25 370:6,10 385:4 658:1,13
groups 584:2 Group's 598:13 653:16	handled 346:2 Hannah 652:5,11 Hansen 332:17,18 335:5 337:8	432:8,12,16 435:15 605:16 <b>heading</b> 432:15 435:14	higher 375:22 376:2 384:5 387:18 418:1 606:4 614:10 657:22 658:5
grow 371:1 648:25 growing 370:1 375:1 637:20 growth 370:9,13	338:25 339:1 343:21 344:1,2,25 345:20 347:17	headline 601:16 healthy 369:15 607:21	historically 385:23 387:2 412:3 hit 599:5
379:14 418:13	Hansen's 349:1	hear 342:4 586:14 606:14 617:11	hits 363:12
guarantee 601:23 602:15 603:18,21 604:1 607:9,14,17	happen 415:21 429:15 430:9 604:13,18 662:15	heard 348:5 381:25 588:18 595:10 596:1 612:16 617:24	hold 427:10 650:3 holder 607:8 616:4
guaranteed 602:18	<b>happened</b> 576:22 661:4	632:2 636:16 649:13,15 655:24	holders 581:16 616:13

	Pag	e 17	
holes 652:25 653:6	housed 619:4	647:17	419:22
home 576:19,24	housemate 577:1	<b>I'm</b> 338:7 341:3	improve 626:24
Honestly 432:8	<b>HUBER</b> 332:17	343:15 345:15	Inc 332:9,17
Honesty 432:11	human 379:18	346:21 347:22	425:12
Honor 337:11	hundred 359:7	351:12 352:13,17	incentive 601:24
338:6,9 339:2	413:2 602:14	375:10	607:7 608:4,5
340:11 341:24	603:22	376:12,13 380:9	609:4 611:3,6
342:16 344:2	hundreds 357:23	387:24 388:13	615:16,19
345:20 346:19	361:4 579:6	408:6 409:6	616:10,20,22
347:3,17,22	654:21	412:10 416:17	617:6 645:11,14
348:6,10		418:9 419:13	646:21
349:10,12,14	<b>Huppe</b> 662:1,3	421:22 425:16	647:13,19 648:15,25 649:7
354:23 355:10		426:22 427:4	650:1 658:2
368:5 382:8	T17.40.5.01.555.5	429:7 436:8	
387:24 388:12	I'd 425:21 652:1	576:3 580:2	incentives 606:23
425:3,10 426:22 436:8 574:10,17	idea 617:22	586:22 589:11 600:15 606:15	607:21 608:15
575:4 587:22	ideal 429:3	610:10 611:13	646:1 647:4,25
588:25 589:23		615:1,12 634:6	648:3,9,17 657:15,19,23
590:3,18 591:25	identify 433:4 606:11 645:10	637:13 640:19	658:14,20
606:13 609:15		641:20 643:12	ŕ
612:10,15	<b>IHeart</b> 652:19	645:5 648:1,5,13	include 351:19
613:2,20	iheartmedia	653:13	411:13 426:5 601:1
617:13,25 625:1	335:21 644:20	656:13,14	
634:25	iHeartMedia	658:16 659:15	included 590:13
642:6,11,21	332:17 334:10	662:22	637:9,12
644:19 657:11	652:2,15	imagine 618:22	includes 358:9
659:5,7,21,23	iHeartRadio	649:4	644:5 655:15
661:14,18	601:2 644:24	immediately	including 359:20
663:2,4,11	651:20,23	595:23 619:16	364:15 375:13
honoring 597:18	652:11	immersed 372:4	377:22,23
Honors 343:4	653:2,16,25		590:21
347:23 348:11	654:4,25 655:2,6	impact 422:9	600:14,15
355:16 615:3	656:17,19	426:2 429:17,19	income 621:17,18
617:9 618:1,8	iHeartRadio's	619:5	637:17
623:18 624:7,22	656:11	impacted 622:13	incorrect 415:9
Honor's 641:18	iHeart's 656:14	impacting 423:25	649:18
hope 363:11 633:8	<b>IHM</b> 660:5	important 358:18	increase 369:14,18
hopefully 386:2	<b>II</b> 636:20	360:20 364:12,17 373:7	649:8
hoping 662:11	III 636:20	380:18 381:2	increased 371:7 427:20 633:2,4
horribly 577:14	I'll 338:7 350:25	383:14 386:18	increases 370:16
hour 418:18 419:8	351:2 425:22	387:10 428:11 593:1 614:2	
429:23 584:7	426:16		increasing 649:5
610:25	427:10,12,16	impossible 614:9	increasingly
hours 577:16	430:5 613:2	impressions	613:25 614:16

r	<u> </u>	e 10	
618:25	576:8,11,21	606:6 614:18,21	353:12,13,20
incredibly 357:1	579:10	620:8 621:23	358:4 365:19
380:24 381:2	625:21,23	622:4,12,15,17,2	410:20,21
383:14 386:18	631:20 643:9	4	414:9,15,19,21
387:10	inevitability	interactivity 601:3	576:3,11,13
	646:1,5		579:9,12
incurred 410:8	•	Intercollegiate	582:5,9,10
Indeed 588:17	inevitably	333:6	604:8,20,22
independent	657:16,17	interest 338:1	605:1 664:7
575:14 576:1	inform 622:19	interested 603:20	involvement 634:3
579:14,18,20,24,	information	649:25 664:10	involves 346:18
25 580:4,5,8	355:11,12	interesting 371:24	
581:2,3,6	358:20	interests 579:15	isn't 339:4 576:23 602:7 607:22
583:10,22 584:1	371:19,25		
586:9 597:22 598:1,3 603:9	372:3,19 379:11 380:20 388:13	interject 367:11	issue 338:10 341:6 344:22 345:2
610:6 616:17	418:24 576:6	internal 358:23	344:22 345:2 346:16
618:19	581:7 587:15	internally 371:21	347:2,5,10 348:6
625:12,20,22	642:4	621:19	618:5
626:15,17,23,25		international	
627:5,13	ingesting 358:13	580:20	issues 343:8 345:9 385:11
628:7,18,22	in-house 337:15	Internet 595:21	
629:18,24	initially 578:14	631:4 633:1,5	it'll 409:1
630:2,9,13	inject 340:4	interrupt 426:23	it's 338:17 340:10
631:12 632:1 633:2,4 657:15	injurious 609:2	^	342:24
660:24	610:12	introduce 337:8 574:12 597:8	348:20,23 349:25
independently	in-kind 654:15		354:12,24
630:19		introduced 596:25	357:1,4,12,23
	inquiring 355:7	634:22	358:13,14 359:7
independents	inside 634:16	introduction	360:20 361:4,8
627:11,19 628:5	instance 612:20	364:19,22	363:3,5 367:23
631:17 638:16	616:19	inventory 411:3	370:3 372:4
Indiana 575:14	instead 429:25	420:14	373:16 374:23
577:17		invest 356:5 358:1	375:24
578:1,6,13,19	instructed 661:5	363:18 430:22	376:4,14,23
indicated 411:24	Integration	431:3	377:1,5 379:2
430:20	653:13 654:9,18		380:21 382:4
indicative 363:2	intellectual	investment 373:25 594:7	383:5 384:13
	337:20,24 631:4		385:20 409:9,16
indie 592:6 595:10	663:14	investments	418:10 419:7
626:6,21	intended 590:18	355:24 357:20	420:4,21 422:21 425:23 428:5,9
indies 616:1		359:3,13 360:25	430:25
industries 363:10	intent 418:3	361:7,11,12,13	581:1,2,16
industry 354:2,4	640:23	363:8,11,16 373:13	585:19 590:9
369:5 371:23	interactive 367:19	ľ	598:10,20
373:17 381:12	368:2 432:17,21	investor 368:17	599:4,10 601:17
423:8	433:19 434:11	involved 337:22	602:8,23 606:1,2
1	435:1 600:23		

	1 48		
607:15,25 608:1	joinder 343:8	641:10,22	kinds 597:13
612:13 613:7	joining 337:13,16	642:13,18 643:2	606:1 620:13
614:9 617:7	Jonathan 334:3	652:17	621:10
618:5,7 620:4		657:5,12,21	<b>KING</b> 333:2
621:24 622:4	Joseph 332:14	658:23	Klaus 334:2 335:4
626:3 627:9	334:7 345:17,19	659:8,11,17,22,2	338:6,7 339:3
633:1 634:13	<b>Jr</b> 350:20 592:24	4 661:15	340:10
639:18 640:25	Judge	662:6,16,19,21	349:3,4,9,12,25
641:3,25 642:2	331:20,21,22	663:6,12	350:22 351:6
650:20,22 652:12 654:6,7	337:6,10,17	judges 331:19	354:23
655:7 656:21	338:23 340:9,23	340:22 341:4,5	355:6,9,20,21
661:24 663:7	341:2,3,5 342:17	352:24 356:2	368:5,6
	343:20 344:24	357:19 358:3	381:19,20
iTunes 365:1	345:10 346:11	366:21 369:17	382:7,10,11,22
588:9 600:17 601:2	347:8,25 348:7	385:15 427:7	387:24
	349:2,8,11,21	578:8 587:8 590:6 591:6	388:4,12,18
<b>IV</b> 331:9	350:5,8,14,21	592:5 593:7	413:23 424:15
I've 408:11 642:20	355:2,6,19	606:23 609:21	426:22 427:9
657:2 658:15,18	367:10,16,18	611:20 624:4	<b>KNAUER</b> 333:15
Iver 592:11	368:1,4 371:4	634:5	knew 594:12
628:24 633:25	378:25 379:23 381:10,15,18,19	judgment 617:20	knowledge 354:21
635:8,20 655:24	382:9,20	651:10	380:8 384:25
	388:3,17 409:3,6		417:6 591:22
J	421:22 424:16	judiciary 631:3	
Jackson 334:5	425:5,9 436:10	Julie 412:17	known 435:22
Jacoby 337:15,16	574:2,15,20	<b>June</b> 334:3 574:13	Kooker 335:3,17
Jagjaguwar	575:3,6	610:5 631:5	338:8,11,22
575:17	588:3,13,21,23	jury 663:7,9	340:2,12,16 341:8,22
577:7,8,12,21	589:24 590:2,16	<b>JUSTICE</b> 624:25	343:8,14
578:9,25 595:16	591:18	625:3	345:5,12,15
635:12 655:13	604:6,13,17,24 605:8		347:11,14 348:1
January 661:8	606:5,12,17	justification 417:10	349:5,9,13
1	608:24		350:1,5,10,20,24
Jennifer 334:3,13	609:6,9,13 610:8	justifications	351:7 354:1,10
JESSE 331:22	611:8 612:1	416:24	355:13,22 364:2
Jillian 334:14	613:10,13	77	367:12 368:7
587:23	615:5,14,20,23	K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	371:13 375:19
job 357:5 363:7	617:15,20	KARYN 333:11	382:12,23 385:9
576:16 640:3	618:11	Katy 592:5	408:5 421:23
653:7	619:7,13,22	KELLOGG	425:14 427:15
jobs 576:16	620:22	332:17	K-O-O-K-E-R
	621:5,12,21	<b></b>	350:20
1 *		Kelly 334.7 338.7 1	
John 334:11 595:6	622:2,8	Kelly 334:2 338:7	Kooker's 339:24
John 334:11 595:6 596:3 597:1	622:2,8 623:1,5,25 624:9	KENNETH 333:3	348:17,21
John 334:11 595:6 596:3 597:1 JOHNSON	622:2,8 623:1,5,25 624:9 625:25 626:7	*	
John 334:11 595:6 596:3 597:1	622:2,8 623:1,5,25 624:9	KENNETH 333:3	348:17,21

	1 46		
574:13	619:8	379:3,4	<b>Lexton</b> 642:23
	large 353:14 385:6	lean-back	Library 331:3
L	644:6	378:19,22	license 371:7
label 415:6,14,20	largely 599:16	380:18	372:8,9 375:17
577:2 579:20,24	larger 353:11	lean-back-type	377:17 378:7
581:16 583:23	584:1 639:5	378:13	385:7 414:11
595:10 596:11		lean-forward	417:1 418:1
603:9 607:8	Larraondo-	378:23	584:8,18 604:5
618:19 625:23 626:15,17,23	Klipper 334:2		606:10 614:7,14
627:5,6 635:12	Larson 334:9	learn 593:25	615:25 616:5
638:6 640:1	335:5 346:22	595:12 596:10	619:1,3 637:17
645:16	348:5,7,10	learned 346:23	648:8 660:17,21
646:15,18	425:9,10,13,16	595:8 596:9	licensed 370:25
649:14	426:23	least 591:14 609:2	371:10,11 373:5
651:4,7,19	427:3,10,13	610:14 641:24	378:4 586:20
	436:7	leave 610:25	licensee 380:2
labeled 584:1	last 338:16 339:7	656:25 657:2	415:25
labels 357:6	348:13	659:3	
411:10 413:4	350:17,20		licensees 415:18
415:16	353:19 354:7,14	leaves 616:16	licenses
575:14,16 576:1	367:3,5	leaving 622:8	341:9,10,18,23
578:17,24 579:6	368:13,25 369:2	led 596:7	431:13 588:8
580:22,24 581:6	370:15 371:8		605:22 606:7
583:10	387:25 388:8	legal 352:4	licensing 341:17
585:2,5,8,19	418:8 574:12,24	LeMoine 334:2	582:16 583:3,5
586:15 592:6	575:2 587:12	Leo 334:11 337:9	586:6 610:4
596:15 598:3,16	591:3 600:4,7		639:14 645:2,7
616:23 617:3,8	616:7 629:3	<b>Leslie</b> 334:12 337:9 644:23	lies 643:1
628:18	631:16 647:6		
629:10,14,18,24 630:2,13 631:18	657:12	less 363:18 419:4	lights 599:7,25
632:1,9 636:1	late 346:2 384:19	421:12 426:7	likely 353:12,21
638:24,25	later 342:8 343:3	428:17 430:22 431:3 605:16,18	likewise 410:11
640:4,10,11	350:4 578:3	619:15	limit 641:17
643:14	608:4	651:14,17 658:9	limitations 360:12
648:14,16	launch 383:16	let's 347:25 419:1	
649:12,16	law 340:6,7 418:4	420:12 425:6	limited 360:14
650:8,11 651:1	laws 610:7	432:24 582:23	616:11
label's 416:8		605:8 658:23	line 349:15 578:15
Labels 651:13,16	lawyer 352:12,13	659:2	616:8
lack 384:1	648:13	letter 661:22	liner 593:23
588:11,20	lawyers 594:15		lines 377:11
·	lead 633:23	letting 657:4	
<b>Ladies</b> 436:10	635:15	level 385:4 427:21	linked 387:21
landscape 593:19	leading 352:18,21	616:1 647:9	list 591:7 655:15
628:19	leads 649:17,22	levels 430:10	listed 590:22
language 598:8	, i	<b>Lewis</b> 334:7	listen 433:12
	lean 378:12,16,17		

<b>*</b>	ı ag	e 21	
434:4,13,18,22	lots 601:25	MALONE 333:7	411:7,9,12
435:6 594:5	love 620:11 655:18	management	marketplace
600:25	loves 620:10	358:24 575:21	361:16 365:2
listeners 417:23	low 376:24 384:4	576:4	384:3 387:1,15 585:22 593:4
listening 356:17	430:4	manager 595:7	594:21 597:15
594:20 607:18	lower 375:22	597:8	610:12 620:10
608:23 632:6	376:2 416:25	managers 357:4,5	622:24 643:22
listing 380:24	419:24	594:14	647:6 653:8
lists 655:14	420:3,13,14,18	manages 652:7	markets 352:10
literally 613:4	605:23 645:14 651:2 658:7	maneuver 646:24	362:13 385:5,8
little 342:24	1	MANGES 332:10	645:2
379:24 387:19	lowered	manufacturing	<b>Marks</b> 334:9
414:6 585:1	415:4,8,10,12,24 416:2	358:9 410:23	<b>Martha</b> 334:2
639:25 663:7	Lys 661:25 662:10	411:6	Martin 334:5
live 411:15	Lys 001.25 002.10	<b>March</b> 366:10	masters 602:8
living 575:11 576:19	M	margins 631:19	material 388:1
	macro 576:2	mark 332:18	436:9 655:5
LLP 332:3,10,14,22	magazine 655:8	634:6,9	materials 339:21
333:2,11,15	magazines 360:1	marked 586:24	340:14
load 376:24	magnitude 357:20	market 352:1,3	matter 331:17
428:17 430:3	359:3 360:24	356:14 357:3	339:10,19 340:4
loading 377:25	Main 333:8	359:10 361:10 362:1 364:9,15	589:1 621:6
locations 582:9	maintaining	367:20,21	matters 355:8
<b>London</b> 575:16	646:25	369:10	maximize 597:17
578:19 597:12	major 352:22	370:11,13,22,24	may 337:12
long 343:11	353:18 363:12	372:6 379:16	338:12 340:19
354:1,5 408:15	365:3 373:18	384:10,12,18,19,	341:14,24
576:7 607:23	413:4,11	23 385:1,23 413:17 414:3	345:15 346:10 347:2 348:5
608:11 662:16	415:6,14,16	597:9,16 606:6	349:9,21 350:23
loop 347:4 381:21	581:4 582:20,22	607:18,21,23	353:6,11 355:15
_	584:14,16,19	608:12 620:5	360:16 362:9
Los 332:4	585:16,18 586:7,12 588:8	622:16 626:6	380:15 388:15
lose 599:5	592:15 598:2	630:20	420:8,11 421:18
lost 377:1	630:8 632:9	643:8,15,20 645:7 648:25	575:4 589:25 590:2 607:13
lot 370:21 371:25	643:14,19	649:5,9	608:5 612:15
373:4 378:12	650:16 657:14	ĺ	617:24 619:7
379:10,14	majority 353:9,21	marketed 598:6	624:23 625:1,3
385:9,11	414:11	marketing	641:22 653:10
576:3,25 593:22	598:15,18	335:18,24	658:18 659:25
594:17 596:24	599:11,20 600:9	355:25	661:16 663:3
597:7 598:6 601:21 605:13	majors 629:14	359:15,16,19	664:17
649:18 658:1	makers 360:1	360:5,25 363:20 379:17 387:3	maybe 381:21
		2/9.1/201.3	-

	ı ag		
586:13 593:11	Melinda 334:2	374:4	340:17,19
594:23 595:13	member	mic 382:20	models 347:15
605:19 608:19	579:15,17		351:24 363:25
626:6 640:18	638:6,10	Michael 334:13	616:11
642:7 649:24	,	408:6	
658:15 659:1	members 574:12	middle 619:19	moment 340:25
661:9	626:23 638:3	624:17	368:9
McCartney	640:24	MIDEM 423:5	<b>Monday</b> 338:17
629:22 630:11	membership		monetize 370:8
McFadden 661:25	583:9,17,19	midnight 591:3	373:20
662:7	memory 633:21	Midrange 336:3	monetized 375:12
	641:1	Miller 334:11	
mean 369:18	mentally 576:19	million 359:7	money 412:8
374:15 377:13	· ·	592:11 603:22	577:3 599:5
379:10,25	mention 381:25		602:23,24
383:21 386:22	411:20 428:1	millions 357:24	603:18 637:23
426:23	614:15	361:5	monitor 651:20
428:20,23 429:1 581:13 600:20	mentioned 412:2	mind 622:11	monitoring
601:8 606:24	431:23 580:15	636:15,17	372:24
609:20 617:16	584:12 630:9	minimum 602:19	
630:1,4 631:12	mentions 413:8,10		month 366:4,5
646:7 649:3	654:8	Minneapolis	421:9 629:6
654:24 656:5	mercifully 624:23	593:15,17	months 368:13
662:23		minority 605:19	653:13 654:9,21
	merits 589:12	minutes 425:7	Montpellier
Meaning 368:1	608:18	659:6,8	337:23
meaningful 343:8	<b>Merlin</b> 579:16	misread 413:22	morning 337:6
430:14	583:7,12,14,24		338:6 346:25
means 343:24	586:6,20 601:14	mistake 382:3	351:7,8 408:5,8
377:4 613:17	605:13 612:12	609:14	425:7,14,15
656:24	618:2 625:16,18	mistaken 650:3	613:16 662:22
meant 365:7 374:3	626:14,18,23	misunderstanding	<b>Morris</b> 353:24
385:16	627:5,10,17,22	360:9	
	628:4 633:18		mortar 358:12
measure	638:1,10,21	mitigate 638:21	motion 341:3
419:17,19	639:2,3,15	mix 656:7	385:11 590:25
measurement	642:7,24	mobile 382:16,18	motivated 649:4
373:23	Merlin's 583:9	383:10,13,18	
mechanism 611:2	605:12	433:9,11	motivation 617:6
media 332:9	met 408:7 597:12	model 347:14	649:8
333:14 356:19	metadata	365:20,23 366:1	motivations 647:2
359:21 381:5	358:14,18	373:10,11 374:9	mouth 381:5
387:7 411:15,16	·	385:16 386:6,14	
425:12,17	method 364:9	424:6,7	<b>move</b> 349:18 354:23 358:15
433:20 625:8	383:15	598:13,19	369:4 375:21
	methods 358:7	599:4,14 600:6	376:1 378:10
meeting 349:1 370:23	metrics 372:20	613:24 618:16	383:9 384:4,7
3/0:23	XXX 00 X 10 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	modeling 338:14	388:9 424:5,13
1		modering JJ0.14	JUU. J TAT. J, X J

	1 ag	e 23	
578:5 587:19	584:17,24	necessarily 413:9	Nielsen 630:22
608:3 611:9	585:4,12 586:10	648:6 650:4	Nielsen's 630:17
623:19 646:22 647:14	593:1 594:19 595:8 597:9,19	658:12,17	night 348:13 591:3
moved 409:9	600:17 601:14	negate 627:23	nine 599:5
578:5,13	607:2	negative 429:19	nominated 592:18
mover 608:3,8	608:16,18,19	588:19	634:17 655:21
610:16,17	610:3 628:19 630:20	negatively 381:8	nominee 629:3
611:1,4 616:16	631:18,20	negotiate 584:4	noncommercial
647:7,8	632:1,7,14,19	586:21 601:15 622:12 626:24	608:21
movers 608:7	643:9,15 645:15	627:14,19 628:8	non-directly 378:4
611:5	649:13,14	negotiated 353:7	non-disclosure
movie	651:5,12,14,17,2 1,24	582:18,21	388:7
595:17,18,19	653:16,20,23,24	583:12,18 584:9	none 432:4 589:15
moving 365:5 369:5 382:13	654:1,3 656:10	627:21 639:15	noninteractive
383:23 436:8	660:13,16,18	negotiates	367:21
603:23	661:3	582:4,24 583:8 625:19	434:21,25 601:1
MP3s 600:16	musical 369:11 370:20 417:18	626:14,18	605:22 606:25
muddy 620:14	593:19 643:10	negotiating	614:18,21,23 619:2
multiple 592:14	Music's 354:6	414:25	620:8,16,24
628:25 655:25	363:14 388:15	415:11,18	621:23 622:4,14
multitude 359:19	413:3	583:16 601:11	650:19
MUNGER 332:3	must-have	614:5 627:1,7,13 628:6	nonissue 349:5
music 332:6,7	662:10,25	negotiation	non-licensed
335:23 337:16	must-haves 662:7	353:13	383:19
338:8 351:11	myself 578:11	414:16,20 584:3	nonrestricted
353:25 354:2,4	594:19	604:9 605:12	355:11
355:24 356:1,5,6,13	N	607:17 615:9 646:17	non-statutory
357:20,22	N.W 332:15,19	negotiations 353:9	606:6
358:1,2,5	333:12,15,19	582:6,10,17	nontransparent 658:21
359:4,10,11,14 361:6 364:3,5,24	<b>NAB</b> 334:13	586:18 603:5,7	nonvoting 625:16
365:9,14	335:13 408:3,6	604:21	
369:9,14,22	423:13 424:18	605:2,6,17 606:7 614:3,4,12	non-voting 579:16
373:4,6 375:25	644:18 659:19	neither 418:14	noon 337:23
378:1,15,18 379:3 381:3	Nashville 332:8 335:23	419:10,16	nor 418:15 419:11
383:11,13	National 332:13	net 362:16 598:22	normally 607:10
385:17 387:1	333:2,10 587:24	network 579:18	611:5
388:14 408:11	Nations 588:19	581:21 586:17	nose 344:10
413:16 423:8 431:21	nature 414:18	networks 579:19	Notaro 592:18 629:2,5
576:8,11,20	nearly 614:9	news 349:16 370:6	Notary 664:14
579:9 582:25	Mearly 014.9		110tal y 004.14
L			

p	ı ag	e 24	
note 430:3 590:5	<b>obtain</b> 610:15	411:23 412:25	641:24 647:7
611:13 631:15	obviously 357:2	413:13 414:6,14 415:12,23	opposed 338:21
notebook 427:7	363:18 372:18	415:12,23	opt 582:19
noted 416:19	599:9 613:8 624:17	418:3	583:19,20
643:13		419:1,12,16,21	584:10 601:15
notes 593:23	occasionally 604:16	420:21 423:8	617:3,4 639:11,14,19
nothing 350:12	occurred 621:1	427:10 436:10 574:20 582:8	640:8,12,24
417:11 574:8	661:11	587:4,12 588:13	641:4,5
notice 638:3	occurring 607:19	603:15 604:2	opted 605:13,14
640:7,22 651:23		628:1 635:18	627:18 638:7
noticed 591:12	occurs 601:19	637:4,21 640:25	opt-in 640:7,16,17
653:6	Oceans 575:17 578:25 655:13	644:13 645:4 646:11 655:9	641:18
notices 638:10	į.	659:4,11,24	opting 639:25
noticing 652:24	odd 342:24	663:4	option 584:21
November 652:3	offer 344:15	Olasa 334:3	617:2 640:1
655:10 656:2	375:11 417:18 435:1 603:13	574:13	options 617:7
nowhere 427:20	652:15	<b>Olsen</b> 595:3	opt-out 640:15,17
NPR 334:6	offered 341:19	596:14	641:19
Numero 575:18	348:22 378:6	Olsen's 595:11,21	oral 339:8,9
579:1 593:8,9,13	577:22 584:9	<b>OLSON</b> 332:3	Orbison 595:25
numerous 596:4	589:22	on-demand 377:3	orchestrated
	601:17,21 602:6,9 603:24	378:7 433:19	597:11
<u> </u>	610:24 639:10	434:11	order 358:15
oath 631:9	offering 372:12	435:9,15,20,25 436:4	388:6 416:3
object 588:6,10	375:22,23 376:2		589:2,16 590:19
589:21	416:7,25 435:9	online 359:22 360:10 655:5	591:6
objected 589:8	605:23 614:17		ordered 348:12
objection 355:3	616:4 651:1	Ono 593:2	organization
424:15 590:20	offerings 372:25	onto 655:18	410:3,11,13
591:8,18 612:10 623:21,22,23,24	376:21 384:5 387:17,18,20	open 354:10	579:19,24 583:6 604:25
652:18	offers 435:4 607:7	376:5,9 426:24 427:5 606:20	
objections 587:25			organizational 579:13
588:14	office 578:15	opening 426:19	organizations
589:3,9,12,18	offices 575:15	operate 371:6 372:8,9 375:16	579:21
590:23	578:18	ŕ	original 416:23
591:2,10,16	Oh 383:23 631:8	opportunities 640:4	originally 593:13
objective	okay 345:10 347:8	opportunity	Ŭ Î
596:18,23	354:20 361:15 366:24 369:16	343:23 346:3	others 588:21 607:4 635:21
obscure 593:16	376:10 382:6	365:7 593:25	
observer 579:16	408:24	596:10,19 611:1	otherwise 579:9 632:2 645:20
583:6 625:16	410:3,11,16	617:12 638:7	052.2 045.20

	rag	e 25	
ours 577:20	627:24	2	604:22 606:7
603:10	631:6,7,11	par 418:16	past 363:2 374:5
ourselves 600:1	641:11 644:25	paragraph 416:18	415:2 579:2
620:20 647:10	645:22 648:14	417:16 418:8,10	582:19 637:7
660:14	649:11 655:9	421:4 423:22,23	Patrick 334:5
outside 348:24,25	656:3 657:7	427:25 430:6	
388:8,9 589:3	Pages 331:8,9	432:25 433:3	Patsy 595:24
	paid 374:23	434:10 435:14	Paul 332:22
overall 364:13	375:1,3 376:25	588:6,11,16	629:22 630:10
368:21 369:2	378:20	611:15,19 612:3	<b>Paula</b> 655:21
372:17 373:3	379:13,22	628:3 631:16	
428:11	383:24 384:8,15	645:23 656:5	Pause 341:1
overhead 361:13	387:21,23	657:8	pay 366:3
363:21	433:17 434:9		376:5,15 377:6
overnight 576:21	620:25 632:24	paragraphs 410:6 427:16	416:25 417:24
	651:18		418:15
overnights 576:18	654:6,11,14	participant	419:2,4,11,17,18
overruled 591:19	656:20	589:21	430:15 435:24
overtime 576:25	Pallante 338:1	participate 640:2	436:3 582:1
owned 630:19		particular	586:3 602:18
645:15	pals 578:2	338:12,14 342:1	620:11 653:7
	Pan 426:14 641:7	358:20 379:7	paying 606:4
owners 575:12	Pandora 332:9	383:8 384:6	636:19
ownership 363:24	334:8 335:15	417:18 433:13	naryman+ 500.05
365:20,23 369:5	421:6 424:22	434:4,22 435:6	payment 598:25
373:10 374:3	425:12,17	638:2	pays 636:19,22
383:1 385:16	428:7,21	1	645:14
575:20	429:10,23,25	parties 342:6	peaked 364:20
580:23,25 585:3	430:16,19	348:14 371:6	penalty 417:7
OXENFORD	432:3,7	589:5 590:10	_ •
333:14	433:12,23	646:6 664:6,9	penetration
	434:1,2 601:2	partner 351:19	384:15,22
P	618:2 620:11	577:23 643:5	Pennsylvania
p.m 663:16	625:4,7	partners	333:19
1 ~	633:16,19,24	351:21,23,24	penny 602:9
pace 369:15	634:15	358:16	
page 354:15	635:19,22,24	360:6,14,23	people 352:18 360:9 361:14
357:16 409:20	636:18,19,22	367:25 370:25	375:21 376:15
410:22,23	637:11,16,23	371:5,10,11,18,2	379:12 380:22
411:11,12	647:1 651:21	0 372:15 375:16	383:23 384:7
413:7,17 416:14	pandora.com	382:15 577:24	386:2,16
418:6,7 425:21	634:1	578:4 595:3	387:12,22
427:4,14,16	Pandora's 428:17	partnership	422:19 424:5
428:16 430:2	429:15 430:3,10	598:10,23	432:2 657:18
432:13,24	611:23 612:5	599:22	663:8
435:14 587:13	637:19		
588:6,16 611:14		party 388:6	Pepsi 634:15
612:3 615:7,13	panel	passed 350:22	per 344:23
623:3,17 626:10	339:6,16,18,20,2		

	8	E 20	
348:14,18 374:10,11 375:5	<b>personally</b> 414:15 607:25	336:3 349:6 387:3,6 411:12	please 337:7 349:11
418:18 419:8,22 429:23 431:9,10 602:9	perspective 580:5 610:7,23 614:2	<b>planning</b> 576:2 606:18 609:16	350:8,14,18 358:3 382:19,21 388:8 416:13
percent	616:17 622:21 perspectives 618:6	<b>platform</b> 360:10,16	418:7 574:2,4 624:25 651:15
366:10,11,17,18 367:7,13,14,19	pertain 342:2	platforms 360:3,7	PLLC 332:18
384:11,14,15,17, 20,21 413:18,19	<b>phase</b> 344:7 345:1	play 380:24	plummeting
432:9 600:12	phases 348:12	599:13 601:23 606:22	427:22 428:7
604:18 607:13,14	phenomenon 382:16	607:6,9,20 608:4,5,15,25	<b>point</b> 341:6 356:16 365:7 368:15
619:24 631:18	<b>phone</b> 433:11	609:4 611:3,6	370:4,5 380:17 418:22 420:21
percentage 367:19,20	578:2 phones 433:9	613:23 615:16,18	422:3 424:1,6
perform 359:20	phrase 662:7	616:10,20,22 617:5 632:22,25	436:7 584:13 585:9 613:18
387:8	physical 352:3	635:24 636:23	618:5 626:10 627:23 628:2
performance 331:9 362:22	358:8 359:6 360:12,15	637:3 642:7 645:11,13,17,25	640:14
363:2 371:20	361:20 364:10	646:21	pointing 656:20
performances 356:18 602:7	365:5 366:7,11 383:4 410:24	647:3,13,19,25 648:2,9,15,16,17	points 356:3
performed 596:4 653:8	427:19,22 428:2,8 581:19 584:22	,24 649:6,20 650:1,22 651:13,16	Pomerantz 332:3 337:11 338:4 341:24 342:24
<b>perhaps</b> 349:18 618:1	585:21,24 600:9,11	657:15,18,19,23 658:2,13,20	344:11 347:3,9,22 426:18 427:6
period 353:19 364:6,24	<b>physically</b> 585:13 599:16	played 377:18 594:9,12 607:3 654:17 658:22	574:10 575:4,7 642:6,12,16
365:1,15 368:12 414:24 619:20	picture 423:19,20	players 370:22	661:18 662:9 663:2,10
perjury 417:7	<b>pie</b> 600:12 616:11 618:24 619:3	playing 616:2	Pope 334:12 335:9
perked 595:11	piece 365:25 383:8	645:15 647:9 651:21,24	337:9 644:21,23 652:1,4,15,21
permanent 600:15 permissible	pieces 387:3,5	playlist 433:20	656:25
338:10	ping 358:14	435:5,10,11 620:10 651:5,10	popular 386:9 655:16 656:21
permission 339:22,24	piracy 614:1 pirate 365:8,14	playlists 377:25	portion 426:18
permitted 339:11	pirated 431:20	379:15 435:2 607:5 651:3	611:25 612:1,7 625:23,25
341:15 343:11 Perry 592:6	placed 338:19 350:24	plays 416:3,8,12	position 351:10
person 388:8	places 431:7	607:15 646:15 648:20 650:9,19	360:7,18 422:5 585:11 589:20
personal 591:22	590:11	654:24 655:6 658:3	610:18 627:13 628:6 648:22

656:15	597:19	proceed 338:12	636:4 658:8
positioning 360:22	presenting 342:13	604:4 625:2	profitability 620:2
positive 362:17	381:6	proceeding 337:14	profitable
positively 381:8	presently 618:8	339:6,11 340:5 341:20 343:13	599:1,8,11
possibility 603:2	preserve 345:2	345:1 587:9	619:11,15,23 620:20 636:8,10
620:15 622:21	president 351:12	609:23 619:17	profits 621:2
possible 421:14	352:15 412:22	625:8 663:15	622:6
599:10	press 336:3 371:24	proceedings 341:1 349:19 354:18	program
posture 649:10	372:1 597:11	385:12	654:14,18 655:4
potential 350:23	presses 372:23	664:5,7,11	programming
practice 589:14	presumably 420:25	process 356:8	378:11
644:5	presume 350:6	357:14,21	417:19,24
<b>practices</b> 643:25 644:2	^	359:14 363:7 364:4 386:1,21	programs 387:9
	<b>pretty</b> 367:4,8 591:4	produce 356:13	progressing 353:8
preceded 365:1	prevailing 645:20	361:9	prohibit 339:14
predecessors 408:12	previous 609:23	produced 426:17	project 411:13
predominance	previously 643:7	producer 597:1	576:4 595:7 621:15
620:25	price 416:6,8,11	producers 356:20	projection 621:14
predominant	436:4 650:8	357:11 358:25	projections
367:5 620:24	prices 608:10	produces 370:9	368:16
prefer 342:16	primarily 352:5	product 356:14	projects 599:5
647:3	primary 358:7	358:10,16,17	proliferation
preferences 380:3	364:8 383:15	362:3 364:9,14 365:25	645:25
preferred 429:7	643:9	369:21,25 370:6	647:19,24 648:2
prejudicial 340:8,11	prime 647:2	375:12	<b>prominent</b> 628:21 629:19
<u> </u>	<b>Prince</b> 593:17	427:19,20 581:20 585:21	
premature 613:17	print 411:17 655:7	650:20,24	<b>promote</b> 359:11 632:14,19
prepare 587:10	prior 386:19	products 360:7	650:22,24 655:1
prepared 344:7 347:5 348:21	593:17	361:15,24,25	promoted 412:3
present 334:1	Priority 655:13	362:8 410:24 614:17	promotes 411:25
342:6,10,11,14	privy 581:6		412:4 650:3
350:4 360:18	<b>pro</b> 611:16 612:2 644:15 657:8	<b>Professor</b> 338:15 339:17 342:7	promoting 360:2
366:2		343:1,17 344:21	361:1 387:12 598:9 655:6
presentation 340:21	probably 354:7 361:18 362:19	345:24 346:6	promotion
	367:7,24 379:9	347:6,13 662:10	359:15,16
presented 339:9 342:19,20,21	408:17 579:6	<b>proffer</b> 347:23 348:2 617:21	363:20
343:25 426:9	610:8 626:5	profit	385:18,20,24
429:9,22 430:8	<b>problem</b> 409:15 621:12	598:14,18,22	386:16,20 387:3 411:7,9,14,15,20
435:18,23	021.12	,	1221792927920

412:8,16 413:3 632:22 649:17	616:1	619:9,11,25	410.10 410.5 05
			418:18 419:5,25
1 052.22049.17		622:9 626:3	420:7,19,22
	providing 376:8	632:16 633:6,7	428:18,25
promotional	617:7	634:23	429:16
360:22 601:25	public 331:11	636:7,13,16	431:17,18
633:15,25	333:2 336:2	637:22	432:25 588:9
prompted 381:4	337:1 368:15	640:16,18	601:2 609:24
pronounce 337:18	372:19 386:25	641:11,14,18	633:1,5
1 ^	388:20 408:1	642:2,3 644:16	649:17,20 650:3
proper 618:5	436:12 574:1	645:4 646:13	652:7
property	593:21,24 632:6	647:21 648:10	
337:21,25	664:14	651:15 657:6	raise 338:10 350:9
352:10 388:14	nublicity 250.25	659:2	430:11 574:4
631:4 663:14	<b>publicity</b> 359:25 387:7		ran 429:16
	387:7	questioned 341:23	
proportion 366:25 626:20	publicly 357:18	640:14 660:5	random 652:24
	606:11	questions 382:8	range 375:5
proposal 335:20	publicly-available	425:4 615:3	Rapsody 600:22
415:5,14,19	418:24	624:5,6 625:9	rata 611:16 612:2
416:11 623:3	publicly-traded	644:14,18	644:15 657:9
641:1	372:2,18	659:19	
proposals 415:15	•	quick 367:9	rate 368:12 369:8
606:1	Publishing 332:7	_	370:8 373:21
propose 342:18	575:22	quickly 364:20	381:7
603:10	purchase 386:3	620:18	415:4,13,24
	600:16	quit 624:13,14	416:3 417:21
proposed 346:24	Pure 636:23 637:3	662:22,23	418:1 587:9
415:4,5,13,25		quite 340:14,15	601:16 602:2,4
416:3 623:16	<b>Purple</b> 593:14	364:7 412:15	603:10,12,16,24
638:3 640:23	purpose 610:14	580:10	604:1,3
prospective	^ ^		605:23,24
415:18	purposes 341:2,21	<b>quote</b> 643:9	606:3,4 609:23
prospects 619:5	385:17 610:15	quoted 423:21	614:10,11,20,22,
1 ^ ^	pursuant 339:7	quoting 613:4	25 619:17,23,24
protect 616:10,21	putting 353:16	quoung 015.4	620:24
protected 352:11	595:18		622:3,18,19,22
protective 388:6		R&B 593:16	635:24 636:18,23,24
proud 631:25	Q		637:3 645:14
1 ^	qualitative 371:22	race 607:25	647:20,24 648:3
provide 357:19	613:14 616:24	609:1,25 610:11	657:13,22
378:22,24 417:1	quantitative	650:7,12	658:1,3,8,13,16,
584:5 634:16	371:23	Rachel 334:3	17,24
provided 371:19		574:13	•
376:22,24	question 338:12	radio 332:21	rates 331:8 416:25
429:12 430:13	349:22 355:14	333:2,6 359:22	608:11 614:9
609:22 614:19	371:5 379:1,25	385:24 386:20	620:12 622:12
622:16 631:2	380:11 413:5,10	387:2 411:20,25	636:12,16
1	421:24 424:11	412:4,5,8,14	645:20 651:2
providers 431:24	425:1,2 605:4	412.4,3,6,14	654:16
provides 357:16	610:10 615:20	713.3 711.2	

	rag	<u> </u>	
rather 355:18	642:9,15,24	recommending	<b>RED</b> 335:2,7
ratio 384:12	644:17	434:20	redirect 659:7,12
Rdio 600:22	rebuttals 612:17	reconceptualizing	reduce 363:21
Re 331:6	<b>REC</b> 335:2,7	593:22	reduced 619:4
reach 594:21	recall 343:20	record 340:18,21 345:5 357:6	reducing 646:9
638:1	371:9 425:18 633:22 636:13	362:22 381:22	reengineering
reached 595:23	638:18	413:11 574:23	385:22
reads 657:13	receive 374:22	577:2,4,6,7	reexamination
reaffirmed 339:6	380:20 585:20	580:8 581:6 583:22 586:9	610:4
reality 385:21	593:11 600:18	588:8 592:20,21	refer 349:17 427:8
realize 620:18	601:18 602:6,20 608:2 618:15	596:15,25	601:7 602:24 606:22
realized 597:4	640:22	597:5,16,22 625:12,21,23	
realizing 374:1	654:16,22	627:5 634:11,22	reference 340:3 583:3 613:3
really 340:20	received 348:13	636:1 645:16	614:24
343:16 347:16	591:2 619:10	647:17 649:12	referenced 631:2
363:5 380:11	637:15,17	650:7 657:14 664:4	referencing 655:5
584:4,21	receives 638:9	recorded 356:1,6	referrals 594:7,13
593:20,21 598:7 599:7 607:1	receiving 619:1 640:7	357:18 358:2,5	referred 386:19
617:7		359:4 361:1	referring 623:17
reason 420:8,11	recent 418:13	410:8 577:2	636:23
626:22	recently 339:7 340:1 421:20	recording 331:8	reflect 380:16
633:12,13 652:10,12	579:12 580:3	382:2 410:7,14 582:22 595:11	refresh 626:16
reasonable 583:14	585:9 592:14,19	596:20 597:6	633:21
	595:2 597:2 610:3 637:16	602:22 632:11	634:4,8,12,23,24
reasons 339:12 608:21		634:15 635:13 643:15,19	641:1,8
rebut 346:3	recess 425:7,8 613:12	, i	regard 341:7,8,9,17,23
rebuttal 338:16,18	recognize	recordings 331:9 356:22 357:10	379:24 380:2,5
339:5	426:20,21	579:3 581:10,18	regarding 598:2
341:11,13,16,20	587:1,5 591:20	584:19 586:10	regardless 616:3
342:11,15,22	recollection 379:7	592:17 593:2,11 594:1 596:16,22	Register 338:1
343:2,9,10,15,19	380:6 427:3 626:17	597:18 598:9	regs 590:14
344:7,12,13,14	634:4,8,13,23,24	656:21	regularly 370:22
345:1,14	641:9	records 357:12	371:21 604:14
346:15,20 347:12	recommend	578:12 585:25	regulations
348:12,17,23	434:17	592:12,13 593:3 598:5,15 629:20	589:14,19 590:5
350:3	recommendation	recourse 644:7	<b>REIN</b> 332:14
612:11,13,14,18	353:17	rectify 654:5	333:11
613:8,16 617:18,22	recommendations	656:8	reintroducing
618:3,10	434:12		593:5

		1	
reissue 593:2	removing 377:1	research 335:19	338:18 642:17
reissued 579:1	renowned 592:23	363:5,7	result 346:1 362:7
reissues 593:10	593:18	371:21,23 379:7 380:15,16,17	365:10 377:24
reject 657:15,23	repackaging	381:1,13	383:25 384:1,3
rejected 623:6	593:4	382:1,2,4 430:1	661:8
	repeat 632:16	reserve 644:17	results 362:23
related 664:6	645:4 647:21	resolutions 339:19	653:11
relates 353:4	651:15		retail 358:12
385:19 613:16	repertoire 356:9	respect 342:25 343:13 345:22	364:11
relating 642:4	607:15 630:19	346:4,16 371:3	retailers 362:6,7,8
relations 352:9	639:1,4 654:7 656:16 661:2,5	588:17 591:9	return 361:6
368:17		618:6	362:16 363:15
relationship 373:1	replace 369:7	respond 338:25	373:12,25
585:11,16	replacing 373:21	343:12,23 416:7	returning 435:13
relative 374:16	repopulate 655:14	588:24 589:11	revenue 351:17
664:8	report 353:23,24	612:17 641:2	361:9 366:10,18
release 336:3	358:21,24	responded	367:6 369:2 370:1,3
361:16,17	410:4,12	590:23,24	374:10,11
362:3,5,11,15 386:4,24 579:4	411:8,10 586:1	responding	384:20 386:7
598:15,16	REPORTER 664:1	416:11	387:13
599:9,22 631:17		response 341:16	427:18,19
released 361:24	reporting 334:15 358:23,24 382:1	371:4 415:5,13,24	428:11 430:21 431:9,10 432:6
592:17 629:18	1	425:1 591:11	581:24 585:23
630:1,2,7,9	reports 411:2,3	612:23 636:6	586:1,3 599:24
631:18 635:13	represent 408:6	responsibilities	600:9,13
releases 362:24,25	426:16 579:14 587:24 625:7	352:14 575:23	601:5,18 602:17
598:17,18	627:11 628:5	576:1	604:4 614:1,2 616:11,12,14
599:2,12 655:12	644:24	responsibility	618:22,24
releasing 578:12	represented 432:7	409:25 428:6,10	620:18 640:4
relevance 589:3	representing	599:3	643:22 648:21
591:9	425:16 580:5	responsible	656:24
relied 347:16	594:16 639:5	351:17,23	revenue-bearing
582:20	represents 600:11	352:2,17 370:13	386:17
relief 345:25 346:7	request 338:21	restricted 335:17	revenue-
Religious 333:10	344:1,3	351:4 354:25 355:7,12,15	generating
rely 582:16 586:19	require 353:15	357:17 359:2	368:23 387:13
601:5,13 605:11	589:18	388:2,13,21	revenues 352:19
relying 379:6	required 358:15	413:24 427:1,11	362:24 365:11
remains 360:20	584:25	436:8,13	366:7 367:13,15 368:21 430:4
364:12 380:24		609:10,12 615:4	
remember 634:2	-	, I	599:13,21,23
635:4,17 641:2,3	rescramble 340:18	restrictions	600:5,8,10,11,17
remember 634:2	requires 385:22 rescramble 346:18	641:24 642:1,4 restrictions	

	ı ag	e 31	
618:15,23,25	royalty 331:7,19	362:24 374:7	24 577:5,25
619:10 621:10 637:5,10,20	587:8 590:6 601:16 602:2,4	422:7,10,12 426:6,11 427:22	578:8,25 579:4,8 580:15,19,22
review 582:17	603:12,16,24	428:2,8 581:24	582:4,24 583:22
	604:1,3 606:3	585:24 586:17	592:8 594:2
reviewed	608:11	630:20	596:14
381:12,13	614:9,10,22	649:17,22	598:12,16
reviewing 353:1,8	618:14 619:9	650:23	625:11 637:6
639:15	622:12,18,22 636:11 637:3	San 333:4	638:9,11 651:19 653:16 655:12
reviews 360:1	645:20 646:10	satellite 609:23	
revised 610:7	658:16	saw 591:11,12	section 339:7 432:19 589:20
Rhapsody 434:8	Rubinfeld 339:17	652:9	590:7
<b>RIAA</b> 408:13	342:8 343:17	SC 575:20	seeded 607:4
579:25	344:4,9,21	580:19,20 581:1	
Rich 332:10	345:22,24 346:6	585:20 586:5,13	seeing 347:12 369:6,18,20,22
346:13,14	347:6	638:11,12	370:5,10
348:4,5,9,11	Rubinfeld's	639:10,17 640:9	378:3,5,9
574:17 617:24	343:2,23 344:4	scale 639:1,3	384:3,6 616:7
618:12 623:24 642:21 662:14	345:14	schedule 356:21	seeking 632:10
l i	rule 347:1	Schneider 334:11	seems 657:24
Rick 595:17,22	ruled 344:3	school 576:14	seen 365:11
rights 581:15	rules 339:14,15	scope 338:10	368:10,14
607:8 616:4,13 625:18 639:6	340:5	348:25 612:14	369:1,10,12
	ruling 341:4 343:4	Scott 334:11	370:18 380:7
ringtone 361:21 362:9 364:20	344:23 345:8	scraps 616:18	387:14 423:16
	347:20	_	584:8 602:3 627:18 652:8
Rob 334:11	348:19,25	screen 641:16	
Rochester 577:18	rulings 345:4	search 653:10	select 417:23 433:12 434:4,22
role 613:22	run 356:9 361:14	searching 356:25	435.12 434.4,22
roles 576:5	418:16 419:8,12 420:17 429:23	seat 580:4	selected 417:19
room 337:23	607:23 608:12	seated 337:7	
340:24 351:2		350:15 574:3	self-distinguished 589:17
355:18 409:9	running 371:18 431:5 579:22	656:6	·
618:1 642:7,8		seats 580:4	self-interest
Rose 334:2 337:12	Rushing 334:3	second 333:3	611:11 646:18 647:16
roughly 600:11	<b>Russo</b> 334:15 664:3	342:5,6 348:6	sell 374:8 428:24
routed 577:15	001.0	355:12	429:2,4,5,10
Roy 595:25	S	416:17,23 420:12	selling 428:21
royal 654:16	safe 642:8	423:22,23	592:10,21
royalties 331:2	sake 619:25	595:16 626:13	599:17,18
•		631:15 656:4	7 704 00
432:7 613:23	sale 386:12	051.13 030.4	send 581:20
619:14 635:25	sale 386:12 sales 351:13,15	Secretly 575:13,16,17,22,	send 581:20 585:21 661:22

	rag	1 1	
sense 357:19 596:8	353:2,5 358:21	639:13	showcases 594:18
597:14 602:23	365:14 371:18	Shapiro 347:13	showcasing
620:5 639:23	372:7,14,25	611:24	633:25
sent 340:1	373:5 375:10 376:25 377:17	612:6,11,21	showed 427:5
sentence 411:21	378:11,20	613:4	showing 426:6
416:23 428:1	379:13,22	Shapiro's 338:15	<u> </u>
588:17,20	380:21 381:6,7	612:25	shows 387:20
626:13 631:16	382:14 383:20	share 413:16	577:17 594:18
657:12	385:4,7	414:3 431:11	596:4
sentences 417:16	387:17,23	433:19,23 434:1	Showtime 629:6
427:17	416:24	601:24 606:22	shrinking 427:19
	417:17,21	607:6,18,21	_
separate 348:12	418:14,16	608:4,5,15,25	sic 377:20
409:1 627:14	420:16,18	609:4 611:3,6	sides 345:3 380:2
628:8	424:12	615:16,19	
SEPARATELY	431:13,21	616:10,20,22	sign 353:16 388:9
388:21 436:13	432:16,17	617:5 618:4	615:18 632:11
	432:10,17	626:6 643:21	signature 354:15
September 423:6	434:11	645:11,13	587:13
serious 619:18	435:1,16,21,25	646:1,21,25	signed 388:7 592:8
seriously 424:7	436:5 582:5,11	647:3,13,19,25	596:14 615:15
599:3	584:4 586:10,19	648:2,9,15,16,17	628:11
1	588:9,18 590:22	1	629:13,14
serves 614:7	591:11,15	,24 649:1,5,6,9 650:1	ŕ
service 352:23	600:18,20,21,23	i	significant 352:6
366:2,4 374:12	601:1,4 603:6	657:15,19,23 658:2,14,20	618:18 619:5
376:22 383:12	605:22 607:1,2	, ,	620:16 625:23
415:13 416:4	614:13,17,24	<b>shared</b> 595:20	652:25
417:19,25	619:2	616:13	significantly
422:20,21 423:2	620:6,8,9,11,13	shares 645:17	419:24 420:2
432:21 433:5	622:14,15,25	sharing 578:14	424:2 619:4
435:9 581:22	625:20 627:20	9	621:17
582:25	637:10 638:22	shelf 360:10,13	signing 357:8
583:13,15,16	650:20	she's 652:6	648:17
585:8 601:12	651:2,13,16	653:15,18,23	
602:10	661:6	656:12,15,20	similar 376:6,15
603:8,11,15		shift 363:24 367:9	383:3 384:12
604:9	session 331:11	368:22	417:2
607:7,12,19	337:1	374:2,4,25	similarly 372:13
611:7 614:6	388:9,20,21	385:15	656:5
616:5 622:17,20	408:1 426:24		simple 369:24
638:2 645:14	427:5,11	shifting 386:10,15	^
646:9 647:1	436:12,13 574:1	shooting 595:18	simply 345:21
651:11,12,20	606:15,20	short 425:8	355:16
servicers 419:12	609:10 615:2,4	608:13,14	simulcast
services 338:20	624:11	613:12 646:18	420:15,25
340:15,19	seven 348:15	660:20	simulcasters
340:13,19	354:8		421:12
341:17 343:22	several 370:15	shoulders 428:14	
340.1 348.24	30,01413,0113		

<u></u>	1 48		
simulcasting	641:17	sought 339:23	414:20 422:2
420:23 422:3	someone 409:2	soul 593:16	430:19 576:5
424:23	577:22 617:17	sound 331:9	specifically 352:25
single 371:17	song 433:13	432:10 579:3	367:23 370:11
singles 362:10,11	434:4,17,18,22	581:10 584:18	380:16 598:8
655:13,16	435:6 654:25	593:15	specificity 369:17
·	songs 357:9	1	^ ·
sir 351:9 352:12 354:18 366:22	377:23	SoundExchange 332:2 334:1	<b>speculation</b> 588:12 621:6
377:13 379:1	410:7,8,14	335:16	
381:18 574:4	434:12	341:11,15	speculative 363:4
628:9 639:7	649:13,15	346:5,17 348:14	591:21
640:22	654:17 655:14	349:12 351:5	<b>spell</b> 350:17
SiriusXM 332:21	656:6	354:13,24 355:4	spelled 575:1,2
334:4 424:22	Sony	371:1 409:18	spelling 574:23
	335:22,23,24,25	575:8 580:2,6	^
sit 574:4 596:5	336:1,3 337:15	586:24 587:19	spend 372:24
625:15 628:14	338:8,13 340:17	591:11 592:1	412:7,13,15
site 378:5	347:14 351:11	623:19 624:2	632:9
sitting 432:6	353:18,25 354:5	630:24 654:17	spent 593:22
situation 357:6	355:24 356:5	659:13	594:17 597:7
	357:20 358:1	SoundExchange's	Sperle 334:9
six 354:7 413:11 643:14	359:10,14 361:6	591:16 628:15	<b>spins</b> 645:21
	363:14 375:25	SoundExhange's	646:12
size 353:5 414:17	385:17	632:15	split 362:19 367:1
584:7	388:14,15 408:9,11 409:21	sounds 346:11	Î
skinny 624:23	411:25 412:4,23	595:24	spoke 423:1,5
655:18	413:3 415:3	soundtrack	sponsorship
sleep 642:19 643:3	416:9 431:11	595:20	411:16
662:20	Sony's 366:7		<b>Spotify</b> 335:18
slots 360:22	413:16 430:21	source 638:13	433:16,17 434:8
slowly 577:9	431:16,18,20	South 332:4	435:21 600:22
· -	432:6	space 360:11,13	620:10
small 386:2	sorry 376:12,13	371:15	spotting 356:23
582:13 631:12	409:6,13 415:10	382:15,24	spreading 338:2
649:22	418:10	SPALDING 333:2	^ ~ ~ ~
smaller 414:7	421:22,25	speak 348:8 382:3	Square 332:7
425:23 584:21	426:23 631:6	647:17 650:13	<b>staff</b> 594:19
610:23 616:6	645:5		stake 580:23,25
<b>Snow</b> 593:14	sort 337:25 377:10	speaking 639:19	585:3 601:24
social 359:21	381:24 420:13	speaks 355:23	stakeholders
381:5 387:7	579:24 589:1	special 345:25	358:25
411:14 433:20	593:9 595:4	346:7	stand 348:1 350:8
sold 420:25	606:19 607:8,17	specialists 356:11	419:21 644:10
421:1,2 429:8	616:21 655:2	specific 355:14	
592:11	sorts 356:24 640:3	357:16 359:2	standpoint 369:24 370:7 371:25
solely 428:15		361:3 382:4	310.1 311.23
55x5xy 120.15		501.5 502.1	

\$\frac{3}{622:14} \ 631:7 \\ 605:24 \ 606:3 \\ 374:17 \ 418:14 \\ 658:23 \ 662:6,19 \\ 636:6 \\ 577:9,24 \ 578:1 \\ 605:25 \ 618:14 \\ 606:8 \ 661:10 \\ 611:25 \\ 618:24 \\ 606:8 \ 661:10 \\ 621:14 \\ 636:24 \\ 636:24 \\ 606:8 \\ 661:10 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:6 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 637:13,22 \\ 638:10,11 \\ 637:10,20 \\ 647:1 \\ 637:10,20 \\ 647:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 637:10,10 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 636:1 \\ 63	r	146		
start 33:217         428:6 432:16,20         370:11,12,17,20         642:13,18 643:2           622:14 631:7         636:14 85:4,19         371:15 373:11         657:5,12,21           started 364:8         613:22         422:6,9,11,13         5trickler's 371:4           365:12 420:5         614:7,10,14,22         422:6,9,11,13         5trickler's 371:4           577:924 578:1         615:25 618:14         586:1         636:6           560:8 661:10         621:1         601:4,20 602:22         strickler's 371:4           560:8 661:10         621:1         601:4,20 602:22         strike 590:25           588:16         636:24 637:3,16         604:9         647:23 648:8           624:14         636:24 637:3,16         604:9         647:23 648:8           641:11         645:24         605:6,9,10,12         657:13,22           642:14         637:13,22         618:21,24 620:6         658:13,22           588:7 611:16         657:13,22         618:21,24 620:6         588:16,25           5tate 574:23 588:3         658:1,7,13,16         621:16         627:24 642:14         577:21         577:21         602:8,15 605:7         580:7         380:7         580:7         600:8,16 60:3         580:7         580:7         580:7         580:7         3	stands 641:21	417:1,17,21	366:14,19	640:13
364:18 585:13	start 352:17	420:18 426:10	367:9,12	641:10,22
622:14 631:7  started 364:8  365:12 420:5  614:7,10,14,22  422:6,9,11,13  577:9,24 578:1  577:9,24 578:1  579:3 658:4  660:8 661:10  621:1  521:1  521:1  521:1  521:1  522:18,22  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:24 637:3,16  636:25 588:7  636:26 638:3  637:13,22  638:10,0,11  637:10,20 647:1  538:16 638:3  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:17,13,16  637:10,20 647:1  538:10,20  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25  538:16,25		428:6 432:16,20	370:11,12,17,20	642:13,18 643:2
started 364:8         605:24 606:3         374:17 418:14         658:23 662:6,19           365:12 420:5         613:22         614:7,10,14,22         422:6,9,11,13         422:16,9,11,13         424:12 426:2         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         636:6         606:3         1,11         604:2         608:10         61:12         637:13,22         638:13,22         638:13,22         638:13,22         638:13         637:13,22	L.	434:16 435:4,19	371:15 373:11	- ·
365:12 420:5 577:9,24 578:1 597:3 658:4 660:8 661:10 521:1 542:14 521:1 542:15 588:16 660:8 661:10 621:1,3,9,13 600:18,19,21,23 541:10,11 622:14 622:14,8,22 623:14,11:16 645:24 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:20,24 688:10 647:23 648:8 657:13,22 658:17,13,16 659:1 659:1 659:1 659:1 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 637:10,20 647:1 658:16,25 630:1 647:20,24 648:3,8 657:13,22 648:3,8 616:12 658:16,25 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 658:16,25 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 658:16,25 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 637:10,20 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,18 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1		605:24 606:3	374:17 418:14	658:23 662:6,19
\$\frac{53:12}{24.075:} \\ 614:7,10,14,22 \\ 615:25.618:14 \\ 619:1,3,9,13 \\ 600:18,19,21,23 \\ 600:8.61:10 \\ 621:1 \\ 621:1 \\ 636:24.637:3,16 \\ 622:2,18,22 \\ 624:14 \\ \$48:11.432:25 \\ 588:7.611:16 \\ 636:24.637:3,16 \\ 648:3,8 \\ 658:7.611:16 \\ 658:13,22 \\ 688:7.611:16 \\ 658:13,22 \\ 688:7.611:16 \\ 636:24.637:3,16 \\ 648:3,8 \\ 658:7.13,22 \\ 688:7.13,22 \\ 688:7.13,22 \\ 688:7.13,22 \\ 688:7.13,22 \\ 688:7.13,22 \\ 688:7.13,22 \\ 688:16.12 \\ \$148:14.12.25 \\ \$288:7.13,16 \\ 636:12 \\ \$350:1.415:25 \\ 627:24.642:14 \\ \$420:13 \\ \$420:13 \\ \$424:8,10,22 \\ \$428:23.590:10 \\ 591:15 \\ \$33:3 \\ 33:3 \\ 33:25.580:1 \\ \$33:25.580:1 \\ \$342:18 \\ \$362:16 \\ \$342:18 \\ \$362:10 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$32:10 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$32:10 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$32:10 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$32:10 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \\ \$33:30.76 \		613:22	422:6,9,11,13	Strickler's 371:4
597:3 658:4   619:1,3,9,13   600:18,19,21,23   strictly 641:12	1			
660:8 661:10         621:1         621:13         601:4,20 602:22         strike 590:25           starting 588:16         622:2,18,22         603:1,10,11         604:9         605:6,9,10,12         647:23 648:8           624:14         636:24 637:3,16         605:6,9,10,12         657:13,22         657:13,22         658:16,25           588:7 611:16         657:13,22         616:12         658:16,25         658:16,25           state 574:23 588:3         658:1,7,13,16         621:16         621:16         stronge 658:5           stated 589:4         659:1         637:10,20 647:1         stronge 658:5         structured 386:7           350:1 415:25         627:24 662:14         627:10,20 647:1         structured 386:7         structured 386:7           419:10,14         stayed 431:1         streams 367:2         602:8,15 605:7         studios 379:7           424:8,10,22         428:23 590:10         steer 377:20,22         607:11,18 647:1         studios 356:21           51:15         statements         331:2         333:3         333:3         333:3,8,12,15           54:2 626:5         storic 36:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         studios 356:21           54:2 626:5         store 365:1 600:17         386:1,4 378:25         subject 342:17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
starting 588:16         622:2,18,22         603:1,10,11         string 645:24         647:23 648:8         647:23 648:8         647:23 648:8         647:23 648:8         657:13,22         658:10,625         657:13,22         658:10,614:1         657:13,22         658:10,625         strong 645:24         657:13,22         658:16,25         stronger 658:5         stronger 658:5         stronger 658:5         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 386:7         structured 3870:8         structured 386:7         studies 379:7         380:7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td>				•
624:14 636:24 637:3,16 645:24 665:49,10,12 647:23 648:8 418:11 432:25 648:3,8 616:12 658:16,25 588:7 611:16 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 state 574:23 588:3 658:1,7,13,16 621:16 637:10,20 647:1 statement 336:2 stay 372:10 388:16 627:24 642:14 stayed 431:1 577:21 602:8,15 605:7 380:7 419:10,14 420:13 577:21 602:8,15 605:7 380:7 579:15 steering 662:14 559:15 steering 662:14 559:15 steering 662:14 558:25 580:1 588:2 580:1 588:2 580:7 630:7 631:19 station 434:1,20 656:6 station 434:1,20 656:11,14 statute 383:19 590:7 statutorly 373:5 statutorly 373:5 statutorly 373:5 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 s				<b>strike</b> 590:25
636:24 647:20,24 647:20,24 608:10 614:1 657:13,22 658:17,213,16 657:13,22 658:17,13,16 657:13,22 658:17,13,16 657:13,22 658:17,13,16 659:1 637:10,20 647:1 statement 336:2 stay 372:10 388:16 627:24 642:14 statement 336:2 350:1 415:25 627:24 642:14 statement 336:2 350:1 415:25 627:24 642:14 statement 336:2 350:1 415:25 627:24 642:14 statement 342:13 577:21 602:8,15 605:7 607:11,18 647:1 statement 342:22 steering 662:14 542:22 statement 336:2 steering 662:14 542:22 strategist 345:16 station 434:1,20 656:6 station 434:1,20 656:11,14 statute 383:19 590:7 statutorly 373:5 statutorly 373:5 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly 373:6 statutorly				strong 645:24
starts 416:18         645:24         605:6,9,10,12         657:13,22         658:16,25           588:7 611:16         648:3,8         616:12         658:16,25           state 574:23 588:3         657:13,22         618:21,24 620:6         stronger 658:5           stated 589:4         659:1         621:16         621:16           stated 589:4         659:1         627:24 642:14         streaming-focused 368:23         structured 386:7           statement 336:2         577:21         602:8,15 605:7         380:7         570:24         606:3         stuck 576:24         606:3         606:3         stuck 576:24         606:3         606:3         50:141:2         577:21         502:8,15 605:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380	624:14	1	-	_
418:11 432:25 588:7 611:16 648:3,8 657:13,22 618:21,24 620:6 state 574:23 588:3 stated 589:4 659:1 659:1 627:24 642:14 statement 336:2 350:1 415:25 627:24 642:14 420:13 424:8,10,22 428:23 590:10 591:15 statements 341:11,12 Statements 341:11,12 States 331:2 383:25 580:1 584:2 626:5 630:7 631:19 station 434:1,20 656:6 station 434:2,12 635:20,23 656:11,14 statute 383:19 590:7 statutory 371:6 statutory 371:6 377:17 378:4 382:14 385:7 382:14 385:7 382:14 385:7 382:14 385:7 382:14 385:7 382:25 668:10,24 668:10 618:21,24 620:6 667:10,20 647:1 677:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streaming 602:16 637:10,20 647:1 streams 36:2 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 386:7 structured 36:22 struck 576:24 606:3 struck 576:24 606:3 stuck 576:24 606:	starts 416:18			
588:7 611:16         648:3,8         616:12         657:13,22         618:21,24 620:6         stronger 658:5           state 574:23 588:3         658:1,7,13,16         621:16         621:16         structured 386:7           stated 589:4         659:1         637:10,20 647:1         struggling 370:8           statement 336:2         stay 372:10 388:16         621:16         struggling 370:8           419:10,14         stay 372:10 388:16         502:8,15 605:7         600:8,15 605:7         606:3           424:8,10,22         677:21         602:8,15 605:7         380:7         380:7           424:8,10,22         577:21         650:19 651:18         654:6,12         5tudies 379:7           424:8,10,22         577:21         650:19 651:18         654:6,12         5tudies 379:7           424:8,23 590:10         591:15         5teering 662:14         5teer 332:15,19         333:3,8,12,15         5tudios 356:21           Statements         31:2         338:2         581:24         5trickler 331:21         335:4 408:4,6         409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20         425:3         425:3         5ubcommittee         631:3         5ubcommittee         631:3         5ubcommittee         631:3         5ubcommittee         631:3         5ubject 342:17         346:15 364:1		1	1	•
state 574:23 588:3         658:1,7,13,16         621:16         structured 386:7           stated 589:4         659:1         637:10,20 647:1         struggling 370:8           statement 336:2         stay 372:10 388:16         627:24 642:14         streaming-focused 368:23         stuck 576:24           419:10,14         stayed 431:1         streams 367:2         studies 379:7           420:13         577:21         602:8,15 605:7         607:11,18 647:1         606:3           424:8,10,22         steering 662:14         654:6,12         studies 379:7           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Stum 334:13           statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         studios 356:21           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:14 424:13,20           384:2 626:5         sticking 368:8         349:21         368:1,4 378:25         subcommittee           stating 350:16         stock 585:24         379:23         subject 342:17         346:15 364:1           station 434:1,20         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         368:1,4 379:23         submissions           statute 383:19         352:21         60	588:7 611:16	1	1	ŕ
stated 589:4         659:1         637:10,20 647:1         structured 380:2           statement 336:2         stay 372:10 388:16         627:24 642:14         streaming-focused 368:23         struggling 370:8           419:10,14         stayed 431:1         streams 367:2         602:8,15 605:7         380:7           424:8,10,22         424:8,23 590:10         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Studies 379:7           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Sturm 334:13           statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         Sturm 334:13           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         3409:5,11 414:1           Stating 350:16         sticking 368:8         stipulations         349:21         367:10,16,18         368:1,4 378:25         380:00mmittee           station 434:1,20         656:6         stock 585:24         381:0,15,18         368:1,4 378:25         382:9         382:9         618:3 629:5           statute 383:19         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         368:8 418:25         382:9         618:3 629:5         644:16         submissions         349:20           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9	state 571.22 500.2	1	· ·	G
statement         336:2         stay 372:10 388:16         streaming-focused 368:23         struggling 370:8           419:10,14         stayed 431:1         streams 367:2         602:8,15 605:7         606:3           424:8,10,22         428:23 590:10         steer 377:20,22         607:11,18 647:1         380:7           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Sturm 334:13           statements         341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         335:4 408:4,6         409:5,11 414:1           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         342:14         342:17         335:4 408:4,6           584:2 626:5         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         342:14         342:18         368:1,4 378:25         350:21         342:18         368:1,4 378:25         350:3         340:13         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:17         340:15         340:17         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15         340:15			i I	structured 386:7
350:1 415:25         627:24 642:14         focused 368:23         stucks 76:24           419:10,14         stayed 431:1         streams 367:2         602:8,15 605:7         380:7           424:8,10,22         428:23 590:10         steer 377:20,22         607:11,18 647:1         380:7           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Studios 356:21           statements         341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         335:4 408:4,6           409:5,11 414:1         409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           584:2 626:5         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         346:15 364:1           584:2 626:5         stipulations         342:18         368:1,4 378:25         380:00 mmittee           584:0 636:6         stock 585:24         379:23         346:15 364:1         368:8 418:25           566:6         stations 433:24         434:2,12         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         604:6,13,17,24           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         509:6,9,13 610:8         508:8 418:25           590:7         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           590:7         streamed 602:16	stated 589:4		ŕ	struggling 370:8
350:1 415:25         627:24 642:14         focused 368:23         606:3           419:10,14         stayed 431:1         577:21         602:8,15 605:7         380:7           424:8,10,22         428:23 590:10         steer 377:20,22         607:11,18 647:1         380:7           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Studios 356:21           statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         352:4 408:4,6           409:5,11 414:1         409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1           420:18         368:1,4 378:25         380:00           342:18         368:1,4 378:25         380:00           344:1,20         365:1 600:17         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25         368:8 418:25           434:2,12         656:11,14         422:22         604:6,13,17,24	statement 336:2			stuck 576:24
419:10,14         stayed 431:1         streams 367:2         studies 379:7           420:13         577:21         602:8,15 605:7         380:7           424:8,10,22         428:23 590:10         steer 377:20,22         650:19 651:18         studios 356:21           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Sturm 334:13           statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         409:5,11 414:1           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:1 424:13,20           384:2 626:5         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         342:18         368:1,4 378:25         subcommittee           station 434:1,20         565:6         stock 585:24         379:23         346:15 364:1           stations 433:24         straightforward         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         618:3 629:5           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         submitted 338:16           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13 610:8         349:20           statutorily 373:5         streamed 602:16         615:14,20,23         617:15,20         subscribe 429:24      <	350:1 415:25	627:24 642:14	focused 368:23	
420:13         577:21         602:8,15 605:7 607:11,18 647:1 650:19 651:18         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:7         380:	419:10,14	stayed 431:1	streams 367:2	
424:8,10,22         steer 377:20,22         607:11,18 647:1         580:7           591:15         steering 662:14         650:19 651:18         studios 356:21           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Sturm 334:13           statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         Sturm 334:13           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:1 424:13,20           456:66:5         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         sticking 368:8         368:1,4 378:25         subcommittee           station 434:1,20         stock 585:24         379:23         subject 342:17           stations 433:24         store 365:1 600:17         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25           stations 433:24         straightforward         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         644:16           statute 383:19         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         608:24         submissions           statutorily 373:5         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         430:15,17           statutory 37	420:13			
428:23 590:10         stect 377:20,22         650:19 651:18         studios 356:21           591:15         steering 662:14         654:6,12         Sturm 334:13           statements         333:3         333:3,8,12,15         409:5,11 414:1           341:11,12         333:3         33:3,8,12,15         409:5,11 414:1           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:1 424:13,20           383:25 580:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         342:13           584:2 626:5         stipulations         349:21         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         stock 585:24         368:1,4 378:25         subject 342:17           station 434:1,20         store 365:1 600:17         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25           656:6         straightforward         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         605:8           656:11,14         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         608:24         submissions           349:20         stratutorily 373:5         stream 601:18         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           590:7         stratudorily 373:5         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         subscribe 429:24           372:9 375:17         607:6 620:12         620:22         621:5,12,21		stoon 277:20 22	*	380:7
statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         33:33,8,12,15         335:4 408:4,6           409:5,11 414:1         409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20           409:5,11 414:1         422:1 424:13,20         425:3           584:2 626:5         5630:7 631:19         542:18         367:10,16,18         531:3           584:19 350:16         542:18         368:1,4 378:25         546:15 364:1         346:15 364:1           584:2 626:5         585:24         379:23         346:15 364:1         368:8 418:25           584:10,15,18         368:8 418:25         368:8 418:25         368:8 418:25           584:2 626:5         542         542:19         346:15 364:1         368:8 418:25           584:10,15,18         368:8 418:25         368:8 418:25         618:3 629:5         644:16           584:2,12         542:22         542:22         542:22         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16         544:16		· .		<b>studios</b> 356:21
statements         STEINTHAL         Street 332:15,19         335:4 408:4,6           341:11,12         333:3         33:3,8,12,15         409:5,11 414:1           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:1 424:13,20           383:25 580:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         425:3           584:2 626:5         stipulations         349:21         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         stock 585:24         379:23         subject 342:17           342:18         368:1,4 378:25         subject 342:17         346:15 364:1           342:18         368:1,4 378:25         subject 342:17         346:15 364:1           342:18         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25         368:8 418:25           342:19         straightforward         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         644:16           434:2,12         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         608:24         submissions           349:20         statute 383:19         352:21         608:24         submitted 338:16           372:9 375:17         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6         350:2 642:24           372:9 375:17         streamed 602:16         607:6 620:12         620:22         subscribe 429:24	591:15	steering 662:14	654:6,12	Sturm 334:13
341:11,12         333:3         33:3,8,12,15         409:5,11 414:1           States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:1 424:13,20           383:25 580:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         325:3           584:2 626:5         stipulations         349:21         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         stock 585:24         367:10,16,18         subcommittee           station 434:1,20         stock 585:24         379:23         subject 342:17           stations 433:24         straightforward         422:22         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25           656:611,14         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         608:24         submissions           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           590:7         stream 601:18         602:10         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           372:9 375:17         602:10         607:6 620:12         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           372:9 375:17         377:17 378:4         607:6 620:12         609:22         609:22           377:17 378:4         656:19,23         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5 <td>statements</td> <td>STEINTHAL</td> <td>·</td> <td></td>	statements	STEINTHAL	·	
States 331:2         stems 581:24         Strickler 331:21         422:1 424:13,20           383:25 580:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         subcommittee           584:2 626:5         363:7 631:19         stipulations         342:18         367:10,16,18         368:1,4 378:25           station 434:1,20         stock 585:24         379:23         subject 342:17           stations 433:24         straightforward         382:9         618:3 629:5           434:2,12         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         644:16           statute 383:19         strategy 335:15         608:24         submissions           statutorily 373:5         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         607:6 620:12         600:22         600:22           372:9 375:17         656:19,23         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5		333:3		*
383:25 580:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         349:21         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         stating 350:16         368:1,4 378:25         368:1,4 378:25         369:et 342:17           station 434:1,20         stock 585:24         379:23         346:15 364:1           stations 433:24         straightforward         382:9         604:6,13,17,24         648:16           434:2,12         strategist 345:16         605:8         submissions           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         submissions           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           590:7         stream 601:18         602:10         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           372:9 375:17         602:10         607:6 620:12         620:22         subscribe 429:24           382:14 385:7         656:19,23         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5         433:16,17	•	stems 581·24		
583:25 360:1         sticking 368:8         341:3,5 342:17         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         stipulations         349:21         subcommittee           630:7 631:19         stock 585:24         367:10,16,18         subject 342:17           station 434:1,20         stock 585:24         379:23         subject 342:17           656:6         straightforward         382:9         618:3 629:5           644:16         605:8         604:6,13,17,24         605:8           656:11,14         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         submissions           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         submissions           590:7         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           590:7         streamed 602:16         615:14,20,23         350:2 642:24           statutorily 373:5         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         subscribe 429:24           372:9 375:17         607:6 620:12         620:22         subscribe 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10	l .			
630:7 631:19         stipulations 342:18         367:10,16,18 367:10,16,18         631:3           stating 350:16         stock 585:24         368:1,4 378:25         subject 342:17           station 434:1,20 656:6         store 365:1 600:17         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25           stations 433:24 434:2,12 635:20,23 656:11,14         straightforward 422:22         604:6,13,17,24 605:8         644:16           statute 383:19 590:7         strategist 345:16 statutorily 373:5         606:5,12,17 608:24         submissions 349:20           statutory 371:6 372:9 375:17 377:17 378:4 382:14 385:7         streamed 602:16 607:6 620:12 656:19,23 streaming 361:22         611:8 612:1 617:15,20 617:15,20 617:15,20 620:22 621:5,12,21 620:22         subscribe 429:24 430:15,17           subscriber 430:10         433:16,17	1	sticking 368:8	*	
stating 350:16         342:18         368:1,4,378:25         subject 342:17           station 434:1,20         566:6         379:23         346:15,364:1         368:8,418:25           stations 433:24         434:2,12         635:20,23         604:6,13,17,24         605:8         606:5,12,17         608:24         submissions           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13,610:8         submissions         349:20           statutorily 373:5         stream 601:18         611:8,612:1         343:1,5,344:6         343:1,5,344:6           372:9,375:17         607:6,620:12         620:22         620:22         subscribe 429:24           382:14,385:7         382:14,385:7         622:2,8,623:1,5         622:2,8,623:1,5         622:2,8,623:1,5		stipulations	,	
station 434:1,20         stock 585:24         379:23         346:15 364:1           656:6         store 365:1 600:17         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25           stations 433:24         straightforward         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         618:3 629:5           635:20,23         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         608:24         submissions           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         submissions           590:7         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           590:7         stream 601:18         615:14,20,23         350:2 642:24           statutorily 373:5         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         subscribe 429:24           372:9 375:17         607:6 620:12         620:22         430:15,17           377:17 378:4         656:19,23         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5		342:18		
station 434:1,20         store 365:1 600:17         381:10,15,18         368:8 418:25           stations 433:24         straightforward         422:22         604:6,13,17,24         605:8         604:16         submissions           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         submissions         349:20           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           590:7         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           statutorily 373:5         602:10         617:15,20         submitted 338:16           statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         350:2 642:24           372:9 375:17         607:6 620:12         620:22         430:15,17           382:14 385:7         656:19,23         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         572:16 416:24         572:28 623:1,5         572:28 623:1,5	stating 350:16	stock 585-24	- 1	v
stations 433:24         straightforward         382:9         618:3 629:5           434:2,12         635:20,23         604:6,13,17,24         644:16           656:11,14         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         submissions           590:7         stream 601:18         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           590:7         stream 601:18         615:14,20,23         350:2 642:24           statutorily 373:5         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         350:2 642:24           statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         350:2 642:24           377:17 378:4         607:6 620:12         620:22         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5	station 434:1,20		1	
stations 433:24         straightforward 422:22         604:6,13,17,24         644:16           635:20,23         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         608:24           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         609:6,9,13 610:8         349:20           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13 610:8         submissions 349:20           statutorily 373:5         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         617:15,20         350:2 642:24           streamed 602:16         607:6 620:12         620:22         430:15,17           372:9 375:17         656:19,23         621:5,12,21         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5         433:16,17	656:6	store 365:1 600:17		
434:2,12 635:20,23 656:11,14  strategy 335:15 590:7  statutorily 373:5  statutory 371:6 372:9 375:17 377:17 378:4 382:14 385:7 382:14 385:7  strategist 345:16  422:22 strategist 345:16 605:8 606:5,12,17 608:24 609:6,9,13 610:8 611:8 612:1 615:14,20,23 617:15,20 619:7,13,22 620:22 621:5,12,21 622:2,8 623:1,5 622:2,8 623:1,5 635:20,23 644:16 submissions 349:20 submitted 338:16 343:1,5 344:6 350:2 642:24 subscribe 429:24 430:15,17 subscriber 430:10 433:16,17	stations 433.74	straightforward	i i	
635:20,23         strategist 345:16         606:5,12,17         submissions 349:20           656:11,14         strategy 335:15         608:24         349:20           statute 383:19         352:21         609:6,9,13 610:8         submitted 338:16           590:7         stream 601:18         611:8 612:1         343:1,5 344:6           statutorily 373:5         602:10         617:15,20         subscribe 429:24           statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         subscribe 429:24           372:9 375:17         607:6 620:12         620:22         subscriber 430:10           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5         433:16,17		422:22		644:16
656:11,14       strategy 335:15       608:24       349:20         statute 383:19       352:21       609:6,9,13 610:8       submitted 338:16         590:7       stream 601:18       611:8 612:1       343:1,5 344:6       350:2 642:24         statutorily 373:5       602:10       617:15,20       subscribe 429:24         statutory 371:6       streamed 602:16       619:7,13,22       430:15,17         372:9 375:17       607:6 620:12       620:22       subscribe 430:10         382:14 385:7       streaming 361:22       622:2,8 623:1,5       433:16,17	T -	strategist 345.16		submissions
statute 383:19       352:21       609:6,9,13 610:8       submitted 338:16         590:7       stream 601:18       611:8 612:1       343:1,5 344:6         statutorily 373:5       602:10       617:15,20       350:2 642:24         statutory 371:6       streamed 602:16       619:7,13,22       subscribe 429:24         372:9 375:17       607:6 620:12       620:22       430:15,17         382:14 385:7       streaming 361:22       622:2,8 623:1,5       433:16,17	1			349:20
590:7       stream 601:18       611:8 612:1       343:1,5 344:6         statutorily 373:5       602:10       615:14,20,23       350:2 642:24         statutory 371:6       streamed 602:16       619:7,13,22       subscribe 429:24         372:9 375:17       607:6 620:12       620:22       430:15,17         377:17 378:4       656:19,23       621:5,12,21       subscriber 430:10         382:14 385:7       streaming 361:22       622:2,8 623:1,5       433:16,17	· ·			submitted 338-16
statutorily 373:5       stream 601:18       615:14,20,23       350:2 642:24         statutory 371:6       streamed 602:16       617:15,20       subscribe 429:24         372:9 375:17       607:6 620:12       620:22       430:15,17         377:17 378:4       656:19,23       621:5,12,21       subscriber 430:10         382:14 385:7       streaming 361:22       622:2,8 623:1,5		352:21		
statutorily 373:5       602:10       617:15,20       subscribe 429:24         statutory 371:6       streamed 602:16       617:15,20       430:15,17         372:9 375:17       607:6 620:12       620:22       620:22         377:17 378:4       656:19,23       621:5,12,21       subscriber 430:10         382:14 385:7       streaming 361:22       622:2,8 623:1,5       433:16,17		stream 601:18	i i	•
statutory 371:6         streamed 602:16         619:7,13,22         430:15,17           372:9 375:17         607:6 620:12         620:22         621:5,12,21         622:2,8 623:1,5           382:14 385:7         streaming 361:22         622:2,8 623:1,5         433:16,17	statutorily 373:5	602:10		
372:9 375:17 607:6 620:12 620:22 621:5,12,21 subscriber 430:10 433:16,17 streaming 361:22	statutory 371.6	streamed 602:16	, ,	
377:17 378:4 656:19,23 621:5,12,21 subscriber 430:10 433:16,17	1			430:15,17
382:14 385:7 streaming 361:22 622:2,8 623:1,5 433:16,17				subscriber 430:10
397.16.416.34 Streaming 501.22		ŕ		433:16,17
504.22 525.25 526.7 528.25 April 1				subscription
	ı <del></del> .	JU41.ZZ	020.20 020.7	

	1 ag		
374:23 375:1	412:10 423:12	taking 385:25	temporarily
378:20 379:13	427:1 575:6	409:7 576:15	650:17
384:8,15,22	588:5 592:9	660:22	ten 348:16 577:16
387:21,23	599:11	talent	605:16,18
424:11 430:4,11	606:15,25	356:12,16,19,23,	607:13 621:20
431:14 616:14	632:17 633:22	25 357:2 363:19	
subscriptive	637:13 641:23		tend 414:23
378:20	656:13 661:23	talk 344:22 382:25	645:18
	surprise 421:11,16	409:21 410:7,23	Tennessee 332:8
substance 346:24	653:5	427:4 580:11	tens 361:25
substitute 435:20		585:1 606:16	
622:15	surveys 380:3,6	609:10 610:21	tent 344:11
substitution	surviving 649:23	617:13,16	term 422:16 601:9
385:12,18 424:4	suspect 588:9	talked 586:4	608:13,14 621:1
621:25 622:3	617:10 642:25	597:12 609:24	646:19
		to Writing 240.7	
substitutional	suspense	talking 349:7 371:17 375:8,10	terms 331:8
422:14 426:2	642:15,22	418:9 581:1	346:20 352:25
620:17	sustainable	582:10 591:10	365:19 368:20
successful 362:16	598:20 599:8	597:7 600:22	369:10 370:18
629:20	Suzanne 331:20	605:5 621:22,23	378:5 384:4
sufficient 344:17	334:13	623:2 636:21	387:11 584:9
427:21 603:12		648:22 649:2	588:7 604:10
	Swanson 577:24	658:15,17,18	608:22 611:16
suggest 429:1	Sweden 384:13,16		612:2 626:25
433:4 434:25	sweeter 603:25	talks 357:25	627:6 638:4
suggesting 428:13		tapes 356:18	639:9,15 644:15 657:9 658:21
suit 340:19	Swidler 412:17	tastes 608:20	
	Swift 629:23		terrestrial 385:24
Suite 332:7,19	630:10	<b>Taylor</b> 629:23	386:20 387:2
333:4,16	switch 661:11	630:10	417:2 418:18
summarize 356:2	i	team 337:9	419:5,25
summary 339:9	sworn 350:11	352:1,5,18 353:7	420:7,19,22
340:1	574:7 628:12	360:3,6 371:14	428:17,25
	SX 626:10	372:4,10 411:3	429:16
supplement		431:23	431:17,18
339:18 590:4	<b>SX12</b> 425:24	574:13,18	territories 630:3,4
supplemental	system 661:6	582:14 597:12	territory 629:25
338:15 340:13		teams 351:18	, and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second
support 411:15	T	356:11	testified 350:12
420:6 422:24	tab 354:11 409:16		366:20 414:10
589:20	425:24 586:22	technical 653:19	574:8 607:22
596:11,16	587:3 623:10,12	technically 348:25	609:20,21 610:2
597:23 631:21	table 616:18	technology 365:6	625:10 627:2,9
		383:6,9 576:6	628:14,20,24
suppose 339:23	tactical 340:20	ŕ	630:14 631:11
sure 350:19 356:7	take-down 656:5	telephone 343:21	632:13,17
358:6 372:15	take-it-or-leave-it	television	636:3,7 637:5
374:19 377:14	584:6	359:23,24 387:8	638:15,20
	J07.U		643:18 644:2

	Pag	e 36	<del></del>
658:19	617:17,19,22	582:25 583:7	thousand 361:18
	618:4 622:16	585:23 588:22	419:22 579:7
testify 341:8 342:8	626:11 628:9,11	591:9 592:24	602:14 603:22
345:13 421:15 647:12	630:18	596:20 598:8	thousands 361:25
	631:1,9,23 632:3	599:2,9 603:2	
testifying 610:4	638:18 641:21	605:3,23,24	threat 643:10
643:25	642:25 643:1	608:6 614:10	three-and-a-half
testimony 335:19	644:11,25	621:12 628:9,20	415:2
338:11,16	645:23 647:22	632:2 633:7	threshold 589:1
339:4,8,10,13,18	657:7	637:21 642:20	
,25	text 642:25	643:2,24 649:6	throughout
341:14,16,19,22		650:15 653:4	365:14 581:19
342:21 343:2,19	thank	655:3 656:23	tide 649:25
344:5,14,19	337:10,17,18	659:1 661:13	tier 383:24 387:22
345:23	340:9,23 345:10	662:5,12 663:4	434:9
346:17,21	348:9,10 349:2,8	themselves 357:12	
347:18,21	350:21	380:21 381:6	tiers 377:4,16
348:17,22,23	355:19,20	614:6	387:23
349:23 350:2,3	368:4,5	therefore 360:10	<b>Tig</b> 592:18 629:2
354:12,18	381:18,19 382:10	386:8,9 604:10	Tilt 382:20
355:1,23	388:3,11,18	<u> </u>	
357:15,25	409:5,10	there's 337:24	title 631:7
363:23 365:21	425:3,5,10	339:14 344:13	today 337:13,16
377:7,8 380:5	427:12	357:7,9,10	344:17 345:13
385:13,14	574:15,20 575:3	358:7,8 360:8	348:21 361:20
408:19	588:23	361:25 371:25	371:11 410:17
409:13,20,22	590:3,15,16	372:18 378:12	413:13 578:9
410:9,16	591:24 606:12	409:7 419:9	600:4 624:12,17
411:18,24	613:19 615:5,23	425:24 597:25	632:20 644:2
413:5,8,18,25	618:11 626:7	598:4 601:25	647:12 662:1
416:14 418:19,21	634:25 637:4	602:19 637:23	today's 345:8
1	639:7 643:4	639:24 644:6 647:3 648:6	Todd 332:17
419:3,7 425:22 427:15 429:10	644:9,13 657:4		334:9 425:16
430:9,14,18,21	659:4 661:15,16	649:17,20 658:12 663:7	
431:6 432:14	663:10,12		<b>TOLLES</b> 332:3
435:19,24 436:6	that's 342:14,20	they're 356:20	tomorrow 624:14
585:2	343:12 344:8	357:4,18 372:17	661:19,22
587:7,10,16	347:3,7 354:15	373:2 376:16	662:8,12
588:2	371:23 373:22	378:1 379:17,18	tonight 661:22
589:6,7,17,18,21	378:6 381:24	408:25 420:3	
590:9,12,22	388:1 409:13	581:18 598:24	Toof 334:5
591:13,21,22,23	410:5,19 412:6	602:18 604:3	tools 356:19
601:6 606:10	414:24 415:9,20	605:23 639:5	top 351:20 367:22
609:22,24	417:20 418:3	they've 627:21	426:6 431:24
611:14,25	419:6,19 420:9	thin 575:7	432:8,11 576:16
612:7,11,18,20,2	421:9 423:8,19		605:16 645:23
2,25 613:1,5,17	424:10 427:9	third 626:6 630:20	655:19
614:16,20	430:6 431:9	631:16	
615:8,13	434:7 436:4	<b>Thorne</b> 334:11	total 368:20
·····		i	

1 ag	E 37	
637:7	366:9,16 383:16	630:6 631:19
trips 597:11	384:10,14,23	Universal
^	385:1 413:11	413:14,21
417:7,13,14	<b>Uh-huh</b> 421:21	Universal's 414:3
421:17 430:25	ultimately 341:15	University
	· ·	576:14,18
664:4	I	unless 345:5
truth 350:11,12		408:25 599:1
574:7,8	l l	617:13 618:8
try 409:14 422:19		624:4
586:16 598:14		unlike 595:25
599:10 615:1		
624:18 626:24		unlimited 360:11
trying 343:7 346:8		unredacted 343:3
365:24 366:1		unscrambled
375:21 376:1		346:19
		untimely 590:24
		upgrade 377:6
		• 0
		<b>uplift</b> 607:13
Tuesday 331:14		upsell
turf 616:21	0 1	383:15,22,23
turn 351:1 363:25		387:21
387:25 425:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	useful 653:4
426:13 574:17		user 372:16
l,	654:13 655:4	374:10,11 417:1
- 1	656:18 660:10	429:15,18
	662:5	431:9,10
	understood	433:12,23
turning 631:11	589:16 612:16	434:13,18,20,21 435:5,10
TV 411:17	626:22	-
Twenty 364:7	undertaken	users 429:24 430:15 433:18
type 373:25 378:1	633:10,17	601:3
types 359:13	undertakes	usually 370:1
373:12 378:5		378:15 381:4
433:8	unfair 340:6	422:18 610:24
typical 419:17		641:5
597:22	383:17	V
		valuable 386:9
typicany 059.17	362:12 647:7	<b>value</b> 376:8 602:1
U	United 331:2	603:1 654:23
U.S 351:13,15	383:25 580:1	658:24
352:1,3,16	584:2 626:5	
	637:7  trips 597:11  true 354:21     417:7,13,14     421:17 430:25     587:16 629:20     664:4  truth 350:11,12     574:7,8  try 409:14 422:19     586:16 598:14     599:10 615:1     624:18 626:24  trying 343:7 346:8     365:24 366:1     375:21 376:1     380:9 600:1     614:8 626:20     650:24 656:16     661:21  Tuesday 331:14  turf 616:21  turn 351:1 363:25     387:25 425:21     426:13 574:17     587:12 588:15     611:14 615:7,12     623:10 626:10     652:22  turning 631:11  TV 411:17  Twenty 364:7  type 373:25 378:1  types 359:13     373:12 378:5     433:8  typical 419:17     575:25 576:23     597:22  typically 639:17	trips 597:11 true 354:21 417:7,13,14 421:17 430:25 587:16 629:20 664:4 truth 350:11,12 574:7,8 try 409:14 422:19 586:16 598:14 599:10 615:1 624:18 626:24 trying 343:7 346:8 365:24 366:1 375:21 376:1 380:9 600:1 614:8 626:20 650:24 656:16 661:21 Tuesday 331:14 turf 616:21 turn 351:1 363:25 387:25 425:21 426:13 574:17 587:12 588:15 611:14 615:7,12 623:10 626:10 652:22 turning 631:11 TV 411:17 Twenty 364:7 type 373:25 378:1 types 359:13 373:12 378:5 433:8 typical 419:17 575:25 576:23 597:22 typically 639:17  United 331:2

	1 48	e 38	
574:6,11,25	<b>vision</b> 597:19	435:4	610:8 617:9
575:10 578:7			619:1 621:10
583:21 588:1	vital 593:1 628:18	Webcasters 428:6	624:16 641:15
592:4 600:3	voice 595:21,24,25	435:15 636:25	642:9,13 646:24
601:6 604:6	596:1	Webcasting	647:5,6 649:19
608:24 609:19	Volkmar 334:14	419:4,25 420:6	650:21,24
611:18 613:1,5,8	1	424:23 426:10	656:19 661:20
623:11 625:6,10	587:21,23	435:20 613:23	
626:9 627:23	588:5,15,22	656:14	662:3,10,14,22,2
628:17 634:11	589:13 623:22 635:1	Wadmandan	3
643:7 644:22		Wednesday	West 577:19
647:11 659:15	<b>Volume</b> 331:8	624:19,21	Wetzel 334:7
660:3 661:16		Wednesdays	
	W	624:20	we've 350:24
V-A-N-A-R-M-A-	wait 342:11,22	week 339:7 342:9	374:3 415:19
N 575:2	388:8 606:17	371:17 372:5	416:10 430:1
variety 411:13			605:14,19,21
various 431:6,13	waived 589:10 591:17	weekly 370:23	627:18 638:24
625:19	waiver 591:4,5	weigh 591:23	whatever 342:17
vendors 626:15,18	wallet 376:5,9	<b>WEIL</b> 332:10	whenever 373:15 386:24
<b>verify</b> 354:15	warehouses	<b>welcome</b> 337:20 348:8 574:16	whereas 360:11
version 335:17	358:10,11	i	384:16 658:7
354:25 429:25	1	we'll 342:15,22	
	Warner 347:11	344:8 350:3	Whereupon
versus 346:20	413:14 414:6	362:10 427:11	663:15
378:13 621:23	584:17,24	604:4 642:14	whether 338:12
641:18	585:3,11 601:14	662:4,25	341:7 343:16
via 655:2	653:20 654:1,3	<b>Wells</b> 334:11	347:11
viable 619:20	660:13,15,18	we're 339:12	581:16,18
650:15	661:3	343:7	582:18 596:8
	warning	344:15,17,20	603:3 604:25
vibrant 628:18	662:18,19	351:18 361:12	605:6 615:15
631:22	Washington	363:10	617:3 619:18
vice 412:21	331:4,13	369:6,20,22	639:10
video 361:22	332:15,20	370:5 371:11	640:8,11,24
411:15 412:15	333:12,16,20	374:9 378:9	641:3 642:3
633:25	, ,	384:6 385:20	651:20 657:14
	wasn't 424:25	409:7 413:13	658:13
videos 362:10	577:14 610:22	415:10 420:15	660:19,20
597:13,14	watching 356:18	422:14 578:16	whichever 342:15
view 346:8 428:5	423:23	580:25	whole 345:5
432:5 612:24	ways 364:14 433:4	581:20,21,23,25	350:12 574:8
618:5,8 639:20	586:8 594:4	582:1,16 583:25	588:11,19
643:8	595:5 596:18	591:10 593:3	625:22 634:21
vinyl 599:18	602:1 610:6	594:16,19	
Virginia	Web 331:9 636:20	596:21 599:11	wholesale 374:21
576:14,18		600:1 601:11	375:5
577:11,18,19	Webcaster 434:17	602:11,20,25	whom 353:23
577.11,10,17		603:12 605:25	

Page 39			
431:13	612:22 615:24	world 337:20,24	<b>yields</b> 653:11
who's 346:22	618:10	352:8 360:12,15	Yoko 593:2
592:24 595:7	619:12,16 620:4 621:3,7,15	362:13 363:10 375:1 384:10	<b>Yolkut</b> 334:9
601:5	622:1,7,10	429:3 581:19	335:9 574:18
wide 632:7	623:4,7 626:1	592:21	612:10,24 613:7
Wilcox 347:11	635:4	597:10,20	617:11 623:21
WILEY 332:14	640:15,18,20	663:13	624:7,22 625:1,5,7 626:8
333:11	641:20	worldwide 371:12	634:10,20,25
WILKINSON	657:6,11,20 658:10	579:18 592:11	635:3,7
333:15	659:4,10,20	worried 643:12	640:19,21
William 333:7	662:24 663:1	649:23 650:25	641:15
575:21	witnesses 342:2	wrap 358:14	642:11,20 643:4,6 644:13
Williams 334:11	344:14 346:4	624:18	
willing 419:4,18	348:20 380:1	write 626:13 628:3	York 332:11,23 575:15 577:18
420:17 430:15	612:16,19 661:21	writes 652:24	578:19 596:5
436:3 650:11		655:11 656:4	you'll 355:12
658:9	witness's 346:17 618:3	writing 611:21	yours 414:4
willingness 435:24		written 335:19	415:20 627:12
win 360:21 646:11	won 592:13 597:2 630:14	338:17,18	YouTube 385:5
650:12	Wonderful 655:11	339:4,5,10,18,24	
winner 628:25		344:14,17,19 348:22,23	you've 364:3 365:15,20
655:25	wondering 635:5 653:13	349:25 350:3	370:16 381:25
winning 592:14		354:12,17,25	384:2 416:6
winnowing 349:20	work 351:9,11 357:12 360:6	355:22 357:15	421:19
wins 646:9,15	578:22,23 579:8	363:23 365:21	435:18,23 605:1
win-win 646:5,8	582:3 583:13,23	377:7,8 385:13 408:18 409:12	643:7,13 644:2 645:1,6
wisdom	585:16 592:22	413:7 416:14	043.1,0
627:10,17,25	593:21	418:7 425:22	$\overline{z}$
628:4	594:8,15,23 595:13	587:15 588:1	zero 431:19,22
witness 340:17	596:8,12,19	589:6 590:9,13,21	650:12,14,15,17
341:7,16 343:9	597:2,4 600:1	591:12	
344:18 345:12	614:8 663:4	611:14,25	
350:7,19 367:14,17,22	workable 599:4	612:7,19,21	
368:3 379:9	worked 354:1,3,5	615:8,13 626:11	
380:14	576:7 595:15	wrong 386:13	
381:14,17	601:13	wrote 611:18	
421:25	working 352:8		
574:19,25 575:5 604:12,15,19	356:12,15,20 357:4 359:20,24	Y	
605:3,10	378:14 576:15	yesterday 346:23	
606:9,15	works 412:19	624:11 652:9	
609:5,7,11	431:24 579:2	yet 369:4 420:5	
610:19 611:10			